CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Record of Proceedings

PETITION NO. 83/2009

Sub: Determination of provisional tariff of Special Protection Scheme for Rihand Dadrai HVDC Bi-pole and Gorakhpur-Muzafarpur 400 kV transmisison line in Northern Region for the period 2004-09

Date of hearing	:	26.5.2009
Coram	:	Shri R.Krishnamoorthy, Member, and Shri.S.Jayaraman, Member
Petitioner	:	Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, Gurgaon
Respondents:		 Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited, Jaipur Ajmer Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Ltd., Ajmer Jaipur Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Ltd, Jaipur Jodhpur Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Ltd, Jodhpur Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimla Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd, Panchkula Power Development Department, Govt. of J&K, Jammu Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd, Lucknow Delhi Transco Ltd, New Delhi BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd., New Delhi North Delhi Power Ltd., New Delhi Chief Engineer, Chandigarh Administration, Chandigarh Uttaranchal Power Corporation Ltd, Dehradun North Central Railway, Allahabad
Parties present	:	Shri U.K.Tyagi, PGCIL Shri S.K.Mondal, PGCIL Shri J.Mazumder, PGCIL Shri R.Prasad, PGCIL Ms. Sangeeta Edwards, PGCIL

The application has been made for approval of provisional transmission charges for Special Protection Scheme for Rihand Dadri HVDC Bi-pole and Gorakhpur-Muzafarpur 400 kV transmission line in Northern Region for the period 2004-09.

2. The representative of the petitioner has stated that the Special Protection Scheme was to prevent cascading tripping in the transmission system in case of tripping of Rihand-Dadri HVDC bipole. The scheme provides the system to reduce generation in Singrauli complex and reduce load at various identified locations in Northern Region in the event of tripping of Rihand-Dadri bi-pole. 3. On a query by the Commission, the representative of the petitioner stated that it would file technical and other location-wise details of various equipments in the scheme.

4. The Commission observed that this project could be clubbed with the Rihand-Dadri System, the beneficiaries were same. The representative of the petitioner stated that the special protection scheme was not only related to one element i.e. Rihand-Dadri bi-pole but it was related to the Singrauli generation complex. The Commission further observed that idea behind clubbing was to reduce the number of petitions. The Commission directed the petitioner to examine the matter and intimate its outcome to the Commission, latest by 12.6.2009.

5. Subject to above, Commission reserved its order.

(K.S.Dhingra) Chief (Legal)