CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Petition No. 85/MP/2014

Subject	: Petitions read with appropriate provisions of the CERC (Grant of Connectivity, Long-term Access and Medium-term Open Access in Inter-State Transmission and related matters) Regulations, 2009 and CERC (Sharing of Interstate Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulations, 2010. And in the matter of Non- payment of transmission charges pertaining to LTA of 119.19 MW power from Mejia Unit#8 (DVC) by Damodar Valley Corporation (DVC), Kolkata (Received by way of Remand from APTEL)
Petitioner	: Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
Respondents	: Damodar Valley Corporation and Others
Date of Hearing	: 14.2.2019
Coram	: Shri P. K. Pujari, Chairperson Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member Shri I.S. Jha, Member
Parties present	: Shri Sitesh Mukherjee, Advocate, PGCIL Shri Deep Rao, Advocate, PGCIL Shri Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, PGCIL Ms. Anita A. Srivastava, PGCIL Shri Ashok Pal, PGCIL Shri V. Srinivas, PGCIL Shri Buddy Ranganadhan, Advocate, BYPL Shri Buddy Ranganadhan, Advocate, BYPL Shri Sameer Singh, BYPL Shri Abhishek Srivastava, BYPL Shri Venkatesh, Advocate, DVC Shri Venkatesh, Advocate, DVC Shri Samarth Kashyap, Advocate, DVC Shri Somesh Srivastava, Advocate, DVC Shri Somesh Srivastava, Advocate, DVC Shri Sandeep Rajpurohit, Advocate, DVC Shri Manas Das, POSOCO Shri Subhendu Mukherjee, POSOCO Shri Ashok Rajan, POSOCO Shri G. Chakraborty, POSOCO

Record of Proceedings

Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Hon'ble APTEL vide its order dated 10.1.2019 remanded the matter back to the Commission for a fresh hearing. Learned counsel further submitted as under:

(a) APTEL vide its order dated 10.1.2019 set aside the Commission's order dated 18.12.2017. However, APTEL did not analyze the matter on merits and left all contentions of all the parties open.

(b) The Petitioner has filed Interlocutory Application (IA) for seeking interim directions permitting the Petitioner to treat the LTA capacity of 119.19 MW as relinquished subject to payment of relinquishment charges in accordance with decision in Petition No.92/MP/2015.

2. Learned counsel for BYPL objected to the prayer of IA filed by the Petitioner and submitted that since, BYPL had never applied for LTA, there is no question of relinquishment of LTA. Learned counsel requested for time to file reply to the IA.

3. Learned counsel for DVC and representative of POSOCO requested for time to file their replies.

4. After hearing the learned counsels for the Petitioner, BYPL, DVC and the representative of POSOCO, the Commission directed the Respondents to file their repliesby 8.3.2019 with an advance copy to the Petitioner who may file its rejoinder, if any, by 20.3.2019. The Commission directed that due date of filing the replies and rejoinder should be strictly complied with. No extension shall be granted on that account.

5. The Petition and IA shall be listed for hearing in due course for which separate notice will be issued.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-(T. Rout) Chief (Law)