CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Record of Proceedings

Petition Nos. 188/2009, 265/2009, 266/2009 and 267/2009

Sub: Petition under Section 79 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003. Denial of open access by State Load Despatch Centre, Karnataka.

Date of hearing	:	18.5.2010
Coram	:	Dr. Pramod Deo, Chairperson Shri S.Jayaraman, Member Shri V.S.Verma, Member
Petiton No. 188/20	09	
Petitioner	:	Narayanpur Power Company Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore
Respondents		 Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Limited, Bangalore Gulbarga Electricity Supply Company Ltd., Gulbarga State Load Despatch Centre, Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Bangalore
Petition No. 265/20	09	
Petitioner	:	Gem Sugar Ltd. Bangalore
Respondents		
		 Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Limited, Bangalore Hubli Electricity Supply Company Ltd., Dharwad State Load Despatch Centre, Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Bangalore Reliance Energy Trading Co. Ltd., Mumbai
Petition No. 266/	2009	Limited, Bangalore 2. Hubli Electricity Supply Company Ltd., Dharwad 3. State Load Despatch Centre, Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Bangalore

Respondents Petition No. 267/2009	 Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Limited, Bangalore Sri Chamundeshwari Electricity Supply Company, Mysore State Load Despatch Centre, Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Bangalore
Petitioner :	Shri Renuka Sugars Ltd. Belgaum
Respondent	Chief Engineer (Electricity), State Load Despatch Centre, Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Bangalore
Parties present :	Shri Nitin Mishra, Advocate, NPCPL Shri Anand K. Ganesan, Advocate, KPTCL

These applications have been made *inter alia* alleging denial of open access by KPTCL in contravention of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Open Access in inter-State Transmission) Regulations, 2008, as amended from time to time.

2. Learned proxy counsel for the petitioners submitted that the counsel appearing in these matters was unable to appear before the Commission due to personal difficulties. Similarly, learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the affidavits containing the orders of the High Court referred to, with an explanatory note as to how the actions of SLDC are supported by the interim orders of the Hon`ble High Court has been filed except Petition No. 188/2009. He requested for a short adjournment to file submission in Petition No. 188/2009.

3. The Commission allowed the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner and respondents.

4. These applications shall be notified for hearing on 15.6.2010.

Sd/-(T.Rout) Joint Chief (Law)