CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Petition No. 439/MP/2024

- : Petition under Section 17 (1) and 17(3) of Electricity Act, 2003 ('the Subject Act') for amalgamation/merger of Powergrid Khavda II-B Transmission Limited, Powergrid Khavda RE Transmission System Limited, Powergrid KPS2 Transmission System Limited, Powergrid KPS3 Transmission Limited, Powergrid ERWR Power Transmission Limited, Powergrid Raipur Pool Dhamtari Transmission Limited, Powergrid Dharamjaigarh Transmission Limited, Powergrid Bhadla Sikar Transmission Limited, Powergrid Ananthpuram Kurnool Transmission Limited, Powergrid Neemrana Bareilly Transmission Limited, Powergrid Koppal Gadag Transmission Limited, Powergrid Bidar Transmission Limited and Powergrid Khavda II-C Transmission Limited and assignment/ transfer of Transmission Licences pursuant to amalgamation/merger.
- Petitioners : POWERGRID Khavda II-B Transmission Limited & 12 Ors.
- Respondents : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) & 3 Ors.

Date of Hearing : **30.12.2024**

- Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member Shri Harish Dudani, Member
- Parties Present : Ms. Pooja Mahajan, Advocate, POWERGRID Shri Savar Mahajan, Advocate, POWERGRID Shri Nishan Sogani, Advocate, POWERGRID Shri Srivatsava Beerapalli, Advocate, POWERGRID

Record of Proceedings

Learned counsel for the Petitioners submitted that the present Petition had been filed inter alia seeking appropriate directions with respect to the amalgamation/ merger of the companies of Petitioner No. 1 to Petitioner No. 12 ('Transferor Companies') with Petitioner No.13 ('Transferee Company') and their respective shareholders and creditors pursuant to a Scheme of Arrangement under Sections 230 - 232 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with the rules and regulations made thereunder ('the Scheme') and assignment/ transfer of transmission licences pursuant thereto. Learned counsel further submitted that the Transferor Companies and the Transferee Company are wholly owned subsidiaries of POWERGRID Corporation of India Limited, and the proposed amalgamation/ merger vide the Scheme envisages consolidating the business for the creation of a single larger unified entity and reducing the number of entities within the group to achieve optimal and efficient utilisation of capital, enhance operational, and management efficiencies, have simplified organisational structure, and at the same time maintaining the TBCB business model distinct from the POWERGRID's Regulated Tariff Mechanism (RTM) business. Learned counsel further submitted that since the Transferor Companies and the Transferee Company involved in the said Scheme are government companies, the Scheme has been filed before the Ministry of Corporate Affairs ('MCA') in terms of the Notification G.S.R. 582 (E) dated 13.6.2017 read with G.S.R. 463 (E) dated 5.6.2015 of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) for sanction and approval under relevant provisions of the Companies Act, 2013. However, the said application is currently pending before the MCA, and the Petitioners have also furnished certain additional information pursuant to the queries raised by the MCA.

2. In response to a specific query of the Commission as to why the Petitioners and PGCIL have opted for two separate schemes for the merger/amalgamation, one as covered in the present Petition and the other in Petition No. 500/MP/2024, learned counsel and the representative of the Petitioners submitted that two separate schemes have been considered keeping in view the equity investment ceilings for the CPSEs in the JVs and Subsidiaries as prescribed by the Department of Public Enterprises, Government of India. In addition, certain other aspects, such as the Projects being primarily located in the States of Gujarat and Rajasthan, all Projects being at the initial stages of construction, Transferee Companies having high land requirements, etc., have also been taken into consideration.

3. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Petitioners, the Commission directed as under:

(i) Admit and issue notice to Respondents subject to just exceptions.

(ii) The Respondents to file their respective reply, if any, within three weeks with a copy to the Petitioners, who may file their rejoinder within two weeks thereafter.

(iii) The Petitioners to furnish the following information/clarification, on an affidavit, within three weeks:

(a) Status of compliance with the requirement of notice under Section 17(2) of the Act;

(b) Whether the proposed Scheme contravenes any of the provisions of the TSAs, including the requirement of Equity Lock-in Commitment therein; and

(c) A copy of the Scheme of Arrangement approved by the MCA in terms of the provisions of the Companies Act 2013;

4. The Petition will be listed for the hearing along with Petition No. 500/MP/2024 on **13.2.2025**.

By order of the Commission Sd/-(T.D. Pant) Joint Chief (Law)