CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION **NEW DELHI**

Coram:

- 1. Shri Ashok Basu, Chairperson
- 2. Shri Bhanu Bhushan, Member
- 3. Shri A.H.Jung. Member

Petition No. 19/2006

In the matter of

Linking of incentive payment to availability instead of Scheduled Plant Load Factor

And in the matter of

Neyveli Lignite Corporation Ltd., Chennai **Petitioner** Vs 1. Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennai 2. Electricity Deptt. UT Secretariat, Chandigarh 3. Delhi Transco Limited, New Delhi 4. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd., Panchkula 5. Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimla 6. Power Development Deptt. Govt. of J&K, Jammu 7. Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala 8. Rajasthan Rajva Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd., Jaipur 9. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd., Lucknow 10. Uttaranchal Power Corporation Ltd, Dehradun 11. Tehri Hydro Development Corporation Ltd., Noida 12. Northern Central Railway, Allahabad 13. Chattisgarh State Electricity Board, Raipur 14. Electricity Deptt., Govt. of Goa, Panji 15. Guiarat Uria Vikas Nigam Ltd., Vadodara 16. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur 17. Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co, Ltd.. Mumbai 18. Electricity Deptt. Govt. of Daman & Diu Administration, Daman 19. Electricity Deptt. Union Territory of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Silvassa 20. Bombay Suburban Electric Supply Co.Ltd., Mumbai 21. Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad 22. State Power Purchase Co-ordinate Centre, Bangalore 23. Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennai 24. Kerala State Electricity Board, Trivandrum 25. Electricity Deptt., Govt of Pondicherry, Pondicherry 26. Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna 27. Damodar Valley Corporation, Kolkatta 28. Jharkhand State Electricity Board, Jharkhand 29. Orissa Power Generation Corporation Ltd., Bhubaneswar 30. Orissa Hydro Power Corporation Ltd., Bhubaneswar 31. Deptt. of Power, Govt. of Sikkim, Gangtok 32. West Bengal State Electricity Board, Calcutta 33. CESC Limited, Kolkatta 34. Grid Corporation of Orissa Ltd., Bhubaneswar

36. Assam State Electricity Board, Guwahati 37. Electricity Deptt., Govt. of Manipur, Imphal

35. Deptt. of Power, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar

- 39. Power and Electricity Deptt., Govt. of Mizoram, Aizwal
- 40. Electricity Deptt., Govt. of Nagaland, Kohima
- 41. Tripura State Electricity Corporation Ltd, Agartala
- 42. Satlej Jal Vidyut Nigam Ltd., New Shimla
- 43. North Eastern Electric Power Corporation, Shillong
- 44. National Hydro Electric Power Corporation Ltd., Faridabad
- 45. National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. New Delhi
- 46. Nuclear Power Corporation of India Ltd., Mumbai
- 47. Narmada Hydro Electric Development Corporation Ltd., Bhopal
- 48. Northern Regional Power Committee, New Delhi
- 49. Western Regional Power Committee, Mumbai Kolkatta
- 50. Southern Regional Power Committee, Bangalore
- 51. Eastern Regional Power Committee, Kolkatta
- 52. North-Eastern Regional Power Committee, Shillong
- 53. Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd., New Delhi
- 54. Northern Regional Load Despatch Centre, New Delhi
- 55. Western Regional Load Despatch Centre, Mumbai
- 56. Eastern Regional Load Despatch Centre, Kokatta
- 57. Southern Regional Load Despatch Centre, Bangalore
- 58. Northern Eastern Regional Load Despatch Centre, Shillong
- 59. Central Electricity Authority, New Delhi

Respondents

Following were present:

- Shri R. Suresh, NLC
- 2. Shri S.Ramachandran, NLC
- 3. Shri K.Srinivasa Rao, SRPC
- 4. Shri S.K.Sarangi, OHPC
- 5. Shri Ajay Dua, NTPC
- 6. Shri Surendra, NTPC
- 7. Shri Manjit Singh, WRPC

ORDER (DATE OF HEARING: 26.9.2006)

This petition has been filed for linking of incentive payment to plant availability instead of scheduled Plant Load Factor, as at present.

- 2. The Commission by its order dated 6.6.2006 had directed the petitioner to circulate a comprehensive paper on the subject to all concerned, the central generating companies, RLDCs and RPCs, and the State utilities and all others, who were directed to file their views on the proposal.
- 3. Some of the Central /State utilities and the stakeholders have filed replies to the paper circulated by the petitioner. However, none has appeared on behalf of the State Utilities.

4. Heard the representative of the petitioner and NTPC. It has been stated on behalf of NTPC that paper circulated does not incorporate all the details necessary for proper appreciation of the issues involved. The representative of NTPC has submitted that the petitioner should circulate a revised comprehensive paper incorporating its views on the subject in the absence of which the State utilities and other stakeholders may feel handicapped to respond.

5. The representative of the petitioner has agreed to circulate a revised comprehensive paper on the subject, to be prepared in consultation with NTPC. As the issue is of vital significance, and as part of the overall consultative process, we direct that the petitioner and NTPC will jointly prepare a comprehensive paper and circulate it among the Central/State utilities, RLDCs/RPCs and all others concerned, along with a copy of the order, a confirmation for which will be submitted by the petitioner/NTPC along with the list of the persons to whom the revised paper has been circulated.

- 6. Meanwhile, on the request of representative of the petitioner, the present petition stands dismissed since it has become infructuous.
- 7. The date of hearing will be fixed after receipt of confirmation regarding circulation of the revised paper from the petitioner/NTPC.

Sd/-(A.H.JUNG) MEMBER sd/(BHANU BHUSHAN)
MEMBER

sd/-(ASHOK BASU) CHAIRPERSON

New Delhi, dated the 26th September 2006