## CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

## **Petition No. 215/TT/2020**

**Subject** : Petition for revision of transmission tariff of 2001-04,

2004-09 and 2009-14 periods, truing up of transmission tariff of 2014-19 period and determination of transmission tariff of 2019-24 period for one no. of asset under "Eastern Western Inter

Regional Link".

Date of Hearing : 9.7.2021

Coram : Shri I. S. Jha, Member

Shri Arun Goyal, Member

Shri Pravas Kumar Singh, Member

**Petitioner** : Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.

**Respondents**: Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Ltd.

and 12 Others

Parties present : Shri S. S. Raju, PGCIL

Shri D. K. Biswal, PGCIL

Shri Ved Prakash Rastogi, PGCIL

Shri Amit Yadav, PGCIL Shri Anindya Khare, MPPMCL

## **Record of Proceedings**

Case was called out for virtual hearing.

- 2. The representative of the Petitioner made the following submissions:
  - a. The instant petition has been filed for revision of transmission tariff of 2001-04, 2004-09 and 2009-14 periods, truing up of transmission tariff of 2014-19 period and determination of transmission tariff of 2019-24 period in respect of 400 kV D/C Raipur-Rourkela Transmission Line alongwith Raipur & Rourkela Extension under "Eastern Western Inter Regional Link".
  - b. The asset was put under commercial operation on 1.4.2003.
  - c. Revised tariff of 2001-04, 2004-09 and 2009-14 periods is claimed pursuant to directions of the Commission vide order dated 18.1.2019 in Petition No. 121/2007



- in line with APTEL judgments dated 22.1.2007 in Appeal No. 81 of 2005 and batch matters and dated 13.6.2007 in Appeal No 139 of 2006 and batch matters.
- d. Transmission tariff for 2014-19 period of the instant asset was determined by the Commission vide order dated 7.10.2015 in Petition 163/TT/2014.
- e. Admitted capital cost as per order dated 7.10.2015 in Petition 163/TT/2014 has been considered for 2014-19 tariff period and 2019-24 tariff period. No Additional Capital Expenditure has been claimed in 2014-19 and 2019-24 tariff periods.
- f. Rejoinder to the reply of MPPMCL has been filed vide affidavit dated 8.6.2021.
- 3. The representative of MPPMCL submitted that the reply filed by it vide affidavit dated 29.2.2020 may be considered while considering the claims of the Petitioner.
- 4. After hearing the parties, the Commission reserved order in the matter.

By order of the Commission

sd/-(V. Sreenivas) Deputy Chief (Law)

