CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Coram:

1. Shri Ashok Basu, Chairperson 2. Shri Bhanu Bhushan Member

Petition No.56/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Korba Super Thermal Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.158/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd.

.... Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur
- 2. Maharashtra State Electricity Board, Mumbai
- 3. Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd., Vadodara
- 4. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Raipur
- 5. Electricity Department, Govt. of Goa, Panaji, Goa
- 6. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman
- 7. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Silvasa Respondents

Petition No.57/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Ramagundam Super Thermal Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.161/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

- 1. Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Ltd., Hyderabad
- 2. Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennai
- 3. Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Ltd., Banglaore
- 4. Kerala State Electricity Board, Thiruvananthapuram
- 5. Electricity Department, Govt. of Pondicherry, Pondicherry
- 6. Electricity Department, Govt. of Goa, Panaji , Goa Respondents

Petition No.69/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Anta Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.192 /2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd.

.... Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd., Lucknow
- 2. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Jaipur
- 3. Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Ajmer
- 4. Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Jodhpur
- 5. Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi
- 6. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd., Panchkula
- 7. Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala
- 8. Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimala
- 9. Power Development Deptt., Govt. fof J&K, Srinagar
- 10. Power Department (Union Territory of Chandigarh), Chandigarh
- 11. Uttaranchal Power Corporation Ltd., Dehradun Respondents

Petition No.78/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Auraiya Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.193 /2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd., Lucknow

- 2. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Jaipur
- 3. Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Ajmer
- 4. Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Jodhpur
- 5. Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi
- 6. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd., Panchkula
- 7. Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala
- 8. Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimala
- 9. Power Development Deptt., Govt. of J&K, Srinagar

10. Power Department (Union Territory of Chandigarh), Chandigarh

11. Uttaranchal Power Corporation Ltd., Dehradun Respondents

Petition No.77/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of National Capital Thermal Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.194 /2005.

And in the matter of National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Vs	 Petitioner
 Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd., Lucknow Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi 	 Respondents

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Dadri Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.195 /2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd., Lucknow
- 2. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Jaipur
- 3. Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Ajmer
- 4. Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., Jodhpur
- 5. Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi
- 6. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd., Panchkula
- 7. Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala
- 8. Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimala
- 9. Power Development Deptt., Govt. of J&K, Srinagar
- 10. Power Department (Union Territory of Chandigarh), Chandigarh
- 11. Uttaranchal Power Corporation Ltd., Dehradun Respondents

Petition No.71/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Farakka Super Thermal Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.196 /2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

- 1. West Bengal State Electricity Board, Kolkata
- 2. Bihar Sate Electricity Board, Patna
- 3. Jharkhand State Electricity Board, Ranchi
- 4. Grid Corporation of Orissa Ltd., Bhubaneswar
- 5. Damodar Valley Corporation, Kolkata
- 6. Power Department, Govt. of Gangtok, Sikkim, Govt. of Gangtok
- 7. Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennai
- 8. Uniton Territory of Pondicherry Electricity Department, Pondicherry
- 9. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd., Lucknow
- 10. Power Development Dept, (Govt. of J&K), Srinagar
- 11. Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi
- 12. Power Department, Union Territory of Chandigarh, Chandigarh
- 13. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur
- 14. Maharashtra State Electricity Board, Mumbai
- 15. Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd., Vadodara
- 16. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman
- 17. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Silvasa**Respondents**

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Jhanor Gandhar Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.206/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

- 1. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur
- 2. Maharashtra State Electricity Board, Mumbai
- 3. Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd., Vadodara
- 4. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Raipur
- 5. Electricity Department, Govt. of Goa, Panaji, Goa
- 6. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman
- 7. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Silvasa Respondents

Petition No.108/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Talcher Thermal Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.33 /2006.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd.	 Petitioner
Vs	
Grid Corporation of Orissa Ltd, Bhubaneswar	 Respondent

Petition No.136/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employee cost in respect of Kawas Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No.46/2006.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

- 1. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur
- 2. Maharashtra State Electricity Board, Mumbai
- 3. Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd., Vadodara
- 4. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Raipur
- 5. Electricity Department, Govt. of Goa, Panaji, Goa
- 6. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman
- 7. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Silvasa Respondents

The following were present:

Shri S.N. Goel, NTPC
 Shri I.J. Kapoor, NTPC
 Shri S.K. Samui, NTPC
 Shri S.K. Samui, NTPC
 Shri A.S. Pandey, NTPC
 Shri Vivake Kumar, DGM, NTPC
 Shri S.K. Sharma, DGM (C), NTPC
 Shri D. Kar, NTPC
 Shri Ajay Dua, NTPC
 Shri P.B. Venkatesh, NTPC
 Shri R.B. Sharma, Advocate, BSEB
 Shri T.P.S. Bawa, OSD(C), PSEB
 Shri D. Khandelwal, MPPTCL

ORDER (DATE OF HEARING: 14.11.2006)

These petitions have been heard pursuant to the common order dated 7.9.2006 made by the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in Appeals No.158/2006 and other related appeals.

2. We have heard Shri S.N. Goel for the petitioner and the representatives of the respondents present at the hearing.

3. Shri Goel stated that the total impact of implementation of the order of the Appellate Tribunal should be within the range of Rs.30 crore to 35 crore. He stated that the petitioner would file the details of calculations, along with auditors' certificates, in support of its revised claim for O&M expenses on account of revision of employee cost w.e.f. 1.1.1997, separately for each generating station. For this purpose, Shri Goel requested for two months time.

5

4. Let the detailed calculations as aforesaid be filed latest by 15.1.2007 with

copy to the respondents who may file their comments on them by 31.1.2007.

5. Subject to above, orders on petitions reserved.

Sd/-(BHANU BHUSHAN) MEMBER

Sd/-(ASHOK BASU) CHAIRPERSON

New Delhi dated the 14th November 2006