CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Review Petition No. 18/RP/2017 in Petition No. 92/TT/2011

Subject: Review Petition No.18/RP/2017 in Petition No. 92/TT/2011 under Section

94(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003

And in the matter of:

Review Petition No. 19/RP/2017 in Petition No. 94/TT/2011 alongwith 23/IA/2017

Subject: Review Petition No.19/RP/2017 in Petition No. 94/TT/2011 under Section

94(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003

And in the matter of:

Review Petition No. 65/RP/2016 in Petition No. 18/TT/2015

Subject: Review Petition No.65/RP/2016 in Petition No. 18/TT/2015 under Section

94(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003

And in the matter of:

Review Petition No. 66/RP/2016 in Petition No. 528/TT/2014

Subject: Review Petition No. 66/RP/2016 in Petition No. 528/TT/2014 under

Section 94(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Date of Hearing : 23.10.2018

Coram : Shri P. K. Pujari, Chairperson

Dr. M.K. Iyer, Member

Applicant: Greenko Budhil Hydro Power Pvt. Ltd. (GBHPL)

Respondents: Power Grid Corporation of India Limited and 17 others

Parties present : Shri Sanjay Sen, Senior Advocate, GBHPL

Shri Buddy A. Rangandhan, Advocate, GBHPL

Shri Hemant Singh, Advocate, GBHPL Shri Nishant Kumar, Advocate, GBHPL Shri Tushar Srivastava, Advocate, GBHPL Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Advocate, PGCIL



Shri Tushar Mathur, Advocate, PGCIL
Shri Rajshree Choudhary, Advocate, PTC (India)
Shri Rajesh Verma, PGCIL
Shri V. Srinivas, PGCIL
Shri Abhay Choudhary, PGCIL
Shri S. S. Raju, PGCIL
Shri S. K. Venkatesan, PGCIL
Ms. Jyoti Prasad, PGCIL
Shri V. P. Rastogi, PGCIL
Shri J. Mazumder, PGCIL

Record of Proceedings

The learned senior counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for PGCIL advanced their arguments before the Commission in detail.

- 2. The Commission directed the petitioner to submit the following information, on affidavit by 5.11.2018 with an advance copy to the respondents:-
 - (i) Date of COD of bays at Budhil HEP and associated line.

Shri Aryaman Saxena, PGCIL

- (ii) Whether LANCO Budhil (Greenko Budhil) and Chamera III of NHPC were using 220 kV D/C line from Chamera-III Pooling Station to Chamba Pooling Station and 400 kV S/C line from Chamba Pooling Station to Chamera-II prior to May, 2012 for its commissioning activities like drawal of start-up power/injection of infirm power, if so, the date of such utilization by LANCO and Chamera-III.
- 3. The Commission directed the petitioner to submit the said information within the said time and observed that no extension of time shall be granted.
- 4. Subject to the above, the Commission reserved the order in the petition.

By order of the Commission

sd/-(T. Rout) Chief (Law)

