CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Petition No. 112/TT/2017

Coram:

Shri A. K. Singhal, Member Shri A. S. Bakshi, Member Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member

Date of Order: 4.5.2018

In the matter of:

Determination of Tariff for the year 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 in respect of RVPN owned transmission lines/system connecting with other States and intervening transmission lines incidental to inter-State transmission of electricity for Inclusion in the POC transmission charges in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Condition of Tariff) Regulations 2014 and Central Electricity Regulatory Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulation, 2010 and its subsequent amendments.

And in the matter of:

Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RRRVPNL) Vidyut Bhawan, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur-302005

.....Petitioner

Vs

- Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. Saudamini, Plot No.2, Sector-29, Near IFFCO Chowk, Gurgaon, (Haryana) - 122001.
- Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam, Shakti Bhawan, Sector-6, Panchkula, (Haryana) – 134109.
- Haryana Power Purchase Center (HPPC), 2nd Floor, Shakti Bhawan, Sector-6, Panchkula, (Haryana) – 134109.
- 4. M.P Power Transmission Company Ltd. Block No.2, Shakti Bhawan, Rampur,

Jabalpur, (Madhya Pradesh) – 482008.

- MP Power Management Co. Ltd. (MPPMCL), Block No.11, 1st Floor, Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur, (Madhya Pradesh) – 482008.
- Delhi Transco Ltd. Shakti Sadan, Kotla Road, New Delhi – 110002.
- 7. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place, New Delhi.
- 8. BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd, BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place, New Delhi.
- North Delhi Power Ltd, Power Trading and Load Dispatch Group, CENNET Building, Pitampura, New Delhi – 110034.
- 10. UP Power Transmission Corporation Ltd, Shakti Bhawan, 14, Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 2266001.
- 11. UP Power Corporation Ltd, Shakti Bhawan, 14, Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 226001

.....Respondents

| For petitioner | : | Shri Pradeep Misra, Advocate, RRVPNL Shri R.K Jain, RRVPNL Shri Rajeev Jain, RRVPNL |
|----------------|---|---|
| For respondent | : | Shri S.S Raju, PGCIL Shri B. Dash, PGCIL Shri Rakesh Prasad, PGCIL Shri Vivek Kumar Singh, PGCIL Shri V.P. Rastogi, PGCIL |

<u>ORDER</u>

Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RRVPNL) has filed the instant petition for the approval of transmission tariff of the following deemed inter-State transmission lines for the years 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 for inclusion in computation of point of connection (PoC) transmission charges in accordance with the the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Condition of Tariff) Regulations 2014 (hereinafter referred to as "2014 Tariff Regulations"):-

| (1 | / | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| Srl. No. | Name of the Line | Voltage Level | Length of Line in ckt-km | COD |
| 1. | Asset-1: 220 kV S/C Chirawa- Hissar line (Rajasthan- Haryana) | 220 kV | 118.00 | 27.11.2010 |
| 2. | Asset-2: 132 kV S/C Sadulpur (Rajgarh) - Hissar line | 132 kV | 78.00 | 3.10.1959 |
| 3. | Asset-3: 132 kV S/C Amrapura Thedi- Sirsa line | 132 kV | 80.00 | 19.12.1970 |
| 4. | Asset-4: 132 kV S/C Khandar- Sheopur line | 132 kV | 35.24 | 5.7.2008 |
| 5. | Asset-5: 220 kV S/C Anta- Kota Line | 220 kV | 67.00 | 1.3.1969 |
| 6. | Asset-6: 220 kV SC RAPP (B)-Kota Line | 220 kV | 42.00 | 1.9.1977 |
| 7. | Asset-7: 220 kV S/C RAPP (B) – RAPP (A) Line | 220 kV | 2.00 | 1.9.1977 |
| 8. | Asset-8: 220 kV S/C MIA (Alwar)-Badarpur Line | 220 kV | 131.60 | 22.11.1975 |
| 9. | Asset-9: 220 kV S/C Agra- Bharatpur Line (Rajasthan- Uttar Pradesh) | 220 kV | 48.12 | 30.12.1982 |
| 10. | Asset-10: 220 kV S/C Kota (Sakatpura)-Badod (Rajasthan-Madhya Pradesh) | 220 kV | 164.00 | 20.8.1977 |
| 11. | Asset-11: 220 kV S/C Modak- Badod (Rajasthan-Madhya Pradesh) | 220 kV | 121.00 | 2.1.1988 |
| 12. | Asset-12: 220 kV S/C Khetri- | 220 kV | 70.91 | 23.12.1977 |

| | Dadri Line I (Rajasthan- Haryana) | | | |
|-----|---|--------|--------|------------|
| 13. | Asset-13: 220 kV S/C Khetri- Dadri Line II (Rajasthan- Haryana) | 220 kV | 77.00 | 2.3.1985 |
| 14. | Asset-14: 400 kV S/C Jodhpur -Merta Line-I | 400 kV | 106.86 | 15.9.2004 |
| 15. | Asset-15: 400 kV S/C Jodhpur -Merta Line-II | 400 kV | 107.48 | 27.10.2012 |
| 16. | Asset-16: 400 kV S/C Merta- Ratangarh Line | 400 kV | 172.50 | 9.5.2008 |
| 17. | Asset-17: 400 kV S/C Merta- Heerapura Line | 400 kV | 178.07 | 13.7.2004 |
| 18. | Asset-18: 220 kV D/C Aau- Baithwasia Line | 220 kV | 46.63 | 29.3.2015 |
| 19. | Asset-19: 220 kV D/C Baithwasia- Bhawad Line | 220 kV | 33.03 | 25.3.2015 |
| 20. | Asset-20: 220 kV D/C Gajner- Bikaner (400 kV GSS) Line | 220 kV | 58.67 | 22.1.2013 |

2. RRVPNL has submitted that the present petition is filed in accordance with the Commission's directions in order dated 14.3.2012 in Petition No. 15/Suo-Motu/2012. Earlier, YTC for Assets 8 to 13 from 1.7.2011 to 31.3.2014 was allowed vide order dated 18.3.2015 in Petition No. 213/TT/2013 and YTC for the remaining 14 assets was not granted due to non-availability of NRPC certificate. Later, RRVPNL filed Petition No. 26/TT/2017 claiming tariff for seven ISTS lines for 2011-14 period alongwith the certificate issued by NRPC, which was not allowed by the Commission as it would have led to revision of the POC charges retrospectively. Subsequently, RRVPNL filed Petition No 111/TT/2017 for truing up of YTC allowed in Petition No. 213/TT/2013 vide order dated 18.3.2015 for the following six ISTS transmission lines which was allowed by the Commission vide order dated 9.3.2018:-

| Srl. No. | Name of Line | Connecting States | Length (ckt. km) |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 220 kV S/C MIA (Alwar)-Badarpur line | Rajasthan-Delhi | 131.6 |
| 2 | 220 kV S/C Agra-Bharatpur line | Rajasthan-Uttar Pradesh | 48.12 |
| 3 | 220 kV S/C Kota (Sakatpura)-Badod line | Rajasthan-Madhya Pradesh | 164.00 |
| 4 | 220 kV S/C Modak-Badod line | Rajasthan-Madhya Pradesh | 121.00 |
| 5 | 220 kV S/C Khetri –Dadri line-I | Rajasthan-Haryana | 70.91 |
| 6 | 220 kV S/C Khetri –Dadri line-II | Rajasthan-Haryana | 77.00 |

3. RRVPNL has prayed for approval of YTC for the 20 deemed ISTS lines in the instant petition for 2014-17 period. RRVPNL has enclosed the NRPC letters dated 8.6.2016 and 9.11.2016 with the petition, wherein Assets 5 to 7 and Assets 14 to 20 respectively have been recommended to be considered as deemed ISTS line for the purpose of POC computation. RRVPNL has also submitted the NRPC letter dated 9.11.2016 certifying Assets 1 to 4 as natural ISTS lines and does not require certification from NRPC.

4. RRVPNL has submitted that the tariff claimed in the petition is worked out on the basis of the ARR methodology adopted by the Commission while determining the YTC charges in order dated 18.3.2015 in Petition No. 213/TT/2013 and to approve the YTC claimed for the instant assets as per the procedure adopted by the Commission in the aforementioned order. The details of the YTC claimed for 13 natural inter-State transmission lines for the 2014-17 period, worked out on the basis of the ARR approved by the State Commission are as follows:-

| Srl. | Name of | Line | | YTC in ₹ | |
|------|---|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| No. | Transmission Lines | Length in km | 2014-15* | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| 1 | 220 kV S/C Chirawa- Hissar line (Raj-Har) | 118.00 | 72705684 | 76741570 | 73669472 |
| 2 | 132 kV S/C Sadulpur (Rajgarh) - Hissar line | 78.00 | 38447752 | 40283586 | 39332006 |
| 3 | 132 kV S/C Amrapura - Sirsa line | 80.00 | 39433591 | 41316498 | 40340519 |
| 4 | 132 kV S/C Khandar- Sheopur line | 35.24 | 17370497 | 18199917 | 17769999 |
| 5 | 220 kV S/C Anta-Kota Line | 67.00 | 41282041 | 43573603 | 41829276 |
| 6 | 220 kV SC RAPP (B)- Kota Line | 42.00 | 25878294 | 27314796 | 26221337 |
| 7 | 220 kV S/C RAPP (B) – RAPP (A) Line | 2.00 | 1232300 | 1300705 | 1248635 |
| 8 | 220 kV S/C MIA (Alwar) – Badarpur Line | 131.6 | 81085322 | 85586361 | 82160190 |
| 9 | 220 kV S/C Agra- Bharatpur Line(Raj-UP) | 48.12 | 29649131 | 31294952 | 30042161 |
| 10 | 220 kV S/C Kota (Sakatpura)-Badod (Raj-MP) | 164.00 | 101048578 | 106657775 | 102388079 |
| 11 | 220 kV S/C Modak- Badod (Raj-MP) | 121.00 | 74554134 | 78692627 | 75542424 |
| 12 | 220 kV S/C Khetri- Dadri Line I (Raj- Haryana) | 70.91 | 43691187 | 46116481 | 44270358 |
| 13 | 220 kV S/C Khetri- Dadri Line II (Raj- Haryana) | 77.00 | 47443540 | 50077126 | 48072452 |
| ΤΟΤΑ | | | 613822051 | 647155997 | 622886908 |

*On the basis of trued-up ARR.

5. RRVPNL has claimed YTC for the remaining 7 transmission lines only for 2016-17

on the basis of the ARR approved by the State Commission and it is as follows:-

| Srl. | Name of Transmission | Line Length in | YTC in ₹ |
|------|--|------------------------------|------------|
| No. | Lines | km | 2016-17 |
| 1 | 400 kV S/C Jodhpur - Merta Line -I | 106.86 | 197568532 |
| 2 | 400 kV S/C Jodhpur - Merta Line -II | 107.48 | 198720422 |
| 3 | 400 kV S/C Merta- Ratangarh Line | 172.50 | 318947776 |
| 4 | 400 kV S/C Merta- Heerapura Line | 178.07 | 329240827 |
| 5* | 220 kV D/C Aau- | D/C length 46.63 | 58220109 |
| | Baithwasia Line | S/C length 2x46.63=93.25 | |
| 6* | 220 kV D/C Baithwasia- | D/C length 33.03 | 41237423 |
| | Bhawad Line | S/C length 2x33.03=66.05 | _ |
| 7* | 220 kV D/C Gajner- | D/C length 58.67 | 73261168 |
| | Bikaner (400 kV GSS) Line | S/C length 2x58.67=117.35 | |
| ΤΟΤΑ | L | | 1217196257 |

*Lines at Srl. No. 5, 6, 7 are D/C lines but in ARR, line length has been considered as ckt-km.

- 6. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited (UPPCL), Respondent No. 11, has filed its reply vide affidavit dated 19.6.2017. The submissions made by UPPCL are as follows:
 - a) Assets 5 to 13 have not been certified by NRPC for usage as ISTS and therefore same may be clarified by the RRVPNL.
 - b) The YTC claimed by the petitioner should be as per the methodology adopted by the Commission in order dated 18.3.2015 in Petition No. 213/TT/2013.
 - c) For calculation purpose, indicative cost of lines of various configurations owned and operated by PGCIL has been taken and the same may be verified before approving such cost of line/ckt km.

d) NRPC certifies the use of STU lines as ISTS on the basis of load follow study and finds the percentage usage of these lines. However, in the instant petition the full YTC of these lines has been claimed by the RRVPNL which is not fair. Further, the percentage use of old lines of STU as ISTS will vary with the load flow duration. Therefore, a compromise has to be struck and 50% of the YTC may be considered for PoC charges.

7. RRVPNL in its rejoinder to the reply filed by UPPCL vide affidavit dated 4.9.2017 has submitted that the NRPC certification in case of Assets 5 to 13 has already been submitted in the petition. NRPC did not provide figures of percentage use of the transmission line declared as ISTS lines.

8. Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Limited (MPPTCL), Respondent No. 4, has submitted its reply vide affidavit dated 29.6.2017 and the issues raised by MPPTCL are as under:-

- a) Out of the 20 lines as indicated RRVPNL, three lines are related to MPPTCL, namely 220 kV Badod-Kota line, 220 kV Badod-Modak line and 132 kV Sheopur-Khandar line of MPPTCL (up to MP border). Further, a part of above mentioned 3 Nos. 220 kV/132 kV lines from 220 kV Sub-station Badod and 132 kV Sheopur Sub-station upto the State boundary between Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan is under the jurisdiction of MPPTCL.
- b) YTC charges for 103.920 ckt-km length, each of 220 kV lines namely 220 kV Badod-Kota line, 220 kV Badod-Modak line up to the State boundary has already been approved by the Commission vide its order dated 15.10.2015 for the control

period 2009-10 to 2013-14 in Petition No. 217/TT/2013 filed by MPPTCL. Further, RRVPNL has filed a Petition No. 26/TT/2017 for determination of transmission tariff of 7 lines including 132 kV Sheopur-Khandar line. As the said line is considered as natural ISTS lines by RRVPNL, MPPTCL, has also submitted the reply for inclusion of 35.3 km length of 132 kV Sheopur-Khandar line (132 kV Sheopur Sub-station up to Madhya Pradesh border) in its PoC charges.

c) RRVPNL has claimed transmission tariff for 164.0 ckt-km length of 220 kV Kota-Badod line, 121.0 ckt-km length of 220 kV Modak-Badod line and 35.24 ckt-km Sheopur-Khandar line. Approximately 65 ckt-km length of 220 kV Kota-Badod line, 20 ckt-km of 220 kV Modak- Badod line and 12.262 km of Khandar- Sheopur line from 220 kV Kota Sub-station, 220 kV Modak Sub-station and 132 kV Khandar Sub-station respectively up to Madhya Pradesh-Rajasthan border is under the administrative jurisdiction of RRVPNL and same may be considered for the purpose of calculation of PoC charges for RRVPNL only.

9. RRVPNL has submitted its rejoinder, vide affidavit dated 4.9.2017, to the reply filed by MPPMCL and the clarifications given by RRVPNL are as under:-

(a) In Petition No. 213/TT/2013 filed by RRVPNL, YTC was approved for 164 and 121 km of lines and in Petition No. 217/TT/2013 filed by MPPTCL YTC was approved for 103.92 x 2 km only i.e. up to the MP-Rajasthan border. RRVPNL has claimed YTC charges for 164 and 121 km of the lines whereas MP has claimed 103.92 x 2 km i.e. up to the MP-Rajasthan border. 59.624 km of 220 kV Kota- Badod line and 16.50 km of 220 kV Modak- Badod (Bhanpur) line up to Rajasthan-MP border is under the administrative jurisdiction of RRVPNL and hence Commission may allow the tariff accordingly.

(b) RVPN has claimed YTC charges for 35.24 km of 132 kV S/C Khandar-Sheopur line and out of 35.24 km of 132 kV S/C Khandar- Sheopur line, 12.62 km LILO portion of the line is under the administrative jurisdiction of RRVPNL and hence Commission may allow the tariff accordingly.

10. MPPMCL, Respondent No. 5, filed its reply vide affidavit dated 7.12.2017, and the issues raised by MPPMCL are as follows:-

- a) RRVPNL and MPPTCL should ensure correctness of the length of the above assets in their geographical locations. Since the synchronization of Western Region and Northern Region, these lines are being utilized for LTOA and STOA, the charges realized should be adjusted in the truing up of aforesaid lines. Further, RRVPNL may be directed to submit the details of STOA charges of above two assets.
- b) RRVPNL's claim for depreciation is not justifiable because the "lines are quite old" and fully depreciated. The depreciation amount in the true up order of the State Commission may not be allowed in respect of Kota-Badod and Modak-Badod lines.
- c) If actual O&M Expenses is lower than the O&M Expenses computed in YTC based on the State Commission's truing-up, the actual O&M Expenses in respect of Kota-Badod and Modak-Badod lines should be considered.

11. We have considered the submissions of RRVPNL and respondents placed on record. NRPC has certified that Assets 5, 6, 7 and 14 to 20 are deemed ISTS lines and Assets 1 to 4 as natural inter-State transmission lines and are being considered for tariff computation in the present order. Against the earlier approved line length of 164 ckt-km for 220 kV S/C Kota (Sakatpura)-Badod (Raj-MP), 121 ckt-km for 220 kV S/C Modak- Badod (Raj-MP) and 35.24 km for 132 kV S/C Khandar- Sheopur line, the revised line length considered for tariff computation is 59.624 ckt-km of 220 kV Kota-Badod line, 16.50 ckt-km of 220 kV Modak-Badod (Bhanpur) line and 12.62 ckt- km for 132 kV S/C Khandar-Sheopur line. Thus, the revised line length of the said transmission lines considered for the purpose of computation of transmission charges is as under:-

| Srl. No. | Name of Line | Voltage Level | Length of Line in Ckt. KM | COD |
|-------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 1. | 132 kV S/C Khandar- Sheopur line | 132 kV | 12.62 | 05.07.2008 |
| 2. | 220 kV S/C Kota (Sakatpura)- Badod (Raj-MP) | 220 kV | 59.624 | 20.08.1977 |
| 3. | 220 kV S/C Modak-Badod (Raj-MP) | 220 kV | 16.50 | 02.01.1988 |

The tariff is worked out for the remaining ISTS transmission lines considering the line length claimed by RRVPNL.

12. RRVPNL has claimed transmission tariff for twenty inter-State transmission lines for the period 2014-15 to 2016-17. The Commission vide order dated 14.3.2012 in Petition No. 15/SM/2012 had directed the owners/developers of the inter-State transmission lines of 132 kV and above in North Eastern Region, and 220 kV and above in Northern, Eastern, Western and Southern regions to file petitions under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2009 for including their transmission assets in computation of Point of Connection transmission charges and losses under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of inter-State Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulations, 2010. Some of the owners/developers of these lines had filed tariff petitions and accordingly, tariff was allowed for the period from 2011-12 to 2013-14. Further, the owners/developers of these lines had file petitions for determination of tariff for the 2014-19 tariff period.

13. It is observed that the information submitted by the petitioner States for computation of transmission charges for the deemed ISTS lines are not uniform, thereby causing divergence in working out the tariff. In some cases, the data related to funding and depreciation was not available and in some cases the assets have already completed, or nearing, their useful life. In most of the petitions, the states have expressed their inability to furnish the audited capital cost of transmission lines as the lines are old. As a result, tariff workings for old assets are ending in skewed results. It is further observed that the YTC figures emerging out by the existing ARR methodology are on the higher side. Considering these facts, we have conceptualized a modified methodology for determining the tariff of the inter-State transmission lines. The methodology is broadly based on the following:-

(a) PGCIL's Annual Report data has been used as the reference data; based on which, year wise benchmark cost has been derived.

- (b) Useful life of Transmission Line has been considered as 25 years. Thus, if life is more than or equal to 25 years as on 1.4.2014, only O & M Expenses and Interest on Working Capital (IWC) shall be allowed as per the existing Tariff Regulations, in lieu of complete tariff.
- (c) It is expected that the States do have the audited financial data of recently commissioned (i.e. on or after 1.4.2014) lines.

Tariff Methodology

14. As per the petitions filed by the states, their ISTS lines generally have the configuration of 132 kV, 220 kV or 400 kV. In the absence of an established tariff data base, in order to develop this methodology Annual Reports of PGCIL from 1989-90 to 2013-14 have been referred to. The Annual Reports depict, inter alia, the information pertaining to year wise total length of transmission lines in ckt-km and corresponding Gross Block. This pan-India data represents all the five transmission regions and is a composite mix of parameters like terrains, wind-zones, tower and conductor type etc. +/-500 kV HVDC and 765 kV and above voltage level AC lines too have come up in between and the data also includes those lines. Voltage level-wise data as on 30th April 2017, obtained from PGCIL indicates that the percentage of 220 kV, 132 kV and 66 kV Transmission Line taken together makes it around 8.3 % of the total line length owned by PGCIL. Further, 132 kV Transmission Lines were established in NER prior to 1990, and Transmission Lines of 220 kV voltage levels were last commissioned in around the year 2004 in NR. Majority of the transmission lines consist of 400 kV which corresponds to 66% of the total transmission line lengths. Thus, the 400 kV and lesser voltage levels account for approximately 75% of the transmission lines. Assuming the above referred

spread of voltage wise percentages for earlier years too, it can be said that the year wise average Transmission Line cost figures derived from PGCIL data, when further reduced by 25%, fairly represent the average transmission line capital cost corresponding to a 400 kV S/C line. Considering 400 kV S/C transmission line cost as reference cost, analysis of PGCIL's indicative cost data (P/L Feb 2017) suggests the following:-

| | Reference cost of 400 kV S/C TL | ₹ X lakh/km |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 400 kV D/C TL | 1.39 X |
| 2 | 220 kV D/C TL | 0.57 X |
| 3 | 220 kV S/C TL | 0.36 X |
| 4 | 132 kV D/C TL | 0.43 X |
| 5 | 132 kV S/C TL | 0.31 X |

15. Therefore, for arriving at the costs of transmission lines of other voltage levels and circuit configurations, the average transmission line cost data shall be multiplied by the factors illustrated in the above table. Lower voltage levels can be treated as part of 132 kV. The above table contemplates *Twin Moose* conductor which is widely used in State transmission lines.

16. Based on respective year end data, average transmission line length during the year has been worked out. Difference between a particular year's average transmission line length figures and that for the immediate preceding year provides us the transmission line length added during that year. Average gross block corresponding to transmission lines has been divided by the average transmission line length to arrive at the Average Cost of transmission line (in ₹ lakh per ckt-km) during the year. Thus, considering the year of COD of a State's ISTS line and its ckt-km, its cost would be worked out by relating it to PGCIL's transmission line cost during that year. Although the

Commission has relied on PGCIL's Annual Reports, there are certain deviations in the cost data worked out. The year 1989-90 was the year of incorporation for PGCIL, and the transmission assets of NTPC, NHPC, NEEPCO etc were taken over by PGCIL by mid 1991-92. Thus, as the base data for these years was not available, the corresponding average cost of transmission line could not be worked out. The average cost from 1992-93 onwards up to 2013-14 shows an increasing trend at a CAGR of 5.17%. Therefore, for the years 1989-90, 1990-91 and 1991-92, the average cost of transmission line has been back derived considering the 1992-93 average cost. Similarly, abnormal dip/spikes in the transmission line cost for the years 1986-97, 2001-02 and 2004-05 has been corrected by considering the average values of the transmission line costs in the immediate preceding and succeeding years.

17. While calculating tariff, the following has been considered:-

- (i) Useful life of the transmission line shall be deemed to be 25 years.
- (ii) Prevailing depreciation rates as per the 2014 Tariff Regulations shall be considered uniformly for all the previous tariff periods so as to do away with the Advance Against Depreciation which was in vogue during earlier tariff periods. Notwithstanding the depreciation considered as recovered earlier, for the purpose of these tariff calculations, remaining depreciable value shall be spread over the remaining useful life of the transmission line, where the elapsed life is more than or equal to 12 years.
- (iii) Normative Debt-Equity ratio shall be 70:30.
- (iv) Normative loan repayment during a year shall be deemed to be equal to the depreciation allowed for that year.

- (v) Rate of Interest on normative loan shall be the weighted average rate of interest as derived on the basis of PGCIL's Balance Sheet.
- (vi) In order to avoid complexity, grossing up of rate of Return on Equity with tax rate is being dispensed with.
- (vii) Bank rate as defined in 2014 Tariff Regulations, 2014 as on 1.4.2014 shall be applied for calculating the rate of interest on working capital on normative basis.
- (viii) O & M Expenses as per the 2014 Tariff Regulations shall be considered.
- (ix) Where the life of transmission line is more than or equal to 25 years as on 1.4.2014, only O & M Expenses and IWC shall be allowed in lieu of complete tariff.

18. Thus, in effect, this is a normative tariff working methodology which shall be applied in those cases where the audited capital cost information is not available. In the instant case, RRVPNL has not provided the audited capital cost certificates. In line with the methodology explained in foregoing paragraphs, we now proceed to determine the tariff for instant transmission lines.

19. Assets-2, 3 and 5 to 13 have already completed twenty five years. Therefore, as per the above methodology, only IWC and O & M components of tariff shall be allowable for these assets. For Assets-1 and 4 all the components of tariff have been worked out. For Assets-14, 15, 16, 17 and 20, YTC is worked out only for the year 2016-17.

20. Assets-18 and 19 were put into commercial operation on 29.3.2015 and 25.3.2015 respectively and tariff is not allowed in this order for these assets as per the methodology mentioned above as RRVPNL must have the audited financial data of these transmission lines. RRVPNL is granted liberty to file a fresh petition for determination of YTC of these lines along with the relevant cost data as per the 2014 Tariff Regulations.

| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Asset-1 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 117.22 | 117.22 | 117.22 |
| Interest on Loan | 81.37 | 65.18 | 57.11 |
| Return on Equity | 103.23 | 103.23 | 103.23 |
| IWC | 9.58 | 9.30 | 9.20 |
| O & M Expenses | 47.67 | 49.32 | 50.98 |
| Total | 359.07 | 344.25 | 337.74 |

| 21. | The annual | transmission | charges | being | allowed are:- |
|-----|------------|--------------|---------|-------|---------------|
| | | | | | |

| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Asset-2 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 1.74 | 1.80 | 1.86 |
| O & M Expenses | 31.51 | 32.60 | 33.70 |
| Total | 33.25 | 34.41 | 35.56 |

337.74

. . . .

| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Asset-3 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 1.79 | 1.85 | 1.91 |
| O & M Expenses | 32.32 | 33.44 | 34.56 |
| Total | 34.11 | 35.29 | 36.47 |

| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Asset-4 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 9.82 | 9.82 | 9.82 |
| Interest on Loan | 5.42 | 4.05 | 3.37 |
| Return on Equity | 8.65 | 8.65 | 8.65 |
| IWC | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.80 |

| O & M Expenses | 5.10 | 5.28 | 5.45 |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Total | 29.82 | 28.61 | 28.09 |
| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
| Asset-5 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 1.50 | 1.55 | 1.60 |
| O & M Expenses | 27.07 | 28.01 | 28.94 |
| Total | 28.56 | 29.55 | 30.54 |

(₹ in lakh)

| | | | (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
|------------------|---------|---------|---|
| Asset-6 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 0.94 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| O & M Expenses | 16.97 | 17.56 | 18.14 |
| Total | 17.91 | 18.53 | 19.15 |

(₹ in lakh)

| Asset-7 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| O & M Expenses | 0.81 | 0.84 | 0.86 |
| Total | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.91 |

(₹ in lakh)

| | | | <u> </u> |
|------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Asset-8 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 2.94 | 3.04 | 3.14 |
| O & M Expenses | 53.17 | 55.01 | 56.85 |
| Total | 56.10 | 58.05 | 59.99 |

| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Asset-9 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 1.07 | 1.11 | 1.15 |
| O & M Expenses | 19.44 | 20.11 | 20.79 |
| Total | 20.51 | 21.23 | 21.94 |

| | | (| ₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Asset-10 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 1.33 | 1.38 | 1.42 |
| O & M Expenses | 24.09 | 24.92 | 25.76 |
| Total | 25.42 | 26.30 | 27.18 |

| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Asset-11 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.39 |
| O & M Expenses | 6.67 | 6.90 | 7.13 |
| Total | 7.03 | 7.28 | 7.52 |

(₹ in lakh)

| | | | (())) |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Asset-12 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 1.58 | 1.64 | 1.69 |
| O & M Expenses | 28.65 | 29.64 | 30.63 |
| Total | 30.23 | 31.28 | 32.33 |

(₹ in lakh)

| Asset-13 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Depreciation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Interest on Loan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Return on Equity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| IWC | 1.72 | 1.78 | 1.84 |
| O & M Expenses | 31.11 | 32.19 | 33.26 |
| Total | 32.83 | 33.96 | 35.10 |

(₹ in lakh)

| Particulars | Asset-14 | Asset-15 | Asset-16 |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2016-17 | 2016-17 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 153.47 | 372.67 | 432.99 |
| Interest on Loan | 8.38 | 235.33 | 148.53 |
| Return on Equity | 135.16 | 328.21 | 381.33 |
| IWC | 9.39 | 24.11 | 26.28 |
| O & M Expenses | 46.16 | 46.43 | 74.52 |
| Total | 352.56 | 1006.75 | 1063.66 |

| | | (₹ in lakh) |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Particulars | Asset-17 Asset-20 | |
| | 2016-17 | 2016-17 |
| Depreciation | 255.74 | 115.96 |
| Interest on Loan | 13.97 | 81.58 |
| Return on Equity | 225.22 | 102.12 |
| IWC | 15.64 | 9.34 |
| O & M Expenses | 76.93 | 44.30 |
| Total | 587.50 | 353.30 |

22. Detailed calculations in case of Assets-1, 4, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 20 are given in Annexure-(I) to (VII).

Filing Fee

23. The petitioner shall be entitled for reimbursement of the filing fees in connection with the present petition, directly from the beneficiaries on pro-rata basis in accordance with clause (1) of Regulation 52 of the 2014 Tariff Regulations.

Sharing of Transmission Charges

24. The transmission charges shall be recovered on monthly basis in accordance with Regulation 43 of Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Condition of Tariff) Regulations, 2014 and shall be shared by the beneficiaries and long term transmission customers in terms of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Inter State Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulations, 2010 as amended from time to time. Further, the transmission charges allowed in this order shall be adjusted against the ARR approved by the State Commission.

25. Accordingly, Petition No. 112/TT/2017 is disposed of.

| Sd/- | Sd/- |
|------------------|---------------|
| (Dr. M. K. Iyer) | (A.S. Bakshi) |
| Member | Member |

Sd/-(A.K. Singhal) Member

<u>Annexure-I</u>

| CALCULATION OF AN | NUAL TRA | NSMISSIO | N CHARG | ES OF ASSE | T-1 |
|--|---------------|----------|---------|------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | (Rs. In lacs) |
| Rationalised cost of 400 kv line Rs lakh per ckt km | 52.26 | | | | Life in years = 25 |
| Transmission Line length , in ckt km | 11 | 8.00 | | | Voltage = 220 kV |
| Multiplication factor | 0 | .36 | | | |
| | | | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Gross Block | | | 2220.00 | 2220.00 | 2220.00 |
| Addition during 2014-19 due to Project Capitalisation | cted Addition | onal | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Gross Block total | | | 2220.00 | 2220.00 | 2220.00 |
| Average Gross Block | | | 2220.00 | 2220.00 | 2220.00 |
| | | | 2220.00 | 2220.00 | 2220.00 |
| Depreciation | | | | | |
| Rate of Depreciation | | 5.2800% | 5.2800% | 5.2800% | 5.2800% |
| Depreciable value | | 90% | 1998.00 | 1998.00 | 1998.00 |
| Elapsed Life as on 31.03.2014 | | 3 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| Balance useful life of the asset | | | 22.00 | 21.00 | 20.00 |
| Remaining Depreciable value | | | 1646.36 | 1529.14 | 1411.92 |
| Cumulative Depreciation | 351.65 | 351.65 | 468.87 | 586.08 | 703.30 |
| | 1646.36 | 0.00 | | | |
| Depreciation | | | 117.22 | 117.22 | 117.22 |
| Interest on Loan | | | | | |
| Gross Normative Loan | | 1554.00 | 1554.00 | 1554.00 | 1554.00 |
| Cumulative Repayment upto | | 1334.00 | 351.65 | 586.08 | 703.30 |
| Previous Year | | | 551.05 | 500.00 | 703.30 |
| Net Loan-Opening | | | 1202.35 | 967.92 | 850.71 |
| Addition due to Additional Capitalisation | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Repayment during the year | | | 117.22 | 117.22 | 117.22 |
| Net Loan-Closing | | | 1085.14 | 850.71 | 733.49 |
| Average Loan | | | 1143.75 | 909.31 | 792.10 |
| Weighted Average Rate of Inte | erest on Lo | ban | 7.1141% | 7.1680% | 7.2104% |
| Interest | | | 81.37 | 65.18 | 57.11 |
| Return on Equity | | | | | |
| Gross Notional Equity | | 666.00 | | | |
| Opening Equity | | | 666.00 | 666.00 | 666.00 |
| Average Equity | | | 666.00 | 666.00 | 666.00 |
| Rate of Return on Equity | | | 15.50% | 15.50% | 15.50% |
| Return on Equity | | | 103.23 | 103.23 | 103.23 |
| | | | | | |

| Interest on Working Capital | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Maintenance Spares | | 7.15 | 7.40 | 7.65 |
| O & M expenses | | 3.97 | 4.11 | 4.25 |
| Receivables | 2 | 59.84 | 57.37 | 56.29 |
| | Months | | | |
| Total | | 70.97 | 68.88 | 68.18 |
| Interest | 13.50% | 9.58 | 9.30 | 9.20 |
| | | | | |
| Annual Transmission Charges | | | | |
| Depreciation | | 117.22 | 117.22 | 117.22 |
| Interest on Loan | | 81.37 | 65.18 | 57.11 |
| Return on Equity | | 103.23 | 103.23 | 103.23 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | 9.58 | 9.30 | 9.20 |
| O & M Expenses | | 47.67 | 49.32 | 50.98 |
| Total | | 359.07 | 344.25 | 337.74 |

Annexure-II

| CALCULATION OF AN | INUAL TR | ANSMISSIC | ON CHARGES | OF ASSET | -4 |
|--|-------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------------------|
| | | | | | (Rs. In lacs) |
| Rationalised cost of 400 kv line Rs lakh per ckt km | 47.54 | | | | Life in years = 25 |
| Transmission Line length , in ckt km | 12 | 2.62 | | | Voltage = 132 kV |
| Multiplication factor | 0 | .31 | | | |
| | | | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Gross Block | | | 185.99 | 185.99 | 185.99 |
| Addition during 2014-19 due to Projec Capitalisation | ted Additio | nal | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Gross Block total | | | 185.99 | 185.99 | 185.99 |
| Average Gross Blcok | | | 185.99 | 185.99 | 185.99 |
| Depreciation | | | | | |
| Rate of Depreciation | | 5.2800% | 5.2800% | 5.2800% | 5.2800% |
| Depreciable value | | 90% | 167.39 | 167.39 | 167.39 |
| Elapsed Life as on 31.03.2014 | | 5 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 7.00 |
| Balance useful life of the asset | | | 20.00 | 19.00 | 18.00 |
| Remaining Depreciable value | | | 118.29 | 108.47 | 98.65 |
| Cumulative Depreciation | 49.10 | 49.10 | 58.92 | 68.74 | 78.56 |
| | 118.29 | 0.00 | | | |
| Depreciation | | | 9.82 | 9.82 | 9.82 |
| Interest on Loan | | | | | |
| Gross Normative Loan | | 130.19 | 130.19 | 130.19 | 130.19 |
| Cumulative Repayment upto | | | 49.10 | 68.74 | 78.56 |
| Previous Year | | | | | |
| Net Loan-Opening | | | 81.09 | 61.45 | 51.63 |
| Addition due to Additional Capitalisation | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Repayment during the year | | | 9.82 | 9.82 | 9.82 |
| Net Loan-Closing | | | 71.27 | 51.63 | 41.81 |
| Average Loan | | | 76.18 | 56.54 | 46.72 |
| Weighted Average Rate of Inte | erest on Lo | an | 7.1141% | 7.1680% | 7.2104% |
| Interest | | | 5.42 | 4.05 | 3.37 |
| Return on Equity | | | | | |
| Gross Notional Equity | | 55.80 | | | |
| Opening Equity | | | 55.80 | 55.80 | 55.80 |
| Average Equity | 1 | | 55.80 | 55.80 | 55.80 |

| Rate of Return on Equity | | 15.50% | 15.50% | 15.50% |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Return on Equity | | 8.65 | 8.65 | 8.65 |
| | | | | |
| Interest on Working Capital | | | | |
| Maintenance Spares | | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.82 |
| O & M expenses | | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.45 |
| Receivables | 2 Months | 4.97 | 4.77 | 4.68 |
| Total | | | | 5.95 |
| | | 6.16 | 6.00 | |
| Interest | 13.50% | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.80 |
| Annual Transmission Charges | | | | |
| Depreciation | | 9.82 | 9.82 | 9.82 |
| Interest on Loan | | 5.42 | 4.05 | 3.37 |
| Return on Equity | | 8.65 | 8.65 | 8.65 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | 0.83 | 0.81 | 0.80 |
| O & M Expenses | | 5.10 | 5.28 | 5.45 |
| Total | | 29.82 | 28.61 | 28.09 |

Annexure-III

| CALCULATION OF ANNUAL TR | | | |
|---|-------------|---------|--|
| | | 7.00 | (₹ in lakh) Life in years = 25 |
| Rationalised cost of 400 kV line ₹ lakh per ckt km | | 27.20 | |
| Transmission Line length, in ckt km | 1 | 06.86 | Voltage = 400 kV |
| Multiplication factor | | 1.00 | |
| • | | | 2016-17 |
| Gross Block | | | 2906.59 |
| Addition during 2014-19 due to Pro Capitalisation | pjected Add | itional | 0.00 |
| Gross Block total | | | 2906.59 |
| Average Gross Block | | | 2906.59 |
| Depreciation | | | |
| Rate of Depreciation | | 5.2800% | 5.2800% |
| Depreciable value | | 90% | 2615.93 |
| Elapsed Life as on 31.03.2014 | | 9 | 11.00 |
| Balance useful life of the asset | | | 14.00 |
| Remaining Depreciable value | | | 927.78 |
| Cumulative Depreciation | 1381.21 | 1381.21 | 1841.62 |
| | 1234.72 | 0.00 | |
| Depreciation | | | 153.47 |
| Interest on Loan | | | |
| Gross Normative Loan | | 2034.61 | 2034.61 |
| Cumulative Repayment upto | | | 1841.62 |
| Previous Year | | | |
| Net Loan-Opening | | | 193.00 |
| Addition due to Additional | | | 0.00 |
| Capitalisation | | | |
| Repayment during the year | | | 153.47 |
| Net Loan-Closing | | | 39.53 |
| Average Loan | | | 116.26 |
| Weighted Average Rate of Interest | on Loan | | 7.2104% |
| Interest | | | 8.38 |
| Return on Equity | | | |
| Gross Notional Equity | | 871.98 | |
| Opening Equity | | | 871.98 |
| Average Equity | | | 871.98 |
| Rate of Return on Equity | | | 15.50% |
| Return on Equity | | | 135.16 |

| Interest on Working Capital | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------|
| Maintenance Spares | | 6.92 |
| O & M expenses | | 3.85 |
| Receivables | 2 Months | 58.76 |
| Total | | 69.53 |
| Interest | 13.50% | 9.39 |
| | | |
| Annual Transmission Charges | | |
| Depreciation | | 153.47 |
| Interest on Loan | | 8.38 |
| Return on Equity | | 135.16 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | 9.39 |
| O & M Expenses | | 46.16 |
| Total | | 352.56 |

Annexure-IV

| CALCULATION OF ANNUAL T | RANSMISSI | ON CHARGES | OF ASSET-15 |
|---|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
| Rationalised cost of 400 kV line ₹ lakh per ckt km | 6 | 5.67 | Life in years = 25 |
| Transmission Line length, in ckt | 10 | 07.48 | Voltage = 400 kV |
| km | | | |
| Multiplication factor | | 1.00 | |
| | | | 2016-17 |
| Gross Block | | | 7058.21 |
| Addition during 2014-19 due to Pro | ected Additi | ional | 0.00 |
| Capitalisation | , | | |
| Gross Block total | | | 7058.21 |
| Average Gross Block | | | 7058.21 |
| | | | |
| Depreciation | | 5 00000/ | 5 00000/ |
| Rate of Depreciation | | 5.2800% | 5.2800% |
| Depreciable value | | 90% | 6352.39 |
| Elapsed Life as on 31.03.2014 | | 1 | 3.00 |
| Balance useful life of the asset | | | 22.00 |
| Remaining Depreciable value | 070.07 | 272.67 | 5234.37 |
| Cumulative Depreciation | 372.67 | 372.67 | 1490.69 |
| Depreciation | 5979.72 | 0.00 | 372.67 |
| | | | 012.01 |
| Interest on Loan | | | |
| Gross Normative Loan | | 4940.75 | 4940.75 |
| Cumulative Repayment upto | | | 1490.69 |
| Previous Year | | | |
| Net Loan-Opening | | | 3450.05 |
| Addition due to Additional | | | 0.00 |
| Capitalisation | | | |
| Repayment during the year | | | 372.67 |
| Net Loan-Closing | | | 3077.38 |
| Average Loan | | | 3263.72 |
| Weighted Average Rate of Interest | on Loan | 1 | 7.2104% |
| Interest | | | 235.33 |
| Return on Equity | | | |
| Gross Notional Equity | 1 | 2117.46 | |
| Opening Equity | | | 2117.46 |
| Average Equity | | | 2117.46 |
| Rate of Return on Equity | | | 15.50% |
| Return on Equity | | | 328.21 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | | |

| Maintenance Spares | | 6.96 |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|
| O & M expenses | | 3.87 |
| Receivables | 2 Months | 167.79 |
| Total | | 178.63 |
| Interest | 13.50% | 24.11 |
| | | |
| Annual Transmission Charges | | |
| Depreciation | | 372.67 |
| Interest on Loan | | 235.33 |
| Return on Equity | | 328.21 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | 24.11 |
| O & M Expenses | | 46.43 |
| Total | | 1006.75 |

Annexure-V

| CALCULATION OF ANNUAL TRANS | SMISSION | CHARGES | OF ASSET-16 |
|--|-------------|---------|--------------------|
| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
| Rationalised cost of 400 kV line ₹ lakh per ckt km | 47.54 | | Life in years =25 |
| Transmission Line length, in ckt km | 17 | 2.50 | Voltage = 400 kV |
| Multiplication factor | 1 | .00 | |
| | | | 2016-17 |
| Gross Block | | | 8200.65 |
| Addition during 2014-19 due to Projecte | ed Addition | al | 0.00 |
| Capitalisation | 1 | | |
| Gross Block total | | | 8200.65 |
| Average Gross Block | | | 8200.65 |
| Depreciation | | | |
| Rate of Depreciation | | 5.2800% | 5.2800% |
| Depreciable value | | 90% | 7380.59 |
| Elapsed Life as on 31.03.2014 | | 5 | 7.00 |
| Balance useful life of the asset | | | 18.00 |
| Remaining Depreciable value | | | 4349.62 |
| Cumulative Depreciation | 2164.97 | 2164.97 | 3463.95 |
| | 5215.61 | 0.00 | |
| Depreciation | | | 432.99 |
| Interest on Loan | | | |
| Gross Normative Loan | | 5740.46 | 5740.46 |
| Cumulative Repayment upto Previous Year | | | 3463.95 |
| Net Loan-Opening | | | 2276.50 |
| Addition due to Additional | | | 0.00 |
| Capitalisation | | | 400.00 |
| Repayment during the year | | | 432.99 |
| Net Loan-Closing | | | 1843.51 2060.00 |
| Average Loan Weighted Average Rate of Interest on L | 020 | | 7.2104% |
| Interest | | | 148.53 |
| | | | 140.00 |
| Return on Equity | | | |
| Gross Notional Equity | | 2460.20 | |
| Opening Equity | | | 2460.20 |
| Average Equity | | | 2460.20 |
| Rate of Return on Equity | | | 15.50% |
| Return on Equity | | | 381.33 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | | |
| Maintenance Spares | | | 11.18 |
| O & M expenses | | | 6.21 |

| Receivables | 2 Months | 177.28 |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|
| Total | | 194.66 |
| Interest | 13.50% | 26.28 |
| | | |
| Annual Transmission Charges | | |
| Depreciation | | 432.99 |
| Interest on Loan | | 148.53 |
| Return on Equity | | 381.33 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | 26.28 |
| O & M Expenses | | 74.52 |
| Total | | 1063.66 |

Annexure-VI

| CALCULATION OF ANNUAL TR | RANSMISSIC | ON CHARGES | 6 OF ASSET-17 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | | (₹ in lakh) |
| Rationalised cost of 400 kV line ₹ | 2 | 7.20 | Life in years = 25 |
| lakh per ckt km | | | Voltage = 400 kV |
| Transmission Line length, in ckt km | 17 | 78.07 | voltage = 400 KV |
| Multiplication factor | | 1.00 | |
| • | | | 2016-17 |
| Gross Block | | | 4843.50 |
| Addition during 2014-19 due to Pr | ojected Addi | tional | 0.00 |
| Capitalisation | | | |
| Gross Block total | | | 4843.50 |
| Average Gross Block | | | 4843.50 |
| Depreciation | | | |
| Rate of Depreciation | | 5.2800% | 5.2800% |
| Depreciable value | | 90% | 4359.15 |
| Elapsed Life as on 31.03.2014 | | 9 | 11.00 |
| Balance useful life of the asset | | | 14.00 |
| Remaining Depreciable value | | | 1546.05 |
| Cumulative Depreciation | 2301.63 | 2301.63 | 3068.84 |
| | 2057.52 | 0.00 | |
| Depreciation | | | 255.74 |
| Interest on Loan | | | |
| Gross Normative Loan | | 3390.45 | 3390.45 |
| Cumulative Repayment upto | | | 3068.84 |
| Previous Year | | | |
| Net Loan-Opening | | | 321.61 |
| Addition due to Additional | | | 0.00 |
| Capitalisation | | | |
| Repayment during the year | | | 255.74 |
| Net Loan-Closing | | | 65.87 |
| Average Loan | | | 193.74 |
| Weighted Average Rate of Interes | t on Loan | | 7.2104% |
| Interest | | | 13.97 |
| Return on Equity | | | |
| Gross Notional Equity | | 1453.05 | |
| Opening Equity | | | 1453.05 |
| Average Equity | | | 1453.05 |
| Rate of Return on Equity | | | 15.50% |
| Return on Equity | | | 225.22 |
| | _ | | |
| Interest on Working Capital | | | |
| Maintenance Spares | | | 11.54 |

| O & M expenses | | 6.41 |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------|
| Receivables | 2 Months | 97.92 |
| Total | | 115.87 |
| Interest | 13.50% | 15.64 |
| | | |
| Annual Transmission Charges | | |
| Depreciation | | 255.74 |
| Interest on Loan | | 13.97 |
| Return on Equity | | 225.22 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | 15.64 |
| O & M Expenses | | 76.93 |
| Total | | 587.50 |

Annexure-VII

| CALCULATION OF ANNUAL TRANSMISSION CHARGES OF ASSET-20 | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--|--|
| (₹ in lakh) | | | | | |
| Rationalised cost of 400 kV line ₹ lakh per ckt km | 65.67 | | Life in years = 25 | | |
| Transmission Line length, in km | 58.67 | | Voltage = 220 kV | | |
| Multiplication factor | | 0.57 | | | |
| | | | 2016-17 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Gross Block | | | 2196.13 | | |
| Addition during 2014-19 due to I | Projected A | dditional | 0.00 | | |
| Capitalisation | , | | | | |
| Gross Block total | | | 2196.13 | | |
| Average Gross Block | | | 2196.13 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Depreciation | | | | | |
| Rate of Depreciation | | 5.2800% | 5.2800% | | |
| Depreciable value | | 90% | 1976.52 | | |
| Elapsed Life as on 31.03.2014 | | 1 | 3.00 | | |
| Balance useful life of the asset | | | 22.00 | | |
| Remaining Depreciable value | | | 1628.65 | | |
| Cumulative Depreciation | 115.96 | 115.96 | 463.82 | | |
| | 1860.56 | 0.00 | | | |
| Depreciation | | | 115.96 | | |
| Interest on Loan | | | | | |
| Gross Normative Loan | | 1537.29 | 1537.29 | | |
| Cumulative Repayment upto | | | 347.87 | | |
| Previous Year | | | | | |
| Net Loan-Opening | | | 1189.42 | | |
| Addition due to Additional | | | 0.00 | | |
| Capitalisation | | | | | |
| Repayment during the year | | | 115.96 | | |
| Net Loan-Closing | | | 1073.47 | | |
| Average Loan | | | 1131.45 | | |
| Weighted Average Rate of Intere | est on Loai | <u>ר</u> | 7.2104% | | |
| Interest | | | 81.58 | | |
| Return on Equity | | | | | |
| Gross Notional Equity | | 658.84 | | | |
| Opening Equity | | | 658.84 | | |
| Average Equity | | | 658.84 | | |
| Rate of Return on Equity | | | 15.50% | | |
| Return on Equity | | | 102.12 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Interest on Working Capital | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------|
| Maintenance Spares | | 6.64 |
| O & M expenses | | 3.69 |
| Receivables | 2 Months | 58.88 |
| Total | | 69.22 |
| Interest | 13.50% | 9.34 |
| | | |
| Annual Transmission | | |
| Charges | | |
| Depreciation | | 115.96 |
| Interest on Loan | | 81.58 |
| Return on Equity | | 102.12 |
| Interest on Working Capital | | 9.34 |
| O & M Expenses | | 44.30 |
| Total | | 353.30 |