CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Coram

1. Dr. Pramod Deo, Chairperson

2. Shri R. Krishnamoorthy, Member

3. Shri S.Jayaraman, Member

4. Shri V. S. Verma, Member

Petition No. 47/2009

In the matter of

Revision of transmission tariff due to additional capital expenditure incurred during 2006-07 for 315 MVA ICT-III at Nagarjunasagar sub-station along with associated bay equipment in Southern Region.

And the in the matter of

Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, New Delhi.

... Petitioner

Vs

Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Bangalore Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Ltd., Hyderabad Kerala State Electricity Board, Thiruvananthapuram Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennai Electricity Department, Govt. of Pondicherry, Pondicherry Electricity Department, Govt. of Goa, Panaji ... **Respondents**

ORDER

The transmission tariff in respect of 315 MVA ICT-III at Nagarjunasagar substation along with associated bay equipment in Southern Region (transmission assets), for the period from 1.4.2004 to 31.3.2009 was awarded vide the Commission's order dated 4.1.2006 in Petition No. 133/2004. Subsequently, the transmission tariff was revised vide order dated 16.4.2008 in terms of the judgment dated 4.10.2006 of the Appellate Tribunal in Appeal No. 135 of 2005 and other related appeals. 2. The petitioner had filed this petition seeking approval for revision of tariff by taking into account the additional capital expenditure during 2006-07. After hearing the parties, the Commission, vide its order dated 1.7.2009 awarded the revised transmission tariff for the period from 1.4.2006 to 31.3.2009.

3. While revising the transmission tariff, the Commission, in para 10 of its order dated 1.7.2009 ibid indicated the following capital cost as on 1.4.2007:

				(Rs. in lakh)			
Capital	Capital	Capital	Capital	Additional	Capital		
expenditure	expenditure	expenditure	expenditure	capitalization	expenditure		
up to	from the	from	as on	during 2006-	as on		
1.8.1999	date of	1.4.2000 to	1.4.2006	07	1.4.2007		
	commercial	31.3.2001					
	operation to						
	31.3.2000						
280.50	447.23	21.89	-	9.41	759.03		

4. It has come to the notice of the Commission that a ministerial and clerical error crept in the above table. It has been seen that against the capital cost of Rs. 744.52 lakh as on 1.4.2006, the above extracted table gives the capital cost of Rs. 749.62 lakh (280.50+447.23+21.89) as on 1.4.2006. Therefore, the error needs to be corrected. Accordingly, we direct that for the table in para 10 of the order dated 1.7.2009 shall be substituted as under:

(Rs. in lakh)

Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	FERV	Expenditure	FERV	Expenditure	Total
up to date of	from the	from	up to	from	for	from	
commercial	date of	1.4.2000 to	31.3.2	1.4.2001 to	2001-	1.4.2006 to	
operation i.e.	commercial	31.3.2001	001	31.3.2006	04	31.3.2007	
1.8.1999	operation to						
	31.3.2000						
268.86	447.23	21.89	29.26	0.00	(22.71)	9.41	753.94

2

5. The above correction has no impact on tariff approved by order dated 1.7.2009 since it was actually computed based on the correct capital cost of Rs. 753.64 lakh as on 1.4.2007, computed from base capital cost of Rs. 744.52 lakh as on 1.4.2006.

Sd/-sd/-sd/-(V. S. VERMA)(S. JAYARAMAN)(R. KRISHNAMOORTHY)(Dr. PRAMOD DEO)MEMBERMEMBERMEMBERCHARIPERSON

New Delhi, dated the 23rd October 2009