

MSEDCL Comments on Draft Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the Inter-State Transmission System) (First Amendment) Regulations, 2023

MSEDCL submits the comments as follows;

Clause/ Ref. Page	Existing Clause in Regulation	Clause proposed in First Amendment	Remarks
5.2	Notwithstanding anything contained in Regulation 5.1, a generating station or ESS, with prior approval of CTU, shall be eligible to add, within the quantum of Connectivity granted to it, additional generation capacity, including ESS.; Provided that for such additional generating station shall be responsible for compliance with the Grid Code and other regulations of the Central Commission	the quantum of Connectivity granted to it, additional generation capacity or ESS, owned by the generating station or the ESS or any other entity; Provided that the generating station or the ESS being the existing Connectivity Grantee shall be responsible for compliance with the Grid Code and other regulations of the Central Commission for such additional generation capacity including ESS as 'Lead ESS' or 'Lead generator' in terms of Regulation 2.1 (x)(ii) or Regulation 2.1 (y)(ii), as	The Commission through this clause has introduced that the additional Generating station/ESS can be owned by a different entity other than that of the Lead Generator/ESS. However, the responsibility of compliance and injection of the additional generator/ESS shall always lie with the Lead Generator/ESS. Generating station or ESS who is willing to share infrastructure with other entity to add additional generation capacity or ESS shall have to get NOC from procuring entity whom with have PPA and got the connectivity for the same along with CTU approval. Since, generating station or ESS has already have PPA with procuring entity with quoted tariff inclusive of expenses related to connectivity and other infrastructure and now getting benefit by adding additional generation capacity or ESS to be installed by other entity on the same connectivity.



			It is submitted that more clarity would be required in terms of the agreement to be undertaken by the Lead Generator/ESS and the entity installing the additional Generation/ESS if at all it's a different entity as allowed under this Regulation.
			It is observed that several disputes can arise due to change in ownership between the Lead Generator/ESS and additional generator/ESS. Hence a draft standard agreement for such cases (difference in ownership) may be finalised under this Regulation to avoid any disagreement/disputes between the two parties. This would also help in standardizing such contracts and can avoid difference in agreements that may be undertaken by various Lead Generators/ESS for installation of additional infrastructure through different
5.8 (vii)	(vii) In case of Renewable Power Park Developer, authorisation by the Central Government or the State Government, as applicable, to undertake infrastructural activities including arrangement for Connectivity on behalf of solar power generators or wind power generators;	 (vii) In case of Renewable Power Park Developer, the following documents shall be submitted: (a) authorisation by the Central Government or the State Government, as applicable, to undertake infrastructural activities including arrangement for Connectivity on behalf of solar power generators or wind power generators; and (b)Registered Title Deed as a proof of Ownership or lease rights or land use rights for 50% of the land required for the capacity for which 	 entity. Additional documentation to be submitted by Renewable Power Park Developer for applying for connectivity. It is submitted that, in the said clause, following additional document to be sought: (d) PPA copy of at least 50% for the quantum of connectivity sought and PPA copy for balance capacity to be submitted



		Connectivity is sought; and (c)Auditor's certificate, certifying the release of at least 10% of the project cost including the land acquisition cost through equity	within 06 months from the data of final grant of connectivity. In case renewable park developer fails to submit the same, connectivity for the balance capacity shall be revoked.
5.8 (xi)	New Clause	 (xi) In case of Applicants which are REGS (other than Hydro generating station) or ESS (excluding Pumped Storage Plant (PSP)) the following documents shall be submitted: (a) Letter of Award (LOA) by, or Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) entered into with, a Renewable Energy Implementing Agency or a distribution licensee or an authorized agency on behalf of distribution licensee consequent to tariff based competitive bidding, as the case may be: Provided that in case of Applicants being multilocated REGS, the details of locations and capacity at each location, duly certified by the Renewable Energy Implementing Agency or the distribution licensee, as the case may be, shall be submitted. Or (b) (i) Registered Title Deed as a proof of Ownership or lease rights or land use rights for 50% of the land required for the capacity for which Connectivity is sought; and (ii) Auditor's certificate, certifying the release of at least 10% of the project cost including the land acquisition cost through equity. 	It is submitted that documents sought under clause (a) and (b), clause (a) shall be made compulsory to get the connectivity and it should not be optional otherwise clause (b) should also be included the requirement of PPA. Further, it is submitted that instead of Letter of Award or PPA as mentioned under clause (a), only PPA needs to be considered. Hence, the clause is requested to be modified accordingly.
9.3	New Clause	An applicant which is REGS (other than Hydro generating station), ESS (excluding PSP) or Renewable power park developer to which final	clause should be modified in line with the

		grant of connectivity has been issued, shall have to	MNRE competitive bidding guidelines.
		achieve the financial closure for the capacity of	Since, as per the proposed new clause,
		such Connectivity, (a) within a period of 12 months	renewable generator has to attain Financial
		from the date of issuance of final grant of	Closure within 12 months from the date of
		connectivity, if the start date of Connectivity is	issuance of final grant of connectivity
		within 2 years from date of issuance of final grant	which means applicants will get more than
		of connectivity or (b) a period equivalent to 50%	12 months after submission of either LoA
		time period between issue of final grant of	or PPA to achieve financial closure.
		Connectivity and start date of Connectivity, if the	However, as per competitive bidding
		start date of Connectivity is more than 2 years	guidelines, Solar Power Generator shall
		from date of issuance of final grant of connectivity:	attain the financial closure, within 9
		Provided that such an applicant shall submit proof	months from the date of execution of the
		of Financial Closure of the project (with copy of	PPA, for projects specified to be set up in
		loan sanction letter or proof of first disbursal of	solar park, and within 12 months from the
		loan amount) to CTU within 15 days of achieving	date of execution of the PPA for projects
		the financial closure.	not specified to be set up in Solar park. So,
		Provided further that if the Connectivity grantee	the said clause is contradict to already
		fails to achieve the financial closure within the	existing competitive bidding guidelines.
		stipulated time as per this regulation or fails to	
		submit the copy of financial closure as per first	
		proviso to this regulation Connectivity shall be	Hence, the proposed new clause is
		revoked and Conn-BG1, Conn-BG2 and Conn-BG3	requested to be modified in line with the
		shall be treated in terms of Regulation 24.2 or	timelines specified in the MNRE
		<i>Regulation 24.3 of these regulations, as applicable</i>	competitive bidding guidelines.
23.1	An entity covered under	An entity covered under Regulation 17.1 which is a	It is submitted that the time period for
	Regulation 17.1 which is a GNA	GNA grantee, may authorise other entities covered	using GNA of the GNA grantee in full or
	grantee, may authorise other	under Regulation 17.1 which are GNA grantee(s),	part shall be retained at a one year and can
	entities covered under Regulation	to use its GNA, in full or in part, with prior	be made extendable to three years with
	17.1 which are GNA grantee(s),	approval of the Nodal Agency, for a period not	consent of the entities and due permission
	to use its GNA, in full or in part,	exceeding 3 (three) years at a time on mutually	of the Nodal Agency shall be taken every
	v 1		
	with prior approval of the Nodal	agreed terms and conditions	year. It would hence bring clarity on the
	Agency, for a period not		network usage by the other entity (than the



	exceeding 1 (one) year at a time		GNA grantee) for each year rather than
	on mutually agreed terms and		keeping it continuously for a large span of
05.1	conditions		three years.
25.1	For an entity covered under		The notice period may be retained to 30
	Regulation 17.1, GNA once	Regulation 17.1, GNA once granted can be	days as the clause already covers the
	granted can be relinquished with	relinquished, in full or in parts, with a notice of	payment of fee of fifty lac rupees which is
	a notice of 30 days to the Nodal	one year to the Nodal Agency, along with a fee of	to be adjusted in the relinquishment
	Agency, in full or in part, on	fifty lac rupees(which will be adjusted from the	charges. If the entities are willing to pay
	payment of relinquishment	relinquishment charges) as per following	the penalty fee, the notice period may be
	charges in advance as per		kept at minimum. This would also enable
	following		other entities (which are wanting to use the
			network) to start using the relinquished
			network with immediate effect.
25.1	(a) For an entity covered under	(a) For an entity covered under clause (i) of	
	clause (i) of Regulation 17.1 of	Regulation 17.1 of these regulations, STU may	Following proviso should be added:
	these regulations, STU may	relinquish GNA on behalf of identified intra-State	
	relinquish GNA on behalf of	entity. The relinquishment charges shall be equal	Provided that relinquishment of the GNA
	identified intra-State entity. The	to 18 times the transmission charges paid by such	in an event of expiring of existing PPA of
	relinquishment charges shall be	intra-State entity for the last billing month under	the Distribution Licensee with the
	equal to 24 times the transmission	the Sharing Regulations, corresponding to the	interstate generator, then in such case
	charges paid by such intra-State	relinquished quantum.	quantum upto contracted capacity with
	entity for the last billing month	(b) For an entity covered under clauses (ii) to (v)	said generator shall be relinquished upon
	under the Sharing Regulations,	of Regulation 17.1 of these regulations, the	request of distribution licensee, from the
	corresponding to the relinquished	relinquishment charges shall be equal to 18 times,	total deemed GNA without applying any
	quantum.	the transmission charges paid by such entity for the	relinquishment charges to the Distribution
	(b) For an entity covered under	last month under the Sharing regulations,	Licensee.
	clauses (ii) to (iv) of Regulation	corresponding to the relinquished quantum	
	17.1 of these regulations, the	Provided that, if the balance period of GNA is less	
	relinquishment charges shall be	than 18 months, the relinquishment charges shall	
	equal to 24 times, the	be equal to the number of balance months' times	
	transmission charges paid by	the transmission charges paid by such entity for the	
	such entity for the last month		



	under the Sharing regulations, corresponding to the relinquished quantum Provided that, if the balance period of GNA is less than 24 months, the relinquishment charges shall be equal to the number of balance months times the transmission charges paid by such entity for the last month under the Sharing Regulations, corresponding to the relinquished quantum	corresponding to the relinquished quantum Provided further that for the entity covered under clause (iii) of Regulation 17.1 of these regulations, if GNA is relinquished prior to date of effectiveness of GNA, Conn-BG1 and Conn-BG3 shall be encashed corresponding to the relinquished quantum as relinquishment charges	
34.2	Transmission charges for T-GNA, in case of bilateral and collective transactions, shall be payable only at point of drawal, as per the last published Transmission charge rate for T-GNA for the State where such point of drawal is located: Provided that under collective transactions, transmission charges for T-GNA shall be payable for drawal schedules more than GNA quantum or T- GNA quantum or both, as applicable New Explanation	Transmission charges for T-GNA, in case of bilateral and collective transactions, shall be payable only at point of drawal, as per the last published Transmission charge rate for T-GNA for the State where such point of drawal is located: Provided that under collective transactions, transmission charges for T-GNA shall be payable for drawal schedules more than GNA quantum or T-GNA quantum or both, as applicable Explanation: In order to determine whether drawl schedule was more than GNA quantum or T-GNA quantum or both in case of collective transaction, SLDC shall furnish to NLDC, each intra-state entity-wise detail of schedule under GNA or T- GNA, as the case may be. NLDC shall issue power exchange wise and entity-wise segregation of payable T-GNA charges.	 From the illustrative example given in EM at Para 46, following comments needs to be considered. 1) Combined Drawl of Distribution Licensees 'Y' and 'Z' though exceeds by 500MW in given time block, are paying combinely for TGNA charges for 400MW, getting benefitted by 100MW



Comments on Draft CERC Connectivity and General Network Access Regulations First Amendment

	nodal agency and issue the bill
	accordingly.
	4) There may be the instances, when
	state as a whole do not exceed the
	GNA quantum, but one of the intra
	state distribution licensee may
	exceed its GNA quantum while
	others are drawing less power wrt
	their GNA.
	5) There should be a methodology to
	settle such issues at state level.
	6) Illustrative examples to give clarity
	in such different situations, should
	be made part of the Regulations.