## CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

## Petition No. 278/MP/2018

Subject : Petition under Section 79(1)(c) & 79(1)(f) of the Electricity

Act, 2003 read with Regulation 32 and the detailed procedure as envisaged under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Grant of Connectivity, Long Term, Medium Term Open Access in intra-State and

related matters) Regulations, 2009.

Petitioner : Jindal India Thermal Power Limited (JITPL)

Respondents : South Eastern Central Railways and Others

Petition No. 303/MP/2018

Subject : Petition under Section 79(1)(c) & 79(1)(f) of the Electricity

Act, 2003 read with Regulation 32 and the detailed procedure as envisaged under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Grant of Connectivity, Long Term, Medium Term Open Access in intra- State and

related matters) Regulations, 2009.

Petitioner : Jindal India Thermal Power Limited (JITPL)

Respondents : The Northern Railways (Ambala Division) and Another

Petition No. 304/MP/2018

Subject: Petition under Section 79(1)(b), 79(1)(c) & 79(1)(f) of the

Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 32 and the detailed procedure as envisaged under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Grant of Connectivity, Long Term, Medium Term Open Access in intra-State

and related matters) Regulations, 2009.

Petitioner : Jindal India Thermal Power Limited (JITPL)

Respondents : East Central Railway and Another

Petition No. 339/MP/2018

Subject: Petition under Section 79(1)(b), 79(1)(c) & 79(1)(f) of the

Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 32 and the detailed procedure as envisaged under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Grant of Connectivity, Long Term, Medium Term Open Access in intra-State

and related matters) Regulations, 2009.



Petitioner : Jindal India Thermal Power Limited (JITPL)

Respondents : East Central Railway and Another

Date of Hearing : 5.9.2019

Coram : Shri P. K. Pujari, Chairperson

Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member Shri I.S. Jha, Member

Parties present : Ms. Rhea Luthra, Advocate, JITPL

Shri Pulkit Agarwal, Advocate, Indian Railways

## Record of Proceedings

Learned proxy counsel for Petitioner submitted that arguing counsel is not available due to personal difficulty and requested to adjourn the matters. Learned counsel for the Respondents had no objection in this regard. Accordingly, the Commission adjourned the Petitions.

2. The Petitions shall be listed for hearing in due course for which separate notice will be issued.

By order of the Commission

sd/-(T.D. Pant) Deputy Chief (Legal)

