CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 4th Floor, Chanderlok Building, 36, Janpath, New Delhi- 110001 Ph: 23753942 Fax-23753923

Ref: Docket No. 70/GT/2012

Date: 11.2.2013

To,

Executive Director (Commercial), NTPC Ltd, Core-7, Scope Complex, 7, Institutional area, Lodhi Road, New Delhi- 110003

Sir,

Subject: **Docket No. 70/GT/2012**: Revision of tariff of Kahalgaon Super Thermal Power Station Stage II (1500 MW) from 1.4.2009 to 31.3.2014.

Ref: Additional Submissions *vide* affidavit dated 21.9.2012

With reference to the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request you to furnish the following information on affidavit, with advance copy to the respondents/beneficiaries, latest by **4.3.2013**:

- i) Year-wise statement of interest capitalization upto COD of respective units (as considered in petition) for the years 2009-10, 2010-11 & 2011-12, to be furnished, showing therein:
 - a) Total interest for the period;
 - b) Total interest capitalized to gross block as on respective COD's;
 - c) Total interest lying in CWIP as on respective COD's; and
 - d) Total interest charged to revenue during the period.
- ii) Year-wise statement of financing charges capitalization upto COD of respective units (as considered in petition) for the years 2009-10, 2010-11 & 2011-12, to be furnished, showing therein:
 - a) Total financing charges for the period;
 - b) Total financing charges capitalized to gross block as on respective COD's:
 - c) Total financing charges lying in CWIP as on respective COD's; and
 - d) Total financing charges charged to revenue during the period.
- iii) Year-wise statement of FERV capitalization upto COD of respective units (as considered in petition) for the years 2009-10, 2010-11 & 2011-12, to be furnished, showing therein:
 - a) Total FERV for the period;
 - b) Total FERV capitalized to gross block as on respective COD's;

- c) Total FERV lying in CWIP as on respective COD's; and
- d) Total FERV charged to revenue during the period.
- iv) Documentary evidence in support of financing charges claimed as part of capital cost at Form-5B, to be submitted.
- v) Detailed working to arrive at the rate of interest corresponding to both the Eurobonds debt as considered at Form-13, to be furnished.
- vi) Copy of loan agreement corresponding to Vijaya Bank-IV.
- vii) Form 9A & 9B with complete details (liabilities, IDC, FERV etc.) as on station COD & 1.4.2009 needs to be furnished.
- viii) As per annexure-IA amount of un-discharged liabilities existing as on 1.4.2009 & 1.4.2010 are ₹16807.54 lakh & ₹32641.97 lakh, respectively. In view of the fact that discharges/reversal for the year 2009-10 being 'nil' the liability addition for the year 2009-10 works out to ₹15834.43 lakh. However, at sl. no. B (b) of From-9A liability addition has been shown to the gross block during 2009-10 as ₹23370.52 lakh. The difference needs to be explained/reconciled along with modification of the form, required if any.
- ix) At sl. no. B (b) of Form-9B for the year 2010-11 liability addition to CWIP during the year as (-) ₹171.29 lakh has been shown. This negative liability addition needs to be explained in detail. Further, if this negative value is any adjusted value then its break-up into positive value and negative value shall be provided.
- x) As per Form-9B submitted *vide* affidavit dated 9.6.2010 in Petition no. 282/2009, the amount of CWIP as on 1.4.2009 is ₹163856.73 lakh. However, as per Form-9B submitted *vide* affidavit dated 21.9.2012 the corresponding CWIP is ₹163934.71 lakh. The difference of ₹77.98 lakh shall be explained with detailed reasoning, if any.
- xi) Funding by debt as shown at Form-10 exceeds the sum of addition to CWIP (on cash basis) and discharges during the period. This shall be explained with reasons.
- xii) Amount of gross block as shown at sl. no. A (a) of Form-9A for the year 2009-10 does not match with the gross block as on 31.3.2009 as shown at Form-11. This difference needs to be reconciled/explained along with modification to the form, required if any.
- xiii) Amount of gross block, as on 31.3.2010, 31.3.2011 & 31.3.2012, as per Form-9A does not match with that as per Form- 11. Detailed reasons for the same, to be furnished.
- xiv) At Form-11 actual cash expenditure as on 19.3.2010 has been shown as ₹508174.03 lakh. However, as per audited statements this amount represents amount of gross block as on that date, which is inclusive of liabilities. Clarification for the same shall be furnished.
- xv) Based on the analysis of the information submitted in the present petition along with information submitted in petition no. 282/2009, the addition to gross block during the period 20.3.2010 to 31.3.2010 works out to ₹1515.62 lakh. However, the corresponding liability addition

works out to ₹7557.80 lakh. As such, the liability addition exceeds the addition to the gross block. Detailed reasons for the same shall be furnished.

Similarly, the liability corresponding to CWIP transferred to gross block (₹7557.80 lakh for the aforesaid period) exceeds the amount of CWIP transferred to gross block (₹2926.57 lakh). Detailed reasons for the same shall be furnished.

- xvi) Further, as mentioned above the gross block addition during the period 20.3.2010 to 31.3.2010 works out to ₹1515.62 lakh, as against the CWIP amount transferred to gross block for the said period amounting to ₹2926.57 lakh. This difference needs to be reconciled/clarified in detail.
- xvii) At sl. no. 5 of Form-11 stated as "Depreciation Rates as per CERC's Depreciation Rate", the depreciation rate of 33.33% corresponding to "Software" has been considered. The reference of this rate under the 2009 Tariff Regulations to be submitted.
- xviii) As per Form-14A, the cumulative capital expenditure as on 19.3.2010 works out to ₹510125 lakh. However, the actual cash expenditure corresponding to gross block and CWIP is ₹487197.78 lakh. Reconciliation for this difference, to furnished.
- xix) The statement showing flow of un-discharged liabilities as enclosed at Annexure-IA of the petition does not reflect liability addition, discharges and reversals during the year 2009-10 (i.e. for the period from 1.4.2009 to 19.3.2010 and from 20.3.2010 to 31.3.2010). Accordingly, this statement reflecting party-wise details may be furnished.
- xx) Reason for claiming package ERV under exclusions needs to be furnished.
- xxi) Following differences pertaining to the liability in the ACE needs to be rectified:

Liability addition during the year / period	As per Form-9A	As per annexure-IB, furnished vide affidavit dt.21.09.2012
2009-10 (20.3.2010 to	7557.80**	109.14

* worked out value

- xxii) Following details in respect of inter-unit transfer of assets, to be submitted:
 - a) Name of sending station in case of inter-unit inward & receiving station in case of inter-unit outward of assets;
 - b) Original value of gross block of such asset;
 - c) Name of such asset; and
 - d) Year of put to use of such asset for the first time by NTPC.
- xxiii) Gross block as on 31.3.2010 as per financial statement for the year 2009-10 does not match with the gross block as on 1.4.2010 as per

(₹ in lakh)

financial statement for the year 2010-11. Similarly, the gross block as on 31.3.2011 as per financial statement for the year 2010-11 does not match with the gross block as on 1.4.2011 as per financial statements for the year 2011-12. Reason for such differences shall be furnished in detail.

- xxiv) CWIP as on 31.3.2011 as per financial statements for the year 2010-11 does not match with the CWIP as on 1.4.2011 as per financial statements for the year 2011-12. The reason for such differences shall be furnished in detail.
- 2. Further action in this matter will be taken as per Regulation 87 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations 1999 on receipt of the above information/clarification.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/(B. Sreekumar)
Deputy Chief (Law)