CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Petition No. 291/MP/2018

Subject : Petition under Section 79(1)(c) and 79 (1)(h) of the Electricity

Act, 2003 and Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Open Access in inter-State Transmission) Regulations, 2008 and Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Grant of Connectivity, Long-term Access and Medium-term Open Access in inter-State Transmission and related matters)

Regulations, 2009, for Deviation Settlement Account.

Petitioner : Indian Railways

Respondents : SLDC, Rajasthan and Others

Date of Hearing : 23.7.2019

Coram : Shri P. K. Pujari, Chairperson

Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member Shri I. S. Jha, Member

Parties present : Shri M. G. Ramachandran, Sr. Advocate, Indian Railways

Shri Pukit Agarwal, Advocate, Indian Railways

Shri S. Vallinayagam, Advocate, SLDC Rajasthan & RVPNL

Shri V. K. Gupta, Indian Railways

Record of Proceedings

Learned counsel for the Respondents submitted that the issue involved in the present Petition as to whether the Petitioner is liable to pay the deviation charges as per the regulations of Rajasthan Electricity Regulatory Commission (RERC). It has already been adjudicated by RERC in its order dated 23.4.2019 in Petition No. RERC-1367/18 filed by SLDC Rajasthan in which RERC has held that the dispute pertaining to payment of deviation charges falls under the jurisdiction of RERC. Aggrieved by the said order dated 23.4.2019, the Petitioner has filed Appeal before the APTEL which is pending for adjudication. Learned counsel requested to adjourn the matter till the outcome of the said appeal.

2. Learned senior counsel for the Petitioner submitted that RERC had no jurisdiction to entertain the present Petition which relate to the DSM charges specified by this Commission and the APTEL has also stayed the order of RERC. Learned senior counsel further submitted that the present Petition has been filed prior in point of time than the Petition filed by SLDC, Rajasthan before the RERC. Learned senior counsel requested to hear the matter on merit.



3. After hearing the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Respondents, the Commission adjourned the matter and directed to list the matter in due course.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-(T.D.Pant) Deputy Chief (Law)