## CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Review Petition No. 46/RP/2018 in Petition No. 192/TT/2017

Subject: Review Petition No. 46/RP/2018 seeking review of order dated

9.10.2018 in Petition No. 192/TT/2017.

Date of Hearing: 7.3.2019

**Coram** : Shri P.K. Pujari, Chairperson

Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member

**Petitioner**: Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL)

Respondents: Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Limited

(MPPMCL) and 7 Others

Parties present: Shri Sitesh Mukherjee, Advocate, PGCIL

Shri Deep Rao, Advocate, PGCIL

Shri Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, PGCIL

Shri S. K. Venkatesan, PGCIL Shri Pankaj Sharma, PGCIL Shri S. S. Raju, PGCIL

## **Record of Proceedings**

Learned counsel for the Review Petitioner submitted that IDC of ₹1869 lakh was disallowed and it is due to non-consideration of the foreign loan component which was submitted in the main petition. Learned counsel submitted that the details of the loans procured for construction of the transmission assets, which included foreign loans, was not taken into cognizance in the impugned order. Learned counsel submitted that there is no correlation between the amount claimed and amount allowed. He submitted that no opportunity was given to the Review Petitioner to explain the difference in computation. Learned counsel further submitted that the Review Petitioner may be given an opportunity to raise this issue at the time of truing up the 2014-19 tariff.



2.	After hearing the	arguments	of the	Review	Petitioner,	the	Commission	reserved	the t
ord	er in the matter.								

By order of the Commission

sd/-(T. Rout) Chief (Law)

