CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION New Delhi

Petition No. 413/TT/2019

Subject : Revision of transmission tariff of the 2004-09 and 2009-14 tariff periods, truing up of transmission tariff of the 2014-19 tariff period and determination of transmission tariff of the 2019-24 tariff period for Combined Assets of Tarapur Transmission System associated with Tarapur Atomic Station Stages-3&4 in the Western Region

Date of Hearing : 20.4.2021

- Coram : Shri P.K. Pujari, Chairperson Shri I.S. Jha, Member Shri Arun Goyal, Member Shri Pravas Kumar Singh, Member
- **Petitioner** : Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd. (PGCIL)
- **Respondents :** Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Ltd. (MPPMCL) & 12 Others
- Parties Present : Shri S.S Raju, PGCIL Shri B. Dash, PGCIL Shri Ved Prakash Rastogi, PGCIL Shri A.K. Verma, PGCIL Shri D.K. Biswal, PGCIL Shri Anindya Kumar Khare, MPPMCL

Record of Proceedings

Case was called out for virtual hearing.

2. The representative of the Petitioner has made the following submissions:

a. Instant petition is filed for revision of transmission tariff of the 2004-09 and 2009-14 tariff periods, truing up of transmission tariff of the 2014-19 tariff period and determination of transmission tariff of the 2019-24 for Combined Assets of Tarapur Transmission System associated with Tarapur Atomic Station Stages-3&4 in the Western Region. The asset was put into commercial operation on 1.9.2005;

b. As per the directions of the Commission in order dated 18.1.2019 in Petition No. 121/2007, revision of tariff for the instant asset has been claimed with regard to interest on loan and maintenance of spares for working capital;

c. No Additional Capital Expenditure (ACE) is claimed in the 2014-19 and 2019-24 tariff periods;



d. The trued up tariff for the 2014-19 tariff period and tariff for 2019-24 tariff period is claimed based on the capital cost admitted vide order dated 7.10.2015 in Petition No. 159/TT/2014; and

e. The additional information sought through Technical Validation letter was filed vide affidavit dated 3.8.2020. Rejoinder to the reply of MPPMCL dated 6.2.2020 has been filed vide affidavit dated 28.7.2020.

3. The representative for MPPMCL submitted that the reply filed by MPPMCL in the matter may be considered.

4. After hearing the parties, the Commission reserved the order in the matter.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-(V. Sreenivas) Dy. Chief (Law)