CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Petition No. 22/RP/2024

Subject : Review of the Order dated 31.3.2024 in the matter of revision of

tariff for the period 2014-19 and determination of tariff for the period 2019-24 in respect of Parbati-III Hydroelectric Power

Station (520 MW).

Date of Hearing: 26.9.2024

Petitioner : PSPCL

Respondents : NHPC and 5 others

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson

Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member Shri Harish Dudani, Member

Parties Present: Shri Anand Ganesan, Advocate, PSPCL

Ms. Swapna Seshadri, Advocate, PSPCL

Shri Amal Nair, Advocate, PSPCL Ms. Shivani Verma, Advocate, PSPCL Ms. Kritika Khanna, Advocate, PSPCL Shri Rajiv Dwivedi, Advocate, NHPC Shri Sachin Dubey, Advocate, BRPL

Shri Mohit Kumar Mudgal, Advocate, BRPL

Record of Proceedings

During the hearing, the learned counsel for the Review Petitioner made detailed oral arguments in support of its prayers for review of the impugned order dated 31.3.2024 on the following grounds:

- (a) The decision for calculation of incentive on the capacity charges for NAPAF above 90%, on an annual basis, is contrary to the findings of the Commission in orders dated 25.6.2014, 5.4.2019, and 23.4.2019 in Petition Nos 228/GT/2013,7/GT/2019 and 6/GT/2017, respectively;
- (b) Incorrect methodology adopted by Respondent NHPC for the computation of capacity charges in the energy bills.
- 2. The learned counsel for the Respondent, NHPC, while pointing out that the Review Petition is an appeal in disguise and therefore not maintainable, submitted that the reply filed by the Respondent in the matter may be considered while passing the final order in the review petition.

ROP in Petition No. 22/RP/2024 Page 1 of 2



- 3. The learned counsel for Respondent BRPL while supporting the review petition, sought two weeks' time to file its reply in the matter. This was accepted by the Commission.
- 4. The Commission permitted the Respondents to file reply by **10.10.2024**, after serving a copy to the other parties and reserved its order in the matter, based on the consent of the parties.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-(B. Sreekumar) Joint Chief (Law)

ROP in Petition No. 22/RP/2024 Page 2 of 2

