CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Petition No. 203/TT/2021

Coram:

Shri P. K. Pujari, Chairperson Shri I. S. Jha, Member Shri Arun Goyal, Member Shri P. K. Singh, Member

Date of Order: 26.05.2022

In the matter of:

Approval under Regulation 86 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 and determination of transmission tariff of the 2019-24 tariff period under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019 for 400 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station-Banaskantha (PG) D/C line alongwith 2 nos. 400 kV line bays at Banaskanta (PG) under "Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat" in Western Region.

And in the matter of:

Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, 'SAUDAMINI', Plot No-2, Sector 29, Gurgaon-122001 (Haryana).

.....Petitioner

Versus

- Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Limited, Shakti Bhawan, Rampur, Jabalpur-482008.
- Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Limited, Shakti Bhawan, Rampur Jabalpur-482008.
- Madhya Pradesh Audyogik Kendra Vikas Nigam (Indore) Limited, 3/54, Press Complex, Agra-Bombay Road, Indore-452008.
- Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Limited, Hongkong Bank Building, 3rd Floor, M.G. Road, Fort, Mumbal-400001.

- Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Company Limited, Prakashganga, 6th Floor, Plot No. C-19, E-Block, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai-400051.
- Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited, Sardar Patel Vidyut Bhawan, Race Course Road, Vadodara-390007.
- 7. Electricity Department, Government of Goa, Vidyut Bhawan, Near Mandvi Hotel, Panaji, Goa-403001.
- 8. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman-396210.
- DNH Power Distribution Corporation Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, 66 kV Road, Near Secretariat, Amli, Silvassa-396230.
- 10. Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Company Limited, State Load Despatch Building, Dangania, Raipur-492013.
- Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, P.O. Sunder Nagar, Dangania, Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492013.
- Gujarat Power Corporation Limited, Block No. 8, Sixth Floor, Udhyog Bhawan, Sector-11, Gandhinagar-382011

.....Respondent(s)

For Petitioner : Shri S. S. Raju, PGCIL

Shri D. K. Biswal, PGCIL

Shri Ved Prakash Rastogi, PGCIL

Shri A. K. Verma, PGCIL

For Respondents : Shri Anindya Khare, MPPMCL

ORDER

The instant petition has been filed by Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, a deemed transmission licensee, for determination of transmission tariff for the period from COD to 31.3.2024 under the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019 (hereinafter referred to as "the 2019 Tariff Regulations") in respect of 400 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station-Banaskantha (PG) D/C line along with 2 numbers 400 kV line bays at Banaskantha (PG) (hereinafter referred to as "the transmission asset") under "Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat" in Western Region (hereinafter referred to as "the transmission system").

2. The Petitioner has made the following prayers in this petition:

- "1) Tariff may be allowed as claimed based on 30% of the cost considered as equity and 70% of the cost as Loan, after adjustment of grant.
- 2) Approve the Transmission Tariff for the tariff block 2019-24 block for the assets covered under this petition, as per para –8.0 above.
- 3) Admit the capital cost as claimed in the Petition and approve the Additional Capitalization incurred/projected to be incurred.
- 4) Allow the petitioner to recover the shortfall or refund the excess Annual Fixed Charges, on account of Return on Equity due to change in applicable Minimum Alternate/Corporate Income Tax rate as per the Income Tax Act, 1961 (as amended from time to time) of the respective financial year directly without making any application before the Commission as provided in Tariff Regulation 2019 as per para 8 above for respective block.
- 5) Approve the reimbursement of expenditure by the beneficiaries towards petition filing fee, and expenditure on publishing of notices in newspapers in terms of Regulation 70 (1) Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019, and other expenditure (if any) in relation to the filing of petition.
- 6) Allow the petitioner to bill and recover Licensee fee and RLDC fees and charges, separately from the respondents in terms of Regulation 70 (3) and (4) Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019.
- 7) Allow the petitioner to bill and adjust impact on Interest on Loan due to change in Interest rate on account of floating rate of interest applicable during 2019-24 period, if any, from the beneficiaries.
- 8) Allow the Petitioner to bill and recover GST on Transmission Charges separately



from the respondents, if GST on transmission is levied at any rate in future. Further, any taxes including GST and duties including cess etc. imposed by any statutory/Govt./municipal authorities shall be allowed to be recovered from the beneficiaries.

9) Allow interim tariff in accordance with Regulation 10(3) of Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019 for purpose of inclusion in the PoC charges.

and pass such other relief as Hon'ble Commission deems fit and appropriate under the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice"

3. Backdrop of the case

- a) The Petitioner has been entrusted with the implementation of Transmission system and Supplementary Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat. The complete scope consists of 400 kV D/C Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station–Banaskantha (PG) line and 400/220 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) GIS Pooling Station including 2x500 MVA ICTs and associated 400 kV and 220 kV bays.
- b) The scope of the scheme was discussed and agreed in the 40th and 41st SCM meeting of WR held on 1.6.2016 and 21.12.2016 (also, subsequent meeting held on 17.1.2017) and 32nd and 34th WRPC meeting held on 24.8.2016 and 28.7.2017.
- c) The Commission *vide* order dated 30.3.2017 in Petition No. 143/MP/2016 granted regulatory approval for execution of the transmission assets.
- d) The Petitioner has filed two petitions for the transmission assets covered for the evacuation of same generation project namely, Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat. The Petition No. 74/TT/2021 has been filed for the sub-station portion (400/220)

kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) GIS Pooling Station including 2x500 MVA ICTs and associated 400 kV and 220 kV bays) of the evacuation system. Whereas, the instant petition has been filed for the transmission line portion (400 kV D/C Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station-Banaskantha (PG) line along with associated bays) of the evacuation system.

- e) In response to a query of the Commission, during hearing dated 22.6.2021 and subsequent hearing dated 25.11.2021, regarding reasons for obtaining separate investment approval and filing separate petitions for the assets covered in the same transmission system, the representative of the Petitioner submitted that initially GPCL was to execute the Pooling Station. However, later in the 41st meeting of Standing Committee on Power System Planning in WR, it was decided that Banaskantha (Radhanesda) GIS 400/220 kV Pooling station shall be developed as part of ISTS along with Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station-Banaskantha (PG) 400 kV D/C (twin AL 59) line. Although the transmission assets covered in Petition No. 74/TT/2021 and Petition No. 203/TT/2021 are of same transmission system, their Investment Approvals are different. He submitted that petitions are filed on the basis of the Investment Approval (IA).
- f) The IA for the transmission system was accorded by Board of Directors of POWERGRID in its 340th meeting held on 11.5.2017 and notified *vide* Memorandum Ref.:C/CP/PA1718-01-0A-IA001 dated 29.5.2017 at an estimated cost of ₹17564 lakh including IDC of ₹561 lakh based on December, 2016 price level.

g) The broad scope of work covered under "Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat" scheme in Western Region is as follows:

Transmission Line

 a) Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station-Banaskantha (PG) 400 kV D/C-95 km

Sub-station

- a) 400 kV Bay Extension at 765/400 kV Banaska(PG) Sub-station400 kV
 - Line bays: 2 numbers
- h) The entire scope of the work under the subject transmission project is covered in the instant petition and details of assets under the project are as follows:

Name of Asset	Covered under Petition
400 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station –	
Banaskantha (PG) D/C line along with 2 numbers 400 kV line	Instant Petition
bays at Banaskantha (PG)	

- i) The transmission assets were scheduled to be put into commercial operation within 16 months from the date of IA i.e. 11.5.2017. Accordingly, the transmission asset was scheduled to be put into commercial operation on 11.9.2018.
- j) The details of scheduled commercial operation date (SCOD), date of commercial operation (COD) and time over-run are as follows:

SCOD	COD	Time over-run
11.9.2018	5.9.2020	24 months (approx.) i.e. 725 days

k) The Petitioner has submitted that LTA granted to GPCL at Banaskantha (Raghanesda) for 750 MW was operationalized with effect

from 12.8.2021. The Petitioner has also submitted the details of the status of the generators, within the solar park of GPCL, covered in the transmission system. The details and status of the generators covered in the main and supplementary transmission system is as follows:

Details of Generating Plants	Capacity (MW)	Date of Commercial Operation
Gujarat Industries Power Company Limited	100	10.8.2021
Tata Power Renewable Energy Limited	100	10.8.2021
Electro Solaire Private Limited	150	10.8.2021
Liectio Solalie Filvate Limited	50	13.8.2021
GSECL	200	Yet to be
Selection of Developer is under Process	100	commissioned
Total	700	

- 4. The Respondents are transmission utilities, distribution licensees and power departments which are procuring transmission services from the Petitioner, mainly beneficiaries of Western Region.
- 5. The Petitioner has served the petition on the Respondents and notice regarding filing of this petition has been published in the newspapers in accordance with Section 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003. No comments or suggestions have been received from the general public in response to the aforesaid notices published in the newspapers. Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Limited (MPPMCL), Respondent No. 1, has filed its reply vide affidavit dated 14.10.2021 and has raised issue of time over-run, IDC & IEDC, Additional Capital Expenditure (ACE) and GST. The Petitioner vide affidavit dated 24.11.2021 has filed rejoinder to MPPMCL's reply. The submissions of the Petitioner and MPPMCL are discussed at relevant paragraph of this order.

- 6. This order is issued considering the submissions made by the Petitioner in the petition dated 4.12.2020; the Petitioner's affidavits dated 14.9.2021, 22.11.2021 and 16.12.2021; MPPMCL's reply dated 14.10.2021 and the Petitioner's rejoinder dated 24.11.2021 thereto.
- 7. The hearing in this matter was held through video conference on 25.11.2021 and the order in the matter was reserved.
- 8. Having heard the representatives of the Petitioner and MPPMCL and having perused of the materials on record, we proceed to dispose of the petition.

<u>DETERMINATION OF ANNUAL FIXED CHARGES FROM COD TO 31.3.2024</u> UNDER 2019-24 TARIFF PERIOD

9. The details of the transmission charges as claimed by the Petitioner for the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period are as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

				(
	2020-21			
Particulars	(Pro-rata for	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
	208 days)			
Depreciation	425.63	788.84	799.61	799.61
Interest on Loan	146.86	255.94	238.05	215.93
Return on Equity	447.76	829.50	840.98	840.98
O&M Expenses	17.45	31.98	32.23	32.03
Interest on Working Capital	76.23	138.20	142.77	147.49
Total	1113.93	2044.46	2053.64	2036.04

10. The details of the Interest on Working Capital (IWC) claimed by the Petitioner for the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period are as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Particulars	2020-21 (Pro-rata for 208 days)	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
O&M Expenses	11.15	11.52	11.90	12.29
Maintenance Spares	20.07	20.73	21.42	22.12
Receivables	240.99	252.06	253.19	250.33
Total Working Capital	272.21	284.31	286.51	284.74
Rate of Interest (in %)	11.25	11.25	11.25	11.25
Interest on Working Capital	17.45	31.98	32.23	32.03

Date of Commercial Operation

- 11. The Petitioner has claimed the COD of the transmission asset as 5.9.2020 under Regulation 5(2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations, as the associated generation under the scope of Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL) is not ready.
- 12. In support of the proposed COD of 5.9.2020, the Petitioner has submitted CEA certificate dated 18.8.2020 for Energizing Electrical Installations under Regulation 43 of the Central Electricity Authority (Measures Relating to Safety and Electric Supply) Regulations, 2010 (as amended to date), WRLDC 'idle' charging Certificate dated 20.9.2020 for successful completion of trial operation, issued by Power System Operation Corporation Limited, CMD Certificate and notice dated 24.8.2020 issued to GPCL regarding readiness of transmission asset in accordance with Regulation 5(2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations, and the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Indian Electricity Grid Code) (Fourth Amendment) Regulations, 2016 (for Inter State Transmission System).
- 13. Regulation 5 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:
 - **"5. Date of Commercial Operation:** (1) The date of commercial operation of a generating station or unit thereof or a transmission system or element thereof and associated communication system shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of the Grid Code.
 - (2) In case the transmission system or element thereof executed by a transmission licensee is ready for commercial operation but the interconnected generating station or the transmission system of other transmission licensee as per the agreed project implementation schedule is not ready for commercial operation, the transmission licensee may file petition before the Commission for approval of the date of commercial operation of such transmission system or element thereof:

Provided that the transmission licensee seeking the approval of the date of commercial operation under this clause shall give prior notice of at least one month, to the generating company or the other transmission licensee and the long-term customers of its transmission system, as the case may be, regarding the date of commercial operation:

Provided further that the transmission licensee seeking the approval of the date of commercial operation of the transmission system under this clause shall be required to submit the following documents along with the petition:

- (a) Energisation certificate issued by the Regional Electrical Inspector under Central Electricity Authority;
- (b) Trial operation certificate issued by the concerned RLDC for charging element with or without electrical load;
- (c) Implementation Agreement, if any, executed by the parties;
- (d) Minutes of the coordination meetings or related correspondences regarding the monitoring of the progress of the generating station and transmission systems;
- (e) Notice issued by the transmission licensee as per the first proviso under this clause and the response;
- (f) Certificate of the CEO or MD of the company regarding the completion of the transmission system including associated communication system in all respects.
- (3) The date of commercial operation in case of integrated mine(s), shall mean the earliest of —
- a) the first date of the year succeeding the year in which 25% of the Peak Rated Capacity as per the Mining Plan is achieved; or
- b) the first date of the year succeeding the year in which the value of production estimated in accordance with Regulation 7A of these regulations, exceeds total expenditure in that year; or
- c) the date of two years from the date of commencement of production:

Provided that on earliest occurrence of any of the events under subclauses (a) to (c) of Clause (3) of this Regulation, the generating company shall declare the date of commercial operation of the integrated mine(s) under the relevant sub-clause with one week prior intimation to the beneficiaries of the end-use or associated generating station(s);

Provided further that in case the integrated mine(s) is ready for commercial operation but is prevented from declaration of the date of commercial operation for reasons not attributable to the generating company or its suppliers or contractors or the Mine Developer and Operator, the Commission, on an application made by the generating company, may approve such other date as the date of commercial operation as may be considered appropriate after considering the relevant reasons that prevented the declaration of the date of commercial operation under any of the sub-clauses of Clause (3) of this Regulation;

Provided also that the generating company seeking the approval of the date of commercial operation under the preceding proviso shall give prior notice of one month to the beneficiaries of the end-use or associated generating station(s) of the integrated mine(s) regarding the date of commercial operation."

14. The Petitioner has submitted that the associated generating station i.e. Banaskantha (Radhanesada) Solar Power Park is being executed by Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL) and the same is yet to be commissioned whereas the instant transmission line is ready. GPCL, *vide* letter dated

26.10.2020, informed the Petitioner that the generating station will be commissioned by April, 2021. Accordingly, the Petitioner (as generating stations did not get commissioned in April, 2021 as informed by GPCL), has claimed COD of 5.9.2020 of the instant Transmission System under Regulation 5(2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations.

- 15. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. The Petitioner has claimed the COD of the transmission asset as 5.9.2020 under Regulation 5(2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations. The Petitioner has submitted that the associated generation under the scope of Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL) is not ready.
- 16. In support of the COD of the transmission asset, the Petitioner has submitted CEA energisation certificate dated 18.8.2020, Idle RLDC Charging Certificate dated 20.9.2020, CMD certificate and notice dated 24.8.2020 issued to GPCL regarding readiness of transmission asset.
- 17. Taking into consideration the CEA energisation certificate, idle RLDC Charging Certificate, CMD Certificate and notice dated 24.8.2020 issued to GPCL regarding readiness of transmission asset, the COD of the transmission asset is approved as 5.9.2020 under Regulation 5(2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations for 2019-24 tariff period.

Capital Cost

- 18. Regulations 19 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:
 - **"19. Capital Cost:** (1) The Capital cost of the generating station or the transmission system, as the case may be, as determined by the Commission after prudence check in accordance with these regulations shall form the basis for determination of tariff for existing and new projects.
 - (2) The Capital Cost of a new project shall include the following:

- (a) The expenditure incurred or projected to be incurred up to the date of commercial operation of the project;
- (b) Interest during construction and financing charges, on the loans (i) being equal to 70% of the funds deployed, in the event of the actual equity in excess of 30% of the funds deployed, by treating the excess equity as normative loan, or (ii) being equal to the actual amount of loan in the event of the actual equity less than 30% of the funds deployed;
- (c) Any gain or loss on account of foreign exchange risk variation pertaining to the loan amount availed during the construction period;
- (d) Interest during construction and incidental expenditure during construction as computed in accordance with these regulations;
- (e) Capitalised initial spares subject to the ceiling rates in accordance with these regulations;
- (f) Expenditure on account of additional capitalization and de-capitalisation determined in accordance with these regulations;
- (g) Adjustment of revenue due to sale of infirm power in excess of fuel cost prior to the date of commercial operation as specified under Regulation 7 of these regulations;
- (h) Adjustment of revenue earned by the transmission licensee by using the assets before the date of commercial operation;
- (i) Capital expenditure on account of ash disposal and utilization including handling and transportation facility;
- (j) Capital expenditure incurred towards railway infrastructure and its augmentation for transportation of coal up to the receiving end of the generating station but does not include the transportation cost and any other appurtenant cost paid to the railway;
- (k) Capital expenditure on account of biomass handling equipment and facilities, for co-firing;
- (I) Capital expenditure on account of emission control system necessary to meet the revised emission standards and sewage treatment plant;
- (m) Expenditure on account of fulfilment of any conditions for obtaining environment clearance for the project;
- (n) Expenditure on account of change in law and force majeure events; and
- (o) Capital cost incurred or projected to be incurred by a thermal generating station, on account of implementation of the norms under Perform, Achieve and Trade (PAT) scheme of Government of India shall be considered by the Commission subject to sharing of benefits accrued under the PAT scheme with the beneficiaries.
- (3) The Capital cost of an existing project shall include the following:
 - (a) Capital cost admitted by the Commission prior to 1.4.2019 duly trued-up by excluding liability, if any, as on 1.4.2019;
 - (b) Additional capitalization and de-capitalization for the respective year of tariff as determined in accordance with these regulations;
 - (c) Capital expenditure on account of renovation and modernisation as admitted by this Commission in accordance with these regulations:

- (d) Capital expenditure on account of ash disposal and utilization including handling and transportation facility;
- (e) Capital expenditure incurred towards railway infrastructure and its augmentation for transportation of coal upto the receiving end of generating station but does not include the transportation cost and any other appurtenant cost paid to the railway; and
- (f) Capital cost incurred or projected to be incurred by a thermal generating station, on account of implementation of the norms under Perform, Achieve and Trade (PAT) scheme of Government of India shall be considered by the Commission subject to sharing of benefits accrued under the PAT scheme with the beneficiaries.
- (4) The capital cost in case of existing or new hydro generating station shall also include:
 - (a) cost of approved rehabilitation and resettlement (R&R) plan of the project in conformity with National R&R Policy and R&R package as approved; and
 - (b) cost of the developer's 10% contribution towards Rajiv Gandhi Grameen Vidyutikaran Yojana (RGGVY) and Deendayal Upadhyaya Gram Jyoti Yojana (DDUGJY) project in the affected area.
- (5) The following shall be excluded from the capital cost of the existing and new projects:
 - (a) The assets forming part of the project, but not in use, as declared in the tariff petition;
 - (b) De-capitalised Assets after the date of commercial operation on account of replacement or removal on account of obsolescence or shifting from one project to another project:

Provided that in case replacement of transmission asset is recommended by Regional Power Committee, such asset shall be de-capitalised only after its redeployment;

Provided further that unless shifting of an asset from one project to another is of permanent nature, there shall be no de-capitalization of the concerned assets.

- (c) In case of hydro generating stations, any expenditure incurred or committed to be incurred by a project developer for getting the project site allotted by the State Government by following a transparent process;
- (d) Proportionate cost of land of the existing project which is being used for generating power from generating station based on renewable energy; and
- (e) Any grant received from the Central or State Government or any statutory body or authority for the execution of the project which does not carry any liability of repayment."
- 19. The Petitioner has submitted that the instant petition is filed for determination of tariff under Regulation 9(1) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations

applicable for the 2019-24 period. Also, this petition covers approval of tariff based on actual expenditure incurred up to COD and ACE projected to be incurred from COD to 31.3.2022 in respect of the transmission asset as per the details submitted. Further, the details of capital cost incurred up to COD and projected to be incurred during 2020-21 and 2021-22 as duly certified *vide* Auditor's Certificate dated 6.10.2020 are as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Apportioned	Expenditure	Projected ACE		Estimated
Approved Cost	up to COD	2020-21	2021-22	Completion Cost
(as per FR)				(as on 31.3.2024)
17564.01	13828.49	1372.07	387.11	15587.67

20. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner regarding capital cost and have given our findings on the same in the relevant portions of this order.

Cost Over-run

21. The Petitioner has submitted the details of estimated completion cost *vis-à-vis* FR approved cost for the transmission asset covered in this petition as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Approved Cost	Approved Cost Estimated Completion Cost	
(a)	(b)	(c)=(a-b)
17564.01	15587.67	-1976.34

22. The Petitioner has submitted that the estimated completion cost of the transmission asset based on the Auditor's Certificate works out to ₹15587.67 lakh including IEDC and IDC, which is within the approved apportioned cost as per F.R. Further, the item-wise cost variation between approved apportioned cost and estimated completion cost as detailed in Form-5 in the instant petition is as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Particulars	Cos	st Details	Variation
	FR	Completion	(+ within, - increase) w.r.t. FR
Transmission Line	13855.73	12568.48	1287.25
Sub-station	1000.41	853.18	147.23
Communication System	92.87	196.27	-103.40
Overheads	2054.00	648.97	1405.03
IDC	561.00	1320.81	-759.81
Total	al 17564.01 15587.71		1976.30

23. The Petitioner has submitted the following reasons for cost variation:

(i) Transmission Line

(a) Forest clearance and Crop/ Tree compensation:

The cost under this head has increased as per actual compensation finalized by revenue authorities.

(b) Transmission line materials:

The decrease in the cost of transmission line materials is mainly due to decrease in the line length from 95 km (as per FR) to 65.19 km (as per actual). Due to this, the quantity of tower steel has decreased from about 5002 MT to about 3948.17 MT. Also, the quantity of conductor has decreased from about 1158 km to about 848.08 km. Accordingly, the quantity of other transmission line materials has also decreased. Although there is increase in the rates of insulators, hardware fittings and conductor and earth wire accessories received in the competitive bidding as compared to the rates anticipated in FR, the overall cost of the transmission line materials has decreased due to decrease in line length.

(ii) Sub-station:

(a) Preliminary works, land and Civil works:

There is minor change in the cost under this head as per actual site

conditions.

(b) Sub-station equipment including custom duty:

The reason of decrease in the cost of sub-station equipment is mainly due to decrease in the cost of bus bars/ conductors/ insulators from about ₹104 lakh to about ₹5 lakh. There is decrease in the cost of auxiliary system from about ₹157 lakh to about ₹64 lakh. Similarly, there is also variation in the cost of other sub-station equipment as well. The variation in cost is attributable to variation in awarded cost received in competitive bidding.

(iii) Communication system:

There is minor decrease under this head due to variation in awarded cost received in competitive bidding w.r.t unit rates considered in FR.

(iv) Increase in IDC:

Increase in IDC is attributable to variation in the rate of interest considered in FR v/s Actuals weighted average rate of interest of loans. It may be mentioned that in FR, IDC was calculated considering the rate of interest for domestic loans @10.5%. However, in actual, the weighted average rate of interest of loans is around 2.70%. The actual IDC accrued up to COD has been considered in the Auditor Certificate.

(v) Decrease in IEDC and contingency:

In IA, 10.75% and 3% of equipment cost and Civil Works has been considered for IEDC and Contingency, respectively. However, the actual IEDC incurred up to COD has been considered in the Auditor Certificate.

(vi) FERV:

The FERV factor was not considered while finalization of FR cost. The foreign exchange rate considered at the time of FR was ₹64.86/USD. However, actual foreign exchange rate applicable for the instant asset is ₹73.85/USD since the instant project includes ADB loans, FERV of ₹674 lakh has incurred.

- 24. The Petitioner has submitted that for procurement, open competitive bidding route is followed by providing equal opportunity to all eligible firms, lowest possible market prices for required product/services is obtained and contracts are awarded on the basis of lowest evaluated eligible bidder. The best competitive bid prices against tenders may happen to be lower or higher than the cost estimate depending upon prevailing market conditions.
- 25. Regarding variation in cost of individual item in sub-station packages, the Petitioner has submitted that the packages under subject scope of works comprise of a large number of items and the same are awarded through open competitive bidding. In the bidding process, bids are received from multiple parties quoting different rates for various BOQ items under the said package. Further, lowest bidder can be arrived at/ evaluated on overall basis only. Hence, item-wise unit prices in contracts and its variation over unit rate considered in FR estimates are beyond the control of the Petitioner. Accordingly, full tariff may be allowed from COD based on estimated completion cost.
- 26. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner and observe that the cost variation is primarily on account of variation in estimated prices and final competitive prices. Further, against the total approved cost as per FR of ₹17564.01 lakh, the estimated completion cost (including projected ACE during

the 2019-24 period) is ₹15587.67 lakh, which is within the approved cost. Accordingly, the cost variation is allowed.

Time Over-run

- 27. As per IA dated 11.5.2017, the transmission asset was scheduled to be put into commercial operation within 16 months from the date of I.A. i.e. by 11.9.2018 against which COD of the transmission asset was declared on 5.9.2020. Therefore, there is a time over-run of 725 days in case of the transmission asset.
- 28. The Petitioner has submitted the time over-run is mainly on account of (i) delay in acquisition of land for sub-station, (ii) delay in grant of forest clearance of transmission line, (iii) Right of Way (RoW) and law and order problem on account of change in policy regarding land compensation in the State of Gujarat and (iv) Covid-19 related lockdown etc. The gist of the reasons submitted by the Petitioner are as follows:

(I) Delay in land acquisition of 400/220 kV Banaskantha Pooling Station land (6.25 hectare)

(i) The investment approval of the transmission asset was accorded on 11.5.2017. However, at that time the sub-station land for 400/220 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station was still not finalised. Therefore, the Petitioner could not finalize the route of line until the finalization of location of associated sub-station. The Petitioner has submitted that initially, the substation was planned to be constructed at Radhanesada. However, due to actual site conditions, it was decided to construct the sub-station in Khimnavas village in Vav taluka, district Banaskantha. After firming up of substation land, the route of 400 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station—Banaskantha (PG) D/C line was finalised and thereafter the Petitioner filed the application for forest approval.

(ii) The proposal for land acquisition was submitted on 5.5.2017 and the desired land was expected to be handed over by December, 2017 for timely commencement of the project. However, the approval of land acquisition was received on 24.5.2018leading to time over-run in case of transmission asset. The Petitioner has also submitted the chronology of approval of land acquisition from District Collector and other governmental agencies in the instant petition.

(II)Delay in associated transmission line i.e. 400 kV D/C Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station-Banaskantha (PG) (twin AL59) line (hereby mentioned as 'associated transmission line') (b)

(a) RoW Issues

The Ministry of Power (MoP), *vide* Order No. 3/7/2015-Trans dated 15.10.2015 issued guidelines for land compensation for transmission lines to be paid to the affected parties towards diminution of land value occupied by tower base and transmission line corridor as 85% and 15% of the land value respectively and requested the States/UTs etc. to take suitable decision regarding adoption of the said guidelines. Pursuant to which Gujarat Government *vide* Resolution No. GET-11-2015-GOI-199-K dated 14.8.2017, notified land compensation for transmission lines to be paid to the affected parties towards diminution of land value occupied by tower base and transmission line corridor as 85% and 7.5% of the land value respectively. Thereafter, severe RoW issues arose owing to obstruction of works by the landowners as a result of reduction in diminution value of land for corridor compensation. The progress of works was severely impacted due to non-availability of RoW consequent to the notification.

(b) Delay in land rate finalization

Delay in finalization of land rate of Vav, Tharad, Deodar, Lakhani, Deesa and Kankarej Talukas in Banaskantha District. The Petitioner has submitted that various letters /communications were sent to local administration for the finalization of land rate. After various meeting with local administration and farmers, order for land rate was issued by 20.7.2020. The Petitioner has also submitted the chronology of events for the land rate finalization in the instant petition.

(c) Civil suits filed at District and Sessions Court of Gujarat

Even after land rate finalization, there was continuous obstruction in RoW, hampering the construction works and six cases were filed in Taluka Court, Deesa. After various court proceedings, the cases were finally disposed of on 8.2.2020. The Petitioner has placed on record the details of the court cases along with the date of disposal of the said cases in the instant petition.

(d) Cases in the Court of District Magistrate under Section-16(1) of EA, 2003

- (i) Even after land rate finalization, there was continuous obstruction in RoW hampering the construction works and twelve cases were filed for various locations due to severe RoW in the District Magistrate Court of Tharad Taluka on 15.4.2019. Even after the decision, there was resistance from farmers which severely impacted the progress of the works. The works were completed in continuous coordination with local administration in presence of SDM, Tharad and Mamlatdar, Vav only by 24.7.2020.
- (ii) The Non-availability of RoW at the aforementioned specific locations not only held up the work at those locations, but stiff resistance was put up by the landowners of the adjoining locations along the transmission line which could

be countered only after the disposal of the court cases. The aforementioned court cases filed at District Courts and High Court of Gujarat affected the availability of RoW for undertaking construction activities. The impact on progress of works due to non-availability of RoW consequent upon the court cases were beyond the control of the Petitioner.

(e) Incidents of local disturbances and works completed under Police protection

(i) Obstruction of works by local villagers

There were many cases of obstruction works by the local villagers and issues were resolved only with the intervention of officials at various levels of administration of Government of Gujarat. The Petitioner in support of its submissions has placed on record the details of the correspondence with the officials.

(ii) Police protection regarding RoW

Hindrance in the construction of the 400 kV D/C Banaskantha (Radhanesda)-Banaskantha (PGCIL) line was created for which police protection was sought and work was completed under police protection. The chronology of work completed under the police protection has been placed on record in the instant petition by the Petitioner.

(f) Forest Clearance

A total of 0.414 ha Social Forest of 400 kV D/C (Twin) Banaskantha (Radhanesada)–Banaskantha (PG) Transmission Line having 4x2 Road crossings were involved for which online application for obtaining forest clearance of Gujarat State was submitted on 12.12.2017 through the online portal. The Stage-I clearance for all the three divisions were received on

23.1.2019. However, permission for tree cutting for tower foundation works was received on 19.5.2019 from the forest department. The Petitioner has submitted that the non-availability/ delay in getting forest clearance was beyond the control of the Petitioner. The total time period from 12.12.2017 to 19.5.2019 works out to about 523 days. Taking into account six months as the procedural time charted for forest clearance (Reference as per MoEF Gazette Notification dated 14.3.2014 and 10.10.2014) the time period impacting the progress owing to additional time taken for Forest clearance works out to 343 days i.e. reckoning from 6 months after application 12.6.2018 to 19.5.2019. The Petitioner has submitted the chronology of events leading to delay in getting forest approval clearance in the instant petition.

(III) Delay due to COVID-19

The project was completed, and CEA clearance was received in March, 2020 but the transmission asset was put into commercial operation in September, 2020. This delay was due to the worldwide pandemic situation. Also, as per the Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE) order dated 13.8.2020, all RE projects under implementation as on date of lockdown, i.e. 25.3.2020, through RE Implementing Agencies designated by MNRE or under various schemes of the MNRE, was given a time extension of 5 months from 25.3.2020 to 24.8.2020.

29. The Petitioner has submitted that due to continuous efforts, the project was meticulously expedited so as to minimize the impact of the 'force majeure' conditions and eventually completed the project with a shift in timeline of 23 months and 25 days. The Petitioner has submitted that the time over-run was

beyond the control of the Petitioner and has been placed on record the documents justifying the same. Therefore, the Petitioner has prayed to the Commission to condone the delay in the commissioning of transmission assets.

- 30. MPPMCL has submitted that as per the IA, the scheduled completion is within 16 months from the date of approval of Board of Directors. The commissioning schedule thus comes out to be 11.9.2018 against which the assets have been commissioned on 5.9.2020 with a delay of 725 days. MPPMCL has submitted that BOD approval has been given for the project on 11.5.2017, whereas, the application for forest approval was submitted to concern department online only on 12.12.2017, after a delay of about seven months. The Petitioner has not submitted any documentary evidence regarding resolving the RoW issues and all other issues with the help of local administration and other departments. The delay in completion is solely on account of the lackadaisical approach of the Petitioner. Had timely and rigorous follow-up been made with the District Administration and the Forest Department, the RoW issues, land rate finalization issues, forest clearance issues etc. would have been resolved earlier. MPPMCL has requested to disallow the time over-run.
- 31. In response, the Petitioner has submitted that the COD may be approved on the basis of CEA clearance certificate, WRLDC certificate of completion of trial operation. Notice of intimation given to GPCL and CMD certificate for subject asset are enclosed with this petition. The Petitioner has submitted the English translation of all the Gujarati documents submitted in the petition *vide* affidavit dated 3.3.2021. The Petitioner has reiterated its submissions as made in the petition as regard to detailed justifications which led to time over-run.

- 32. The Commission *vide* RoP dated 25.11.2021 directed the Petitioner to submit Form-12 for the transmission asset. In response, the Petitioner *vide* affidavit dated 16.12.2021 submitted the activity wise details of time over-run (scheduled date vs. actual completion date of various activity involved in the implementation of the transmission asset) including reasons of delay. The Petitioner also submitted the chronology of activity wise completion of asset in respect of Banaskantha (Radhanesda) sub-station and associated transmission line from Banaskantha (Radhanesda) pooling sub-station to Banaskantha (PGCIL) sub-station.
- 33. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner and MPPMCL. As per IA dated 11.5.2017, the transmission asset is scheduled to be put into commercial operation within 16 months from the date of I.A. i.e. by 11.9.2018 against which the COD is 5.9.2020. Therefore, there is a time over-run of 725 days. The Petitioner *vide* affidavit dated 3.3.2021 has submitted notarised translation of Gujarati language documents pertaining to time over-run from Gujarati to English, which has been perused by the Commission along with other related documents and submissions.
- 34. The Petitioner has submitted that the main reasons for time over-run is on account of (i) delay due to acquisition of sub-station land, (ii) delay in grant of forest clearance, (iii) delay due to RoW and law and order problem on account of change in policy regarding land compensation in the State of Gujarat, and (iv) delay due to Covid-19 related lockdown etc.
- (i) Delay due to acquisition of land (6.25 Hectare) for 400/220 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesada) Pooling Sub-station

35. It is observed from the chronology of land acquisition submitted by the Petitioner that the land acquisition proposal for the Pooling station was made on 5.5.2017, which is about 7 months prior to the investment approval (8.12.2017). The land acquisition was scheduled to be completed by 22.12.2017 against which the approval was received on 24.5.2018 causing a delay of about 153 days. It is evident from the chronology and correspondence dates made available by the Petitioner that the Petitioner applied for land acquisition well in time, carried out regular follow-up with the concerned Authority and promptly complied to the directives of land acquisition department like timely payment as per demand note etc. The delay of 153 days in making available of sub-station land had a cascading effect on the execution of the transmission asset. Therefore, the time over-run of 153 days due to delay caused by land acquisition is beyond the control of the Petitioner and the same has been condoned.

(ii) Delay due to Forest Clearance for 400 kV D/C Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station-Banaskantha (PG) line

36. It is observed from the chronology of Forest Clearance submitted by the Petitioner that the forest clearance proposal was made on 12.12.2017 and the stage-I clearance was received on 23.1.2019. However, working permission/permission for tree cutting for tower foundation works was received on 19.5.2019 from the DFC, Banaskantha of Forest department. Therefore, the total period of 553 days was taken on account of Forest clearance related issues. As per the Forest (Conservation) Amendment Rules, 2014 notified by MoE&F in the official Gazette on 14.3.2014, the timeline for forest approval after submission of proposal is 180 days or 6 months (including processing by the State Government and the Central Government officials) for the forest area up to 5 Hectare (the instant case covers about 0.414 hectare affected area). Therefore, forest

clearance took about 343 days more than the stipulated 180 days. It is observed that the IA of associated transmission line was accorded on 11.5.2017, whereas the Petitioner has submitted the forest proposal on 12.12.2017, after a time gap of about 7 months. The Petitioner, in response to the reply of MPPMCL, has submitted that there was delay in finalisation of location of pooling sub-station land due to which the Petitioner could not finalise the route of associated transmission line. It is observed from the chronology of land acquisition submitted by the Petitioner that the District Inspector Land Record (DILR) has submitted his report, related to proposed land, to the Collector of Banaskantha on 14.11.2017. In this report, the DLIR has mentioned that demarcation of the land for construction of 400 kV GIS Sub-station has been done by the Departmental Surveyor on 2.11.2017. Therefore, we are willing to accept the justification submitted by the Petitioner for submission of forest proposal after a period of 7 months of IA dated 11.5.2017. Also, it is observed that the Petitioner promptly complied to the directives of the Authorities like timely payment as per demand note etc. In view of above, the additional time delay of 343 days in availability of sub-station land had a cascading effect on the execution of the transmission asset. Therefore, the time over-run of 343 days due to delay caused by forest clearance is beyond the control of the Petitioner and is condoned.

(iii) Delay due to RoW and law and order problem on account of change in policy regarding land compensation in the State of Gujarat

37. The Petitioner has submitted that MoP, *vide* Order No. 3/7/2015-Trans dated 15.10.2015 issued guidelines for land compensation for transmission lines to be paid to the affected parties towards diminution of land value occupied by tower base and transmission line corridor as 85% and 15% of the land value respectively and requesting the States/UTs etc. to take suitable decision

regarding adoption of the guidelines. Subsequently, Government of Gujarat *vide* Resolution No. GET-11-2015-GOI-199-K dated 14.8.2017, notified land compensation for transmission lines to be paid to the affected parties towards diminution of land value occupied by tower base and transmission line corridor as 85% and 7.5% of the land value respectively. Consequent to the above notification of Government of Gujarat, severe RoW/ law and order issues surfaced owing to obstruction of works by the landowners as a result of reduction in diminution value of land for corridor compensation. The progress of works as such was severely impacted due to non - availability of right of way consequent to the notification. The issues are summarised and dealt with in succeeding paragraphs.

Land Rate finalisation

38. It is observed from the chronology of land rate finalisation submitted by the Petitioner that various letters /communications were sent to local administration for the finalization of land rate of Vav, Tharad, Deodar, Lakhani, Deesa and Kankarej Talukas in Banaskantha District under MoP's above guideline dated 15.10.2015. After various meetings with local administration and farmers, orders for land rate have been issued between 16.10.2018 to 20.7.2020.

Civil suits/ other cases filed at District and Sessions Court of Gujarat

- (i) Taluka Court, Deesa
- 39. It is observed from the chronology of civil suits submitted by the Petitioner that a total of 5 numbers of Civil suits pertaining to 6 numbers tower locations (52/1, 53/0-53/1, 56/0, 61/0, 64/0 and 64/1) were filed in the Taluka Court, Deesa in 2018. After various proceedings with court and farmers, 3 numbers cases pertaining to 3 numbers tower locations were disposed of on 2.12.2019 and

remaining 3 numbers cases pertaining to 2 numbers tower locations were finally disposed of on 8.2.2020.

- (ii) Taluka Court, Tharad
- 40. Further, a total of 12 numbers cases pertaining to 12 numbers tower locations (3/0, 3/2, 3/3, 4/0, 4/1, 4/2, 5/1, 11/2, 12/0, 12/1, 13/0 and 14/0) were filed in the Taluka Court, Tharad on 15.4.2019. Several Meetings were held with the farmers in the presence of SDM, Tharad and Mamlatdar, Vav and the matter was resolved with the support of local administration progressively up to 24.7.2020.

Obstruction of works by local villagers

- 41. There were many cases of obstruction of works by the local villagers at location numbers 28/5, 29/0 and 30/2 and between location numbers 1/0 to 14/0 section from between 10.3.2018 to 6.7.2020 and issues were resolved with the intervention of officials at various levels of Government of Gujarat. There were many cases of hindrance in the construction of the transmission line between 12.7.2019 to 31.8.2020 for which police protection was sought and work was completed at these locations under police protection.
- 42. We have perused the sequence of incidents of hindrances in the construction of subject transmission line caused due to various court cases and law and order issues as brought out by the Petitioner. The works at several locations were halted as a result of court cases and law and order issues involving more than 35 tower locations. It is observed that the land rates in various Talukas of Banaskantha district of Gujarat were finalised as per new policy between 16.10.2018 to 20.7.2020 (643 days). The court cases of various tower location were resolved progressively between 2.12.2019 to 8.2020 (68

days) and 15.4.2019 to 24.7.2020 (466 days). The hindrance in work due to obstruction of work by local villagers between 10.3.2018 to 6.7.2020 (849 days) and between 12.7.2019 to 31.8.2020 (416 days) when the work was carried out under police protection also contributed to the time over-run. The combined impact of the delays on above counts after considering the overlapping period comes to about 905 days between 10.3.2018 to 31.8.2020. The time delay of 905 days had a cascading effect on the execution of the transmission asset and is beyond the control of the Petitioner.

(iv) Delay due to COVID-19

- 43. The Petitioner has submitted that the CEA clearance was received in March, 2020 but the transmission asset was put into commercial operation in September, 2020. This delay was due to the worldwide pandemic situation. As per the MNRE order dated 13.8.2020, all RE projects under implementation as on date of lockdown, i.e. 25.3.2020, have been given a time extension of 5 months from 25.3.2020 to 24.8.2020. We observe that the Petitioner has claimed blanket condonation of time over-run of 5 months on the basis of MNRE order dated 13.8.2020 pertaining to some other project. But, the Petitioner has not substantiated as to how the Covid-19 pandemic has impacted the delay in execution of the transmission asset. However, the delay of 5 months between 25.3.2020 to 24.8.2020 claimed on this count is subsumed in the delay due RoW issues between 10.3.2018 to 31.8.2020.
- 44. Thus, it is seen that the combined effect of the delay due to land acquisition (153 days), forest clearance (343 days) and RoW issues, land rate finalisation, court cases and law and order etc. (905 days) add up to about 1401 days. Out of 1401 days, there is an overlap of about 18 days between 24.5.2018

(land acquisition date) to 12.6.2018 (6 months after forest proposal dated 12.12.2017). Thus, the net delay on the above counts is about 1383 days. However, the Petitioner compressed the execution time due to which the overall delay comes to 725 days.

- 45. Therefore, the time over-run of 725 days in execution of 400 kV Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station–Banaskantha (PG) D/C line along with 2 numbers 400 kV line bays at Banaskantha (PG) due to hindrance caused by delay in acquisition of sub-station land, delay in forest clearance of transmission line and RoW issues is beyond the control of the Petitioner and is condoned in line with Regulation 22 (2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations.
- 46. Accordingly, the decision with regard to time over-run in respect of instant asset covered is as follows:

SCOD	COD	Time over-run	Time over-run condoned	Time over-run not condoned
11.9.2018	5.9.2020	725 days	725 days	-

Central Financial Assistance (CFA)

- 47. Regulation 19(5) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides for exclusion of grant (from the capital cost) received from the Central or the State Government or any statutory body or authority for the execution of the project which does not carry any liability of repayment.
- 48. The Petitioner has submitted as follows:
 - a) The external evacuation plan consists of following two projects:
 - (i) Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat (instant project) consisting 400 kV

Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station–Banaskantha (PG) D/C line along with 2 numbers 400 kV line bays at Banaskantha (PG)

- (ii) Supplementary Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat consisting 2x500 MVA, 400/220 kV pooling station at Banaskantha (Radhanesda) [GIS] along with 1X125 MVAR bus reactor, 2 numbers of 400 kV line bays at Banaskantha (Radhanesda) [GIS] for interconnection of Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Pooling Station–Banaskantha (PG) 400 kV D/C (twin AL59) line and 4 numbers 220 kV line bays at 400/220 kV at Banaskantha (Radhanesda) pooling station.
- b) CFA for development of Solar Park and associated external transmission system was provided by MNRE. Vide order ref: 30/26/2014-15/NSM dated 12.12.2014, the administrative guidelines for release of fund for implementation of scheme for development of Solar Park and Ultra Mega Solar Power projects were issued which were amended vide Office Memorandum No. F. No. 30/26/2014-15/NSM dated 29.9.2016. The relevant extracts of the guidelines as submitted are as under:

"The CFA for development of solar park and for development of external transmission system will be apportioned in the ratio of 60:40 i.e. Rs 12 lakh per MW or 30% of the project cost, whichever is lower may be provided to the Solar Power Park Developers (SPPDs) towards development of solar parks and Rs. 8 lakh per MW or 30% of the project cost, whichever is lower will be provided to the CTU or STU towards development of external transmission system......"

- c) The Ministry of New & Renewable Energy sanctioned the CFA grant vide

 Office Memorandum No. F. No. 320/5/2018/NSM dated 22.3.2018. The

 relevant extracts of the sanction as submitted are as under:
 - "3. As per Administrative Guidelines of Solar Park Scheme vide order no. 30/26/2014-15/NSM, dated 21.03.2017 and further clarification vide OM no. 320/14/2017-NSM dated 18.01.2018, an amount of Rs 28,28,00,000/-

(Rupees Twenty eight Crore and twenty eight Lakh only) is due to SECI, New Delhi towards award of work for external power evacuation system of Radhanesada Solar Park. The amount of Rs 28,28,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty eight Crore and twenty eight Lakh only) includes Rs 28,00,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty eight Crore only) towards award of development of external power evacuation system for Radhanesada Solar Park to PGCIL and Rs 28,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty eight Lakh only) towards fund handling charges to SECI.

- 4. Based on Scheduled Tribe population in Gujarat (14.75% of total population in Gujarat as per Census 2011), sanction of the President of India is hereby conveyed for release of Rs. 4,17,13,000/- (Rupees Four Crore Seventeen Lakh and Thirteen Thousand only) out of ₹28,28,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty eight Crore and twenty eight Lakh only) to Solar Energy Corporation of India (SECI), New Delhi as CFA towards development of external power evacuation system of Radhsnesada Solar Park in Gujarat.
- d) Further, the Ministry of New & Renewable Energy sanctioned the CFA grant vide Office Memorandum No. F. No. 320/5/2018-NSM dated 29.6.2018. The relevant extracts of the sanction as submitted are as under:
 - "2. Ministry vide its sanction order no. 320/5/201 7-NSM dated 22-03-2018 has already released Central Financial Assistance (CFA) of Rs. 4,17,13,000/- (Rs. Four Crore Seventeen Lakh and Thirteen Thousand only) to SECI, New Delhi towards award of work for external power evacuation system for Radhanesada Solar Park (700 MW) in Gujarat by PGCIL. The above CFA of Rs. 4,17,13,000/- (Rs. Four Crore Seventeen Lakh and Thirteen Thousand only) includes Rs. 4,13,00,000 (Rs. Four Crore Thirteen

Lakh only) to PGCIL and Rs. 4,13,000 (Rs. Four Lakh Thirteen Thousand only) to SECI as fund handling charges @1%.

- 3. As per Administrative Guidelines of the Solar Park Scheme vide order no. 30/26/2014-15/NSM dated 21-03-2017 & further clarification vide OM no. 320/1 4/201 7-NSM dated 18-01-2018, an amount of Rs.24,10,87,000/-(Rs. Twenty Four Crore Ten Lakh and Eighty Seven Thousand only) is due to the SECI,New Delhi towards award of work for external power evacuation system of Radhanesada Solar Park. The amount of Rs. 24,10,87,000/- (Rs. Twenty Four Crore Ten Lakh and Eighty Seven Thousand only) includes Rs. 23,87,00,000/- (Rs. Twenty Three Crore and Eighty Seven Lakh only) towards award of development of external power evacuation system for Radhanesada Solar Park to PGCIL and Rs.23,87,000/- (Rs. Twenty Three Lakh and Eighty Seven Thousand only) towards fund handling charges to SECI.
- 4. Accordingly, sanction of the President of India is hereby conveyed for release of Rs. 24,1 0,87,000/- (Rs. Twenty Four Crore Ten Lakh and Eighty Seven Thousand only) to the Solar Energy Corporation of India (SECI)

Ltd., New Delhi as CFA towards award of work for development of external power evacuation system of Radhanesada Solar Park in Gujarat."

- e) Out of the total grant of ₹5600 lakh received towards award of work for external power evacuation system of Radhanesda Solar Park, ₹2800 lakh has been disbursed as of now. The balance amount of grant of ₹2800 lakh is yet to be disbursed.
- f) Out of the total grant received as of now, ₹662.454 lakh has been considered in 'Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat', i.e. instant project. The balance amount of grant received will be considered in 'Supplementary Transmission System for Ultra Mega Solar Power Park (700 MW) at Banaskantha (Radhanesda), Gujarat'.
- 49. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. The details of grant allocated and adjustment in the capital cost of the transmission asset are as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Adjusted from	Adjusted from
COD	Accrued IDC discharged in 2020-21
413.00	249.45

50. Proviso (iii) of Regulation 18(1) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:

"iii. any grant obtained for the execution of the project shall not be considered as a part of capital structure for the purpose of debt: equity ratio."

51. We note that the Commission *vide* order dated 14.4.2020 in Petition No. 34/TT/2019 had already decided to adjust the grant received from the capital cost. The relevant paragraph of the order dated 14.4.2020 in Petition No. 34/TT/2019 is as follows:

- "29. We have considered the submission of the Petitioner and noted that in line with the above provisions, funding through grant is not required to be considered for debt: equity ratio. Therefore, funding sans any grant would form remaining capital structure for the purpose of debt: equity ratio as per Regulation 19 of the 2014 Tariff Regulations and the same has been considered in the relevant para of this Order."
- 52. In view of the foregoing, the funding without grant would form remaining capital structure for the purpose of Debt: Equity ratio as per Regulation 18 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations and the same has been considered in the relevant portion of this order.

Interest During Construction (IDC)

- 53. The Petitioner has submitted the details of the accrued IDC as considered under ACE during the year of discharge in this petition. Also, out of the total IDC of ₹646.93 lakh, ₹603.29 lakh has been discharged up to COD. The balance IDC of ₹43.64 lakh out of which ₹23.24 lakh and ₹20.40 lakh has been discharged during 2020-21 and 2021-22 respectively.
- 54. It is observed that the Petitioner has not submitted proof of loan documents, foreign IDC statement, date of drawl, interest rate and exchange rate on the date of interest payment against foreign loans. The Commission has allowed IDC as claimed by the Petitioner. However, the Petitioner is directed to submit the proof of loan documents against foreign loan, foreign IDC statement, date of drawl, exchange rate on date of interest payment at the time of true-up of 2019-24 tariff period. However, the Petitioner may note that in the absence of necessary formats/ computations required for IDC calculation in future Petitions, the claims corresponding to IDC would be disallowed. Accordingly, based on the information furnished by the Petitioner, IDC considered, is as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

IDC as per Auditor's	IDC disallowed due to	IDC discharged	IDC un- discharged	IDC discharged during	
Certificate	Computational Difference	as on COD	as on COD	2020-21	2021-22
Α	В	D	E=(B-D)	F	G
646.93	0.00	603.29	43.64	23.24	20.40

Incidental Expenditure During Construction (IEDC)

- 55. The Petitioner has submitted that the entire IEDC amount mentioned in the Auditor's Certificate is on cash basis and is paid up to COD.
- 56. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner and note that IEDC of ₹648.97 lakh has been claimed by the Petitioner in support of which the Auditor's Certificate has been submitted. Also, the entire IEDC of ₹648.97 lakh has been discharged as on COD in respect of the transmission asset. As IEDC claimed is within the percentage (as projected in I.A.) of the hard cost, IEDC of ₹648.97 lakh is allowed.

Initial Spares

- 57. Regulation 23(d) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:
 - "23. Initial Spares: Initial spares shall be capitalised as a percentage of the Plant and Machinery cost, subject to the following ceiling norms:
 - (d) Transmission system
 - (i) Transmission line 1.00%
 - (ii) Transmission Sub-station
 - Green Field 4.00%
 - Brown Field 6.00%
 - (iii) Series Compensation devices and HVDC Station 4.00%
 - (iv) Gas Insulated Sub-station (GIS)
 - Green Field 5.00%
 - Brown Field 7.00%
 - (v) Communication system 3.50%
 - (vi) Static Synchronous Compensator 6.00%"
- 58. The Petitioner has claimed Initial Spares as follows:

Particulars	Plant & Machinery Cost up to cut-off date (excluding IDC and IEDC) (₹ in lakh)	Initial Spares claimed (₹ in lakh)	Percentage Claimed	Ceiling (in %)
Transmission Line	12568.44	115.16	0.92	1
Sub-station	704.68	37.59	5.33	6
PLCC	344.76	18.96	5.50	3.5

- 59. The Petitioner has claimed Initial Spares on PLCC under communication system separately. The Petitioner has also prayed to allow excess Initial Spares of ₹7.83 lakh (₹18.96-₹11.13) in case of communication system.
- 60. MPPMCL has submitted that the cost of Initial Spares of PLCC claimed by the Petitioner is much higher than the cost allowed as per the Regulations. Hence, the same shall be restricted as per the relevant regulations. In response, the Petitioner reiterated its submissions to allow the Initial Spares as claimed in the petition.
- 61. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner and MPPMCL. Though PLCC is a communication system, it has been considered as part of the sub-station in the 2014 Tariff Regulations and the 2019 Tariff Regulations and the norms for sub-station have been specified accordingly. Form-5 under Part-III of the 2019 Tariff Regulations requires a transmission licensee to provide "Elementwise Break-up of Project/ Asset/ Element Cost for Transmission System or Communication System". The details which are required to be furnished with regard to (a) transmission line are: preliminary works, transmission lines material, taxes and duties; (b) for Sub-stations: preliminary works & land, civil works, substation equipment, spares, taxes and duties; and for (c) communication system: preliminary works, communication system equipment, taxes and duties. PLCC is a part of sub-station equipment at SI. No. 6.5 of Form-5 under the head "Sub-

station equipment" and there is no mention of PLCC under communication system.

62. Therefore, we are not inclined to grant Initial Spares separately towards PLCC under communication system since Initial Spares claimed towards PLCC are included in sub-station. Initial Spares allowed in respect of the transmission asset are as follows:

Particulars	Plant & Machinery Cost up to cut-off date (excluding IDC	Allowable Initial Spares (₹ in lakh)	Ceiling limit (in %)	Initial Spares allowed (₹ in lakh)	Discha Initial S as on (₹ in	Spares COD
	and IEDC)* (₹ in lakh)				As on COD	2021-22
Transmission Line	12453.28	124.63	1	115.16	103.65	11.50
Sub-station	992.91	59.77	6	56.55	49.35	7.20

^{*}As per Form-13

Capital Cost allowed as on COD

63. In view of the above, the capital cost in respect of the transmission asset allowed (as on COD) is summarized as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Capital Cost claimed in Auditor's Certificate (as on COD)	Auditor's Certificate discharged IDC		Capital Cost (as on COD)	
Α	В	С	D=(A-B-C)	
13828.49	43.64	413.00	13371.84	

Additional Capital Expenditure

- 64. Regulations 24 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:
 - "24. Additional Capitalisation within the original scope and upto the cutoff date
 - (1) The additional capital expenditure in respect of a new project or an existing project incurred or projected to be incurred, on the following counts within the original scope of work, after the date of commercial operation and up to the cut-off date may be admitted by the Commission, subject to prudence check:
 - (a) Undischarged liabilities recognized to be payable at a future date;
 - (b) Works deferred for execution;
 - (c) Procurement of initial capital spares within the original scope of work, in

- accordance with the provisions of Regulation 23of these regulations;
- (d) Liabilities to meet award of arbitration or for compliance of the directions or order of any statutory authority or order or decree of any court of law;
- (e) Change in law or compliance of any existing law; and
- (f) Force Majeure events:

Provided that in case of any replacement of the assets, the additional capitalization shall be worked out after adjusting the gross fixed assets and cumulative depreciation of the assets replaced on account of de-capitalization.

- (2) The generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be shall submit the details of works asset wise/work wise included in the original scope of work along with estimates of expenditure, liabilities recognized to be payable at a future date and the works deferred for execution."
- 65. Based on the Auditor's Certificate dated 14.10.2020, details of the ACE claimed by the Petitioner are as follows:

Year	Particulars	Transmission Line	Sub-station	PLCC	IT Equipment and Software	Total
2020-21	ACE	988.07	94.15	49.86	13.78	1145.86
2021-22	ACE	406.05	0.87	0.48	0.10	407.50

- 66. The Petitioner has submitted that the admissibility of ACE incurred after COD is to be dealt in accordance with the provisions of Regulation 24 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations. Further, ACE incurred/ projected to be incurred is mainly on account of Balance and Retention Payments and, therefore, the same may be allowed under Regulation 24(1)(a) and 24(1)(b) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations. The reasons for ACE have been mentioned in respective Form-7 submitted in this petition.
- 67. MPPMCL has submitted that the Petitioner has failed to substantiate its claim for balance and retention payments with proper justification and documents and, hence, the same may be considered only at the time of truing up when the Petitioner submits the details of actual expense incurred.
- 68. In response, the Petitioner has submitted that element wise details of ACE have already been submitted vide Form-5 in the instant petition and the ACE

claimed is in line with the 2019 Tariff Regulations, hence, the same may be allowed.

69. We have considered the submissions and claims of the Petitioner and MPPMCL and note that ACE projected for the 2019-24 tariff period is on account of balance and retention payments due to un-discharged liability projected for works executed within the cut-off date (31.3.2023), and, accordingly, ACE claimed is allowed under Regulation 24(1)(a) and Regulation 24(1)(b) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations is as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Particulars -		ACE	
Farticulars	2020-21	2021-22	
ACE to extent of Balance & Retention Payments and work deferred for execution before cut-off date/ after cut-off date	1372.07	387.11	
Add: Un-Discharged of IDC as on COD	23.24	20.40	
Less: Grants	-249.45	0.00	
Total	1145.86	407.50	

Capital Cost for the 2019-24 tariff period

70. In view of the above, the capital cost considered in respect of the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period is as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Capital Cost	Admitt	ed ACE	Capital Cost
(as on COD)	2020-21	2021-22	(as on 31.3.2024)
13371.84	1145.86*	407.50	14925.20

^{*}includes discharged IDC of ₹23.24 lakh and grant adjustment of ₹ 249.45 lakh.

Debt-Equity Ratio

- 71. Regulations 18 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:
 - "18. Debt-Equity Ratio: (1) For new projects, the debt-equity ratio of 70:30 as on date of commercial operation shall be considered. If the equity actually deployed is more than 30% of the capital cost, equity in excess of 30% shall be treated as normative loan:

Provided that:

- i. where equity actually deployed is less than 30% of the capital cost, actual equity shall be considered for determination of tariff:
- ii. the equity invested in foreign currency shall be designated in Indian rupees on the date of each investment:

iii. any grant obtained for the execution of the project shall not be considered as a part of capital structure for the purpose of debt: equity ratio.

Explanation.-The premium, if any, raised by the generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be, while issuing share capital and investment of internal resources created out of its free reserve, for the funding of the project, shall be reckoned as paid up capital for the purpose of computing return on equity, only if such premium amount and internal resources are actually utilised for meeting the capital expenditure of the generating station or the transmission system.

- (2) The generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be, shall submit the resolution of the Board of the company or approval of the competent authority in other cases regarding infusion of funds from internal resources in support of the utilization made or proposed to be made to meet the capital expenditure of the generating station or the transmission system including communication system, as the case may be.
- (3) In case of the generating station and the transmission system including communication system declared under commercial operation prior to 1.4.2019, debt: equity ratio allowed by the Commission for determination of tariff for the period ending 31.3.2019 shall be considered:

Provided that in case of a generating station or a transmission system including communication system which has completed its useful life as on or after 1.4.2019, if the equity actually deployed as on 1.4.2019 is more than 30% of the capital cost, equity in excess of 30%shall not be taken into account for tariff computation;

Provided further that in case of projects owned by Damodar Valley Corporation, the debt: equity ratio shall be governed as per sub-clause (ii) of clause (2) of Regulation 72 of these regulations.

- (4) In case of the generating station and the transmission system including communication system declared under commercial operation prior to 1.4.2019, but where debt: equity ratio has not been determined by the Commission for determination of tariff for the period ending 31.3.2019, the Commission shall approve the debt: equity ratio in accordance with clause (1) of this Regulation.
- (5) Any expenditure incurred or projected to be incurred on or after 1.4.2019 as may be admitted by the Commission as additional capital expenditure for determination of tariff, and renovation and modernisation expenditure for life extension shall be serviced in the manner specified in clause (1) of this Regulation.
- (6) Any expenditure incurred for the emission control system during the tariff period as may be admitted by the Commission as additional capital expenditure for determination of supplementary tariff, shall be serviced in the manner specified in clause (1) of this Regulation."

72. The debt-equity considered for the purpose of computation of tariff for the 2019-24 period is as follows:

Funding	Capital Cost (₹ in lakh) (as on COD)	(in %)	Capital Cost (₹ in lakh) (as on 31.3.2024)	(in %)
Debt	9360.29	70.00	10447.64	70.00
Equity	4011.55	30.00	4477.56	30.00
Total	13371.84	100.00	14925.20	100.00

Depreciation

- 73. Regulation 33 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:
 - **"33. Depreciation:** (1) Depreciation shall be computed from the date of commercial operation of a generating station or unit thereof or a transmission system or element thereof including communication system. In case of the tariff of all the units of a generating station or all elements of a transmission system including communication system for which a single tariff needs to be determined, the depreciation shall be computed from the effective date of commercial operation of the generating station or the transmission system taking into consideration the depreciation of individual units:

Provided that effective date of commercial operation shall be worked out by considering the actual date of commercial operation and installed capacity of all the units of the generating station or capital cost of all elements of the transmission system, for which single tariff needs to be determined.

- (2)The value base for the purpose of depreciation shall be the capital cost of the asset admitted by the Commission. In case of multiple units of a generating station or multiple elements of a transmission system, weighted average life for the generating station of the transmission system shall be applied. Depreciation shall be chargeable from the first year of commercial operation. In case of commercial operation of the asset for part of the year, depreciation shall be charged on pro rata basis.
- (3) The salvage value of the asset shall be considered as 10% and depreciation shall be allowed up to maximum of 90% of the capital cost of the asset:

Provided that the salvage value for IT equipment and software shall be considered as NIL and 100% value of the assets shall be considered depreciable;

Provided further that in case of hydro generating stations, the salvage value shall be as provided in the agreement, if any, signed by the developers with the State Government for development of the generating station:

Provided also that the capital cost of the assets of the hydro generating station for the purpose of computation of depreciated value shall correspond to the percentage of sale of electricity under long-term power purchase agreement at regulated tariff:

Provided also that any depreciation disallowed on account of lower availability of the generating station or unit or transmission system as the case may be, shall not be allowed to be recovered at a later stage during the useful life or the extended life.

- (4) Land other than the land held under lease and the land for reservoir in case of hydro generating station shall not be a depreciable asset and its cost shall be excluded from the capital cost while computing depreciable value of the asset.
- (5) Depreciation shall be calculated annually based on Straight Line Method and at rates specified in **Appendix-I** to these regulations for the assets of the generating station and transmission system:

Provided that the remaining depreciable value as on 31st March of the year closing after a period of 12 years from the effective date of commercial operation of the station shall be spread over the balance useful life of the assets.

- (6) In case of the existing projects, the balance depreciable value as on 1.4.2019 shall be worked out by deducting the cumulative depreciation as admitted by the Commission upto 31.3.2019 from the gross depreciable value of the assets.
- (7) The generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be, shall submit the details of proposed capital expenditure five years before the completion of useful life of the project along with justification and proposed life extension. The Commission based on prudence check of such submissions shall approve the depreciation on capital expenditure.
- (8) In case of de-capitalization of assets in respect of generating station or unit thereof or transmission system or element thereof, the cumulative depreciation shall be adjusted by taking into account the depreciation recovered in tariff by the de-capitalized asset during its useful services.
- (9) Where the emission control system is implemented within the original scope of the generating station and the date of commercial operation of the generating station or unit thereof and the date of operation of the emission control system are the same, depreciation of the generating station or unit thereof including the emission control system shall be computed in accordance with Clauses (1) to (8) of this Regulation.
- (10) Depreciation of the emission control system of an existing or a new generating station or unit thereof where the date of operation of the emission control system is subsequent to the date of commercial operation of the generating station or unit thereof, shall be computed annually from the date of operation of such emission control system based on straight line method, with salvage value of 10%, over a period of-
- a) twenty five years, in case the generating station or unit thereof is in operation for fifteen years or less as on the date of operation of the emission control system; or
- b) balance useful life of the generating station or unit thereof plus fifteen years, in case the generating station or unit thereof is in operation for more than fifteen years as on the date of operation of the emission control system; or
- c) ten years or a period mutually agreed by the generating company and the beneficiaries, whichever is higher, in case the generating station or unit thereof has completed its useful life."

74. The IT equipment has been considered as part of the Gross Block and depreciated using Weighted Average Rate of Depreciation (WAROD). WAROD at Annexure-I has been worked out after considering the depreciation rates of IT and non-IT assets as specified in the 2019 Tariff Regulations. The salvage value of IT equipment has been considered nil, i.e. IT asset has been considered as 100% depreciable. The depreciation allowed for the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period is as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

					(₹ in iakn)
	Particulars	2020-21 (Pro-rata for 208 days)	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Α	Opening Gross Block	13371.84	14517.70	14925.20	14925.20
В	Addition during the year 2019- 24 due to projected ACE	1145.86	407.50	0.00	0.00
С	Closing Gross Block (A+B)	14517.70	14925.20	14925.20	14925.20
D	Average Gross Block [(A+C)/2]	13944.77	14721.45	14925.20	14925.20
Е	Average Gross Block (90% depreciable assets)	13872.63	14642.37	14846.07	14846.07
F	Average Gross Block (100% depreciable assets)	72.14	79.08	79.13	79.13
G	Depreciable value (excluding IT equipment and software) (E*90%)	12485.37	13178.14	13361.46	13361.47
Н	Depreciable value of IT equipment and software	72.14	79.08	79.13	79.13
I	Total Depreciable Value (G+H)	12557.51	13257.21	13440.59	13440.59
J	Weighted average rate of Depreciation (WAROD) (in %)	5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36
K	Elapsed useful life at the beginning of the year (Year)	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.00
L	Balance useful life at the beginning of the year (Year)	34.00	34.00	33.00	32.00
М	Depreciation during the year (D*J)	425.62	788.83	799.60	799.60
N	Aggregate Cumulative Depreciation at the end of the year	425.62	1214.46	2014.06	2813.66
0	Remaining Aggregate Depreciable Value at the end of the year(I-N)	12131.88	12042.76	11426.54	10626.94

Interest on Loan (IoL)

75. Regulation 32 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provides as follows:



- **"32. Interest on loan capital:** (1) The loans arrived at in the manner indicated in Regulation 18 of these regulations shall be considered as gross normative loan for calculation of interest on loan.
- (2) The normative loan outstanding as on 1.4.2019 shall be worked out by deducting the cumulative repayment as admitted by the Commission up to 31.3.2019 from the gross normative loan.
- (3) The repayment for each of the year of the tariff period 2019-24 shall be deemed to be equal to the depreciation allowed for the corresponding year/period. In case of de-capitalization of assets, the repayment shall be adjusted by taking into account cumulative repayment on a pro rata basis and the adjustment should not exceed cumulative depreciation recovered upto the date of de-capitalisation of such asset.
- (4) Notwithstanding any moratorium period availed by the generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be, the repayment of loan shall be considered from the first year of commercial operation of the project and shall be equal to the depreciation allowed for the year or part of the year.
- (5) The rate of interest shall be the weighted average rate of interest calculated on the basis of the actual loan portfolio after providing appropriate accounting adjustment for interest capitalized:

Provided that if there is no actual loan for a particular year but normative loan is still outstanding, the last available weighted average rate of interest shall be considered;

Provided further that if the generating station or the transmission system, as the case may be, does not have actual loan, then the weighted average rate of interest of the generating company or the transmission licensee as a whole shall be considered.

- (5a) The rate of interest on loan for installation of emission control system shall be the weighted average rate of interest of actual loan portfolio of the emission control system or in the absence of actual loan portfolio, the weighted average rate of interest of the generating company as a whole shall be considered.
- (6) The interest on loan shall be calculated on the normative average loan of the year by applying the weighted average rate of interest.
- (7) The changes to the terms and conditions of the loans shall be reflected from the date of such re-financing."
- 76. The Petitioner has submitted that WAROI on loan has been calculated on the basis of the rates prevailing as on 1.4.2019 and has prayed that the change in interest rate due to floating rate of interest applicable, if any, during the 2019-24 tariff period may be adjusted.

77. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. As the Petitioner has prayed that the change in interest rate due to floating rate of interest applicable, if any, during the 2019-24 tariff period be adjusted. Accordingly, the floating rate of interest, if any, will be considered at the time of true-up. Therefore, loL has been allowed in accordance with Regulation 32 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations. loL allowed for the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period is follows:

(₹ in lakh)

	Particulars	2020-21 (Pro-rata for	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
		208 days)			
Α	Gross Normative Loan	9360.29	10162.39	10447.64	10447.64
В	Cumulative Repayments up to Previous Year	0.00	425.62	1214.46	2014.06
С	Net Loan-Opening (A-B)	9360.29	9736.77	9233.19	8433.59
D	Addition due to ACE	802.10	285.25	0.00	0.00
Е	Repayment during the year	425.62	788.83	799.60	799.60
F	Net Loan-Closing(C+D-E)	9736.77	9233.19	8433.59	7633.99
G	Average Loan [(A+F)/2]	9548.53	9484.98	8833.39	8033.79
Н	Weighted Average Rate of Interest on Loan (in %)	2.699	2.698	2.695	2.688
	Interest on Loan (GxH)	146.85	255.94	238.05	215.94

Return on Equity (RoE)

- 78. Regulation 30 and Regulation 31 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provide as follows:
 - **"30. Return on Equity**: (1) Return on equity shall be computed in rupee terms, on the equity base determined in accordance with Regulation 18 of these regulations.
 - (2) Return on equity shall be computed at the base rate of 15.50% for thermal generating station, transmission system including communication system and run-of-river hydro generating station, and at the base rate of 16.50% for the storage type hydro generating stations including pumped storage hydro generating stations and run-of-river generating station with pondage:

Provided that return on equity in respect of additional capitalization after cutoff date beyond the original scope, excluding additional capitalization on 7 account of emission control system, shall be computed at the weighted average rate of interest on actual loan portfolio of the generating station or the transmission system or in the absence of actual loan portfolio of the generating station or the transmission system, the weighted average rate of interest of the generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be, as a whole shall be

considered, subject to ceiling of 14%.

Provided further that:

- i. In case of a new project, the rate of return on equity shall be reduced by 1.00% for such period as may be decided by the Commission, if the generating station or transmission system is found to be declared under commercial operation without commissioning of any of the Restricted Governor Mode Operation (RGMO) or Free Governor Mode Operation (FGMO), data telemetry, communication system up to load dispatch centre or protection system based on the report submitted by the respective RLDC;
- ii. in case of existing generating station, as and when any of the requirements under (i) above of this Regulation are found lacking based on the report submitted by the concerned RLDC, rate of return on equity shall be reduced by 1.00% for the period for which the deficiency continues:
- iii. in case of a thermal generating station, with effect from 1.4.2020:
- a) rate of return on equity shall be reduced by 0.25% in case of failure to achieve the ramp rate of 1% per minute;
- b) an additional rate of return on equity of 0.25% shall be allowed for every incremental ramp rate of 1% per minute achieved over and above the ramp rate of 1% per minute, subject to ceiling of additional rate of return on equity of 1.00%:

Provided that the detailed guidelines in this regard shall be issued by National Load Dispatch Centre by 30.6.2019.

- (3) The return on equity in respect of additional capitalization on account of emission control system shall be computed at the base rate of one year marginal cost of lending rate (MCLR) of the State Bank of India as on 1st April of the year in which the date of operation (ODe) occurs plus 350 basis point, subject to ceiling of 14%;
- 31. Tax on Return on Equity. (1) The base rate of return on equity as allowed by the Commission under Regulation 30 of these regulations shall be grossed up with the effective tax rate of the respective financial year. For this purpose, the effective tax rate shall be considered on the basis of actual tax paid in respect of the financial year in line with the provisions of the relevant Finance Acts by the concerned generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be. The actual tax paid on income from other businesses including deferred tax liability (i.e. income from business other than business of generation or transmission, as the case may be) shall be excluded for the calculation of effective tax rate.

(2) Rate of return on equity shall be rounded off to three decimal places and shall be computed as per the formula given below:

Rate of pre-tax return on equity = Base rate / (1-t)

Where "t" is the effective tax rate in accordance with clause (1) of this Regulation and shall be calculated at the beginning of every financial year based on the estimated profit and tax to be paid estimated in line with the provisions of the relevant Finance Act applicable for that financial year to the company on pro-rata basis by excluding the income of non-generation or non-transmission business, as the case may be, and the corresponding tax thereon. In case of generating

company or transmission licensee paying Minimum Alternate Tax (MAT), "t" shall be considered as MAT rate including surcharge and cess.

Illustration-

(i) In case of a generating company or a transmission licensee paying Minimum Alternate Tax (MAT) @ 21.55% including surcharge and cess:

Rate of return on equity = 15.50/(1-0.2155) = 19.758%

- (ii) In case of a generating company or a transmission licensee paying normal corporate tax including surcharge and cess:
- (a) Estimated Gross Income from generation or transmission business for FY 2019-20 is Rs 1,000 crore;
- (b) Estimated Advance Tax for the year on above is Rs 240 crore;
- (c) Effective Tax Rate for the year 2019-20 = Rs 240 Crore/Rs 1000 Crore = 24%:
- (d) Rate of return on equity = 15.50/(1-0.24) = 20.395%.
- (3)The generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be, shall true up the grossed up rate of return on equity at the end of every financial year based on actual tax paid together with any additional tax demand including interest thereon, duly adjusted for any refund of tax including interest received from the income tax authorities pertaining to the tariff period 2019-24 on actual gross income of any financial year. However, penalty, if any, arising on account of delay in deposit or short deposit of tax amount shall not be claimed by the generating company or the transmission licensee, as the case may be. Any under-recovery or over-recovery of grossed up rate on return on equity after truing up, shall be recovered or refunded to beneficiaries or the long term customers, as the case may be, on year to year basis."
- 79. The Petitioner has submitted that it is liable to pay Income Tax at MAT rate specified under the Taxation laws (Amendment) Ordinance, 2019. Further, RoE has been calculated @18.782% after grossing up RoE with MAT rate of 17.472% (Base Rate 15% + Surcharge 12% + Cess 4%) based on the formula given in Regulation 31(2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations for 2019-24 tariff period. As per Regulation 31(3) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations, the grossed-up rate of RoE at the end of every financial year shall be trued-up based on actual tax paid together with any additional tax demand including interest thereon duly adjusted for any refund of tax including interest received from the IT authorities pertaining to 2019-24 tariff period on actual gross income. However, if any penalty arising on account of delay in deposit or short deposit of tax amount shall not be claimed by

the Petitioner. Any under-recovery or over-recovery of grossed-up rate on RoE after truing up shall be recovered or refunded to beneficiaries or the long term customers on yearly basis. The Petitioner has further submitted that any adjustment due to additional tax demand including interest duly adjusted for any refund of tax including interest received from IT authorities shall be recoverable/adjustable during 2019-24 tariff period on yearly basis on receipt of Income Tax assessment order.

80. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. MAT rate applicable in 2019-20 has been considered for the purpose of RoE, which will be trued-up with actual tax rate in accordance with Regulation 31(3) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations. RoE allowed for the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period is as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

	Particulars	2020-21			,
	i articulars	(Pro-rata for	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
		208 days)			
Α	Opening Equity	4011.55	4355.31	4477.56	4477.56
В	Addition due to ACE	343.76	122.25	0.00	0.00
С	Closing Equity (A+B)	4355.31	4477.56	4477.56	4477.56
D	Average Equity [(A+B)/2]	4183.43	4416.43	4477.56	4477.56
Е	Return on Equity (Base Rate)	15.500	15.500	15.500	15.500
	(in %)	10.000	10.000	10.000	10.000
F	Tax Rate applicable (in %)	17.472	17.472	17.472	17.472
G	Rate of Return on Equity	18.782	18.782	18.782	18.782
	(Pre-tax)	10.702	10.702	10.702	10.762
Н	Return on Equity (Pre-tax)	447.76	829.49	840.97	840.97

Operation & Maintenance Expenses (O&M Expenses)

81. The O&M Expenses claimed by the Petitioner for the various elements included in the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period are as follows:

Transmission Asset							
	Transmission lines						
SI. No.	Name of Line	Single Circuit / Double Circuit	Number of Sub- Conductors	Line Length Km			

	Transmission Asset								
Transmission lines									
SI. No.	Name of Line		Circuit / e Circuit	Number of Sub- Conductors		ine Length Km			
1	Banaskantha (PG Banaskantha (Radhanesda) TL	•	e Circuit	2		65.190			
SI. No.	400 kV Sub-sta	ation bay							
1	Banaskantha -	Banaskantha	(Radhanes	da) Bay 1 ar	nd Bay 2				
		O&M	Expenses						
		2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24			
Sub-stati	on	•							
400 kV									
Number o	of bays	2	2	2	2	2			
Transmis	ssion lines	-		•		•			
D/C Twin/ (km)	Triple Conductor	0.88	0.91	0.94	0.98	1.01			
PLCC									
Original project cost (₹ in lakh)		367.39	367.39	367.39	367.39	367.39			
Total O& (₹ in lakh	M Expense)	76.23	138.20	142.77	147.49	76.23			

- 82. Regulation 35(3)(a) and Regulation 35(4) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provide as follows:
 - (3) Transmission system: (a) The following normative operation and maintenance expenses shall be admissible for the transmission system:

Particulars	2019- 20	2020- 21	2021- 22	2022- 23	2023- 24
Norms for sub-station Bays (Rs Lakh per bay)	ı				
765 kV	45.01	46.60	48.23	49.93	51.68
400 kV	32.15	33.28	34.45	35.66	36.91
220 kV	22.51	23.30	24.12	24.96	25.84
132 kV and below	16.08	16.64	17.23	17.83	18.46
Norms for Transformers (Rs Lakh per MVA)					
765 kV	0.491	0.508	0.526	0.545	0.564
400 kV	0.358	0.371	0.384	0.398	0.411
220 kV	0.245	0.254	0.263	0.272	0.282
132 kV and below	0.245	0.254	0.263	0.272	0.282
Norms for AC and HVDC lines (Rs Lakh per km)					
Single Circuit (Bundled Conductor with six or more sub-conductors)	0.881	0.912	0.944	0.977	1.011
Single Circuit (Bundled conductor with four sub- conductors)	0.755	0.781	0.809	0.837	0.867
Single Circuit (Twin & Triple Conductor)	0.503	0.521	0.539	0.558	0.578
Single Circuit (Single Conductor)	0.252	0.260	0.270	0.279	0.289
Double Circuit (Bundled conductor with four or more sub-conductors)	1.322	1.368	1.416	1.466	1.517
Double Circuit (Twin & Triple Conductor)	0.881	0.912	0.944	0.977	1.011
Double Circuit (Single Conductor)	0.377	0.391	0.404	0.419	0.433
Multi Circuit (Bundled Conductor with four or more sub-conductor)	2.319	2.401	2.485	2.572	2.662

Multi Circuit (Twin & Triple Conductor)	1.544	1.598	1.654	1.713	1.773
Norms for HVDC stations					
HVDC Back-to-Back stations (Rs Lakh per 500 MW) (Except Gazuwaka BTB)	834	864	894	925	958
Gazuwaka HVDC Back-to-Back station (Rs. Lakh per 500 MW)	1,666	1,725	1,785	1,848	1,913
500 kV Rihand-Dadri HVDC bipole scheme (Rs Lakh) (1500 MW)	2,252	2,331	2,413	2,498	2,586
±500 kV Talcher- Kolar HVDC bipole scheme (Rs Lakh) (2000 MW)	2,468	2,555	2,645	2,738	2,834
±500 kV Bhiwadi-Balia HVDC bipole scheme (Rs Lakh) (2500 MW)	1,696	1,756	1,817	1,881	1,947
±800 kV, Bishwanath-Agra HVDC bipole scheme (Rs Lakh)(3000 MW)	2,563	2,653	2,746	2,842	2,942

Provided that the O&M expenses for the GIS bays shall be allowed as worked out by multiplying 0.70 of the O&M expenses of the normative O&M expenses for bays;

Provided further that:

- (i) the operation and maintenance expenses for new HVDC bi-pole schemes commissioned after 1.4.2019 for a particular year shall be allowed pro-rata on the basis of normative rate of operation and maintenance expenses of similar HVDC bi-pole scheme for the corresponding year of the tariff period;
- (ii) the O&M expenses norms for HVDC bi-pole line shall be considered as Double Circuit quad AC line;
- (iii) the O&M expenses of ±500 kV Mundra-Mohindergarh HVDC bipole scheme (2500 MW) shall be allowed as worked out by multiplying 0.80 of the normative O&M expenses for ±500 kV Talchar-Kolar HVDC bi-pole scheme (2000 MW);
- (iv) the O&M expenses of ±800 kV Champa-Kurukshetra HVDC bi-pole scheme (3000 MW) shall be on the basis of the normative O&M expenses for ±800 kV, Bishwanath-Agra HVDC bi-pole scheme;
- (v) the O&M expenses of ±800 kV, Alipurduar-Agra HVDC bi-pole scheme (3000 MW) shall be allowed as worked out by multiplying 0.80 of the normative O&M expenses for ±800 kV, Bishwanath-Agra HVDC bi-pole scheme; and
- (v) the O&M expenses of Static Synchronous Compensator and Static Var Compensator shall be worked at 1.5% of original project cost as on commercial operation which shall be escalated at the rate of 3.51% to work out the O&M expenses during the tariff period. The O&M expenses of Static Synchronous Compensator and Static Var Compensator, if required, may be reviewed after three years.
- (b) The total allowable operation and maintenance expenses for the transmission system shall be calculated by multiplying the number of sub-station bays, transformer capacity of the transformer (in MVA) and km of line length with the applicable norms for the operation and maintenance expenses per bay, per MVA and per km respectively.
- (c) The Security Expenses and Capital Spares for transmission system shall be allowed separately after prudence check:

Provided that the transmission licensee shall submit the assessment of the security requirement and estimated security expenses, the details of year-wise

actual capital spares consumed at the time of truing up with appropriate justification.

- (4) **Communication system:** The operation and maintenance expenses for the communication system shall be worked out at 2.0% of the original project cost related to such communication system. The transmission licensee shall submit the actual operation and maintenance expenses for truing up."
- 83. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. The Petitioner has claimed O&M Expenses separately for PLCC under Regulation 35(4) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations @2% of its original project cost in the instant petition. The Petitioner has made similar claim in other petitions as well. Though PLCC is a communication system, it has been considered as part of the sub-station in the 2014 Tariff Regulations and the 2019 Tariff Regulations and the norms for substation have been specified accordingly. Accordingly, the Commission vide order dated 24.1.2021 in Petition No. 126/TT/2020 has already concluded that no separate O&M Expenses can be allowed for PLCC under Regulation 35(4) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations even though PLCC is a communication system. Therefore, the Petitioner's claim for separate O&M Expenses for PLCC @2% is not allowed.
- 84. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. The O&M Expenses have been worked out as per the norms specified in the 2019 Tariff Regulations and the same is as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Details	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
2 Numbers of 400 kV Sub-station bays	66.56	68.90	71.32	73.82
65.190 km D/C Twin/Triple Conductor	59.45	61.54	63.69	65.91
Total	71.81	130.44	135.01	139.73

Interest on Working Capital

85. Regulation 34(1)(c), Regulation 34(3), Regulation 34(4) and Regulation 3(7) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations provide as follows:

- "34. Interest on Working Capital: (1) The working capital shall cover:
- (c) For Hydro Generating Station (including Pumped Storage Hydro Generating Station) and Transmission System:
 - (i) Receivables equivalent to 45 days of annual fixed cost;
 - (ii) Maintenance spares @ 15% of operation and maintenance expenses including security expenses; and
 - (iii) Operation and maintenance expenses, including security expenses for one month."
 - "(3) Rate of interest on working capital shall be on normative basis and shall be considered as the bank rate as on 1.4.2019 or as on 1st April of the year during the tariff period 2019-24 in which the generating station or a unit thereof or the transmission system including communication system or element thereof, as the case may be, is declared under commercial operation, whichever is later:

Provided that in case of truing-up, the rate of interest on working capital shall be considered at bank rate as on 1st April of each of the financial year during the tariff period 2019-24."

- "(4) Interest on working capital shall be payable on normative basis notwithstanding that the generating company or the transmission licensee has not taken loan for working capital from any outside agency."
- "3. Definitions ...
- (7) 'Bank Rate' means the one year marginal cost of lending rate (MCLR) of the State Bank of India issued from time to time plus 350 basis points;"
- 86. The Petitioner has considered the rate of IWC as 11.25%. IWC is worked out in accordance with Regulation 34 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations. The Rate of Interest considered is 11.25 % (SBI 1 year MCLR applicable as on 1.4.2020 of 7.75% plus 350 basis points) for 2020-21, for 2021-22 has been considered as 10.50% (SBI 1 year MCLR applicable as on 1.4.2021 of 7.00% plus 350 basis points) whereas 2022-23 onwards has been considered as 10.60% (SBI 1 year MCLR applicable as on 1.4.2022 of 7.10% plus 350 basis points). The components of the working capital and interest allowed thereon for the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period are as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

Particulars	2020-21 (Pro-rata for 208 days)	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Working Capital for O&M Expenses (O&M Expenses for One month)	10.50	10.87	11.25	11.64
Working Capital for Maintenance Spares (15% of O&M Expenses)	18.90	19.57	20.25	20.96
Working Capital for Receivables (Equivalent to 45 days of annual fixed cost / annual transmission charges)	240.00	250.80	251.96	249.11
Total Working Capital	269.40	281.23	283.46	281.71
Rate of Interest of Working Capital (in %)	11.25	10.50	10.60	10.60
Interest of Working Capital	17.27	29.53	30.05	29.86

Annual Fixed Charges of 2019-24 Tariff Period

87. The transmission charges allowed for the transmission asset for 2019-24 tariff period are as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

	2020-21			2023-24	
Particulars	(Pro-rata for 208 days)	2021-22	2022-23		
Depreciation	425.62	788.83	799.60	799.60	
Interest on Loan	146.85	255.94	238.05	215.94	
Return on Equity	447.76	829.49	840.97	840.97	
O&M Expenses	71.81	130.44	135.01	139.73	
Interest on Working Capital	17.27	29.53	30.05	29.86	
Total	1109.32	2034.24	2043.68	2026.10	

Filing Fee and Publication Expenses

88. The Petitioner has sought reimbursement of fee paid by it for filing the petition and publication expenses. The Petitioner is entitled for reimbursement of the filing fees and publication expenses in connection with the present petition, directly from the beneficiaries on pro-rata basis in accordance with Regulation 70(1) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations.

Licence Fee & RLDC Fees and Charges

89. The Petitioner shall be entitled for reimbursement of licence fee in accordance with Regulation 70(4) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations for the 2019-24

tariff period. The Petitioner shall also be entitled for recovery of RLDC fee and charges in accordance with Regulation 70(3) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations for the 2019-24 tariff period.

Goods and Services Tax

- 90. The Petitioner has submitted that, if GST is levied at any rate and at any point of time in future on charges of transmission of electricity, the same shall be borne and additionally paid by the Respondent(s) to the Petitioner and the same shall be charged and billed separately by the Petitioner. Further additional taxes, if any, are to be paid by the Petitioner on account of demand from Government/ Statutory Authorities, the same may be allowed to be recovered from the beneficiaries.
- 91. MPPMCL has submitted that GST is not applicable on the electricity sector and, hence, the same cannot be allowed. In response, the Petitioner has reiterated its submissions.
- 92. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. Since GST is not levied on transmission services at present, we are of the view that Petitioner's prayer is pre-mature.

Security Expenses

- 93. The Petitioner has submitted that security expenses in respect of transmission asset are not claimed in the instant petition and it would file a separate petition for claiming the overall security expenses and the consequential IWC.
- 94. We have considered the above submissions of Petitioner. The Petitioner has claimed consolidated security expenses for all the transmission assets owned

by it on projected basis for the 2019-24 tariff period on the basis of actual security expenses incurred in 2018-19 in Petition No. 260/MP/2020. The Commission vide order dated 3.8.2021 in Petition No. 260/MP/2020 approved security expenses from 1.4.2019 to 31.3.2024. Therefore, the security expenses will be shared in terms of the order dated 3.8.2021 in Petition No. 260/MP/2020. Accordingly, the Petitioner's prayer in the instant petition for allowing it to file a separate petition for claiming the overall security expenses and consequential IWC has become infructuous.

Capital Spares

95. The Petitioner has sought reimbursement of capital spares at the end of tariff period. The Petitioner's claim, if any, shall be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of the 2019 Tariff Regulations.

Sharing of Transmission Charges

- 96. The Petitioner has prayed that tariff for the 2019-24 period may be allowed to be recovered on monthly basis in accordance with Regulation 57 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations and may be shared by the beneficiaries and long term transmission customers as per 2010 Sharing Regulations.
- 97. The Petitioner has submitted that LTA granted to GPCL at Banaskantha (Radhanesda) for 750 MW was operationalized with effect from 12.8.2021. The Petitioner has also submitted the details of the status of the generators, within the solar park of GPCL, covered in the transmission system. The details and status of the generators covered in the main and supplementary transmission system is as follows:

Details of Generating Plants	Capacity (MW)	Date of Commercial Operation
Gujarat Industries Power Company Limited	100	10.8.2021
Tata Power Renewable Energy Limited	100	10.8.2021
Electro Solaire Private Limited	150	10.8.2021
Electio Solaire Private Littilled	50	13.8.2021
GSECL	200	Yet to be commissioned
Selection of Developer is under Process	100	Yet to be commissioned
Total	700	

- 98. The Petitioner has submitted that idle charging of Transmission System for LTA on 'no load' has been completed on 4.9.2020 and GPCL shall be liable for payment of transmission charges from COD (5.9.2020) of the subject transmission asset as per the Regulations. The relevant extract of minutes of 30th and 31st JCC meeting held on 24.12.2020 and 26.3.2021, respectively, to review the status of upcoming generation and transmission projects in WR is also enclosed by the Petitioner.
- 99. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner. The transmission asset was envisaged to be put into commercial operation for evacuation of 750 MW of power of the solar park. The scheduled COD of the asset is 8.6.2019 and the COD of the transmission asset is 5.9.2020 under Regulation 5(2) of the 2019 Tariff Regulations, as the associated generating station i.e. Banaskantha (Radhanesda) Solar Power Park is being executed by Gujarat Power Corporation Limited (GPCL) is not commissioned.
- 100. The Commission in order dated 30.3.2017 in Petition No. 143/MP/2016, while granting regulatory approval for the instant transmission system, observed that transmission charges for the period of delay in commissioning of generators in the solar park has to be borne by the solar generators/SPPD. The relevant extracts of the regulatory approval order (order dated 30.3.2017 in Petition No. 143/MP/2016 with IA. No. 61/2016) are as follows:

- "24. With regard to sharing of transmission charges of the transmission system, since the transmission system is being implemented as part of ISTS, the sharing of the transmission charges for the transmission system covered in the present petition shall be governed by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Inter-State Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulations, 2010 as amended from time to time. With regard to recovery of transmission charges on account of delay in commissioning of solar generation, in the Statement of Reasons for the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Grant of Connectivity, Long-term Access and Medium-term Open Access in inter-state Transmission and related matters) (Fifth Amendment) Regulations, 2015, and the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Grant of Regulatory Approval for execution of Inter-State Transmission Scheme to Central Transmission Utility) (First Amendment) Regulations, 2015, the following has been clarified:
 - "8.2.1 With regard to the suggestions of PGCIL, it is clarified that SPPD who shall apply for Connectivity/Long term Access shall be liable to deposit Application Bank Guarantee/Construction Bank Guarantee as required under Connectivity Regulation. Further, SPPD shall also be liable for payment of transmission charges for delay in commissioning of generator and relinquishment charges towards transmission access under Connectivity Regulations and Sharing Regulations. Regulation 7(1)(u) of the Sharing Regulations provides that "No transmission charges for the use of ISTS network shall be charged to solar based generation" is applicable only when the power is evacuated through the transmission system to the beneficiaries after the commercial operation of the generating station. Therefore, transmission charges for delay in commissioning of solar power generators shall be payable by such solar generators/SPPD on the same line as the liability for payment by the thermal and hydro Order in Petition No. 143/MP/2016 Page 15 generating station in accordance with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2014. 8.2.2 With regard to delay of internal system, it is clarified that SPPD shall be executing internal system on behalf of solar power generators. The treatment of delay or other modalities should be covered in Agreement between solar power generators and SPPD. In regard to NTPC's comments on development of transmission matching with generation, it is clarified that CTU shall carry out coordination with the SPPD/solar power generators in accordance with Section 38 of the Act."

Therefore, the transmission charges for delay in commissioning of the solar power generators shall be paid by such solar generators/SPPD in accordance with the relevant Regulations of the Commission."

- 101. Further, Regulation 8(5) and Regulation 8(6) of the 2010 Sharing Regulations provides as follows:
 - "(5) Where the Approved Withdrawal or Approved Injection in case of a DIC is not materializing either partly or fully for any reason whatsoever, the concerned DIC shall be obliged to pay the transmission charges allocated under these regulations;

Provided that in case the commissioning of a generating station or unit thereof is delayed, the generator shall be liable to pay Withdrawal Charges corresponding to its Long term Access from the date the Long Term Access granted by CTU

becomes effective. The Withdrawal Charges shall be at the average withdrawal rate of the target region;

Provided further that where the operationalization of LTA is contingent upon commissioning of several transmission lines or elements and only some of the transmission lines or elements have been declared commercial, the generator shall pay the transmission charges for LTA operationalised corresponding to the transmission system commissioned;

Provided also that where the construction of dedicated transmission line has been taken up by the CTU or the transmission licensee, the transmission charges for such dedicated transmission line shall be payable by the generator as provided in the Regulation 8 (8) of the Connectivity Regulations;

Provided also that a generating station drawing start-up power or injecting infirm power before commencement of LTA shall be liable to pay the withdrawal or injection charges corresponding to the actual injection of infirm power or withdrawal start-up power during a month (concerned month) and the amount received on account of such payments shall be reimbursed to the DICs in the month following the month of billing, in proportion to the billing of the DICs during the concerned month;

Provided also that CTU shall maintain a separate account for the above amount received in a quarter and deduct the same from the transmission charges of ISTS considered in PoC calculation for the next application period.

- (6) For Long Term Transmission Customers availing power supply from inter-State generating stations, the charges attributable to such generation for long term supply shall be calculated directly at drawal nodes as per methodology given in the Annexure-I. Such mechanism shall be effective only after commercial operation of the generator. Till then it shall be the responsibility of the generator to pay transmission charges."
- 102. In the instant case, as the solar generation of 400 MW out of total capacity of 700 MW under the solar park achieved COD on different dates and another 300 MW is yet to be commissioned, the issue that arises for our consideration in this petition is what proportion of transmission charges will be included in the common pool and what proportion of transmission charges is to be paid by the solar power park developer (GPCL). The subject asset was put into commercial operation on 5.9.2020. However, no generation was commissioned as on 5.9.2020. Generation of 350 MW was commissioned on 10.8.2021. Accordingly, the Solar Park developer i.e. GPCL will pay the transmission charges for 700 MW for the period from 5.9.2020 to 9.8.2021. Further, the Solar Park developer,

GPCL, is liable to pay the transmission charges as per the details given below in terms of the principle given in the paragraphs 100 and 101 as extracted above and as provided under Regulation 8(5) and Regulation 8(6) of the 2010 Sharing Regulations.

COD of	Solar generation	COD of solar	Liability of transmission charges
transmission asset	capacity (MW) commissioned	generation capacity	
asset	Nil	сарасну	From 5.9.2020 to 9.8.2021:
	INII		Transmission charges of subject asset
5.9.2020			for 700 MW shall be borne by GCPL
3.3.2020	350	10.8.2021	From 10.8.2021 to 12.8.2021:
	000	10.0.2021	Transmission charges of subject asset
			proportionate to 350 MW shall be
			included in the common pool while for
			the balance 350 MW shall be borne by
			GPCL.
	50	13.8.2021	From 13.8.2021 to one day prior to
			COD of balance capacity:
			Transmission charges of subject asset
			proportionate to 400 MW shall be
			included in the common pool while for
			the balance 300 MW shall be borne by
			GPCL till one day prior to COD of the
	000	Valuaba	balance capacity of 300 MW.
	300	Yet to be	On COD of the balance capacity of 300
		commissioned	MW, Transmission charges for 700 MW
			shall be included in common pool.

103. With effect from 1.11.2020, sharing of transmission charges is governed by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Sharing of Transmission Charges and Losses) Regulations, 2020 (in short "the 2020 Sharing Regulations"). Accordingly, the liabilities of DICs for arrears of the transmission charges determined through this order shall be computed DIC-wise in accordance with the provisions of respective sharing Regulations and shall be recovered from the concerned DICs through Bill 2 under Regulation 15(2)(b) of the 2020 Sharing Regulations. Billing, collection, and disbursement of transmission charges for subsequent period shall be recovered in terms of the provisions of the 2020 Sharing Regulations as provided in Regulation 57 of the 2019 Tariff Regulations.

104. To summarise:

a) The Annual Fixed Charges allowed for the transmission asset for the 2019-24 tariff period in the instant order are as follows:

(₹ in lakh)

2020-21 (Pro-rata for 208 days)	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
1109.32	2034.24	2043.68	2026.10

- 105. Annexure-I given hereinafter form part of the order.
- 106. This order disposes of Petition No. 203/TT/2021 in terms of the above discussions and findings.

sd/-sd/-sd/-(P. K. Singh)(Arun Goyal)(I. S. Jha)(P. K. Pujari)MemberMemberChairperson

Annexure-I

2019-24	Admitted	A	CE	Admitted Rate of			Annual De	preciation	
Capital Expenditure as on COD	Capital Cost as on COD (₹ in lakh)	2019-20 (₹ in lakh)	2020-21 (₹ in lakh)	Capital Cost as on 31.3.2024 (₹ in lakh)	as on (in %) 31.3.2024	2020-21 (₹ in lakh)	2021-22 (₹ in lakh)	2022-23 (₹ in lakh)	2023-24 (₹ in lakh)
Transmission Line	12417.55	988.07	406.05	13811.67	5.28	681.73	718.54	729.26	729.26
Sub Station	571.98	94.15	0.87	667.00	5.28	32.69	35.19	35.22	35.22
PLCC	317.06	49.86	0.48	367.40	6.33	21.65	23.24	23.26	23.26
IT Equipment and software	65.25	13.78	0.10	79.13	15.00	10.82	11.86	11.87	11.87
TOTAL	13371.84	1145.86	407.50	14925.20		746.89	788.83	799.60	799.60
				Average Gross	s Block				
				(₹ in lakh)		13944.77	14721.45	14925.20	14925.20
				Weighted Average Rate of Depreciation (in %)		5.36	5.36	5.36	5.36

Order in Petition No. 203/TT/2021