CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION NEW DELHI

Coram

- 1. Shri Ashok Basu, Chairperson
- 2. Shri A.H. Jung, Member

Petition No. 56/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Korba Super Thermal Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 158/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur
- 2. Maharashtra State Electricity Board, Mumbai
- 3. Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd, Vadodara
- 4. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Raipur
- 5. Electricity Department, Govt. of Goa, Panaji, Goa
- 6. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman
- 7. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, SilvassaRespondents

Petition No. 57/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Ramagundam STPS as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 161/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Ltd, Hyderabad.
- 2. Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennai.
- 3. Karnataka Power Transmission Corporation Limited, Bangalore.
- 4. Kerala State Electricity Board, Thiruvananthapuram.
- 5. Electricity Department, Government of Pondicherry, Pondicherry.
- 6. Electricity Department, Government of Goa, Panaji, Goa.

.....Respondents

Petition No. 69/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Anta Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 192/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited, Lucknow
- 2. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jaipur
- 3. Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Ajmer
- 4. Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jodhpur
- 5. Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi
- 6. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited, Panchkula
- 7. Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala
- 8. Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimla
- 9. Power Development Department (J & K), Srinagar
- 10. Power Department (Union Territory of Chandigarh), Chandigarh
- 11. Uttranchal Power Corporation Limited, Dehradun

.....Respondents

Petition No. 78/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Auriya Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 193/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited, Lucknow
- 2. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jaipur
- 3. Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Ajmer
- 4. Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jodhpur
- 5. Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi
- 6. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited, Panchkula
- 7. Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala
- 8. Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimla
- 9. Power Development Department (J & K), Srinagar
- 10. Power Department (Union Territory of Chandigarh), Chandigarh
- 11. Uttranchal Power Corporation Limited, Dehradun

.....Respondents

Petition No. 77/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of National Capital Thermal Power Station Dadri as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 194/2005.

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited, Lucknow.
- 2. Delhi Transco Limited, New Delhi.

.....Respondents

Petition No. 89/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Dadri Gas Power Station as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 195/2005.

And in the matter of

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd.Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited, Lucknow
- 2. Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jaipur
- 3. Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Ajmer
- 4. Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Jodhpur
- 5. Delhi Transco Ltd., New Delhi
- 6. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited, Panchkula
- 7. Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala
- 8. Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, Shimla
- 9. Power Development Department (J & K), Srinagar
- 10. Power Department (Union Territory of Chandigarh), Chandigarh
- 11. Uttranchal Power Corporation Limited, Dehradun

.....Respondents

Petition No. 71/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Faraka STPS as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 196/2005.

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- West Bengal State Electricity Board, Kolkata
- 2. Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna
- 3. Jharkhand State Electricity Board, Ranchi
- 4. Grid Corporation of Orissa Limited, Bhubaneswar
- 5. Damodar Valley Corporation, Kolkata
- 6. Power Department, Govt. of Gangtok, Sikkim, Govt. of Gangtok
- 7. Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennai
- 8. Union Territory of Pondicherry, Electricity Department, Pondicherry
- 9. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited, Lucknow
- 10. Power Development Department (Govt. of J & K), Srinagar

4	4	- n	_	1 1 14 1		
1	1.	I IAINi	Irangca	I imitad	New Delh	١ı
			Halloco	LIIIIIIICU.	INCW DOIL	

- 12. Power Department(Union Territory of Chandigarh), Chandigarh
- 13. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur
- 14. Maharashtra State Electricity Board, Mumbai
- 15. Gujarat Electricity Board, Baroda
- 16. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman
- 17. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Silvassa

.....Respondents

Petition No. 114/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Jhanor Gandhar GPS as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 206/2005.

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Madhya Pradesh State Electricity Board, Jabalpur.
- 2. Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd, Mumbai.
- 3. Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited, Vadodara.
- 4. Chattisgarh State Electricity Board, Raipur.
- 5. Electricity Department, Government of Goa, Panaji, Goa.
- 6. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman.
- 7. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Silvassa.

.....Respondents

Petition No. 108/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Talcher TPS as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 33/2006.

And	in	tha	matter	of.
Δnn	ın	TNE	matter	Ot:

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

Grid Corporation of Orissa Limited, Bhubaneswar.

Petition No. 136/2005

In the matter of

Approval of employees cost in respect of Kawas GPS as per the directions of the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in its order dated 7.9.2006 in Appeal No. 46/2006.

And in the matter of:

National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

Vs

- 1. Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board, Jabalpur
- 2. Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd., Mumbai
- 3. Gujrat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited, Vadodara
- 4. Chhatisgarh State Electricity Board, Raipur
- 5. Electricity Department, Government of Goa, Goa
- 6. Electricity Department, Administration of Daman & Diu, Daman
- 7. Electricity Department, Administration of Dadar and Nagar Haveli, Silvassa

.....Respondents

ORDER

The Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, in its common judgment dated 7.9.2006 in Appeals Nos. 158, 161, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 206 of 2005 and 33 and 46 of 2006 has issued the following directions:

"In view of the aforesaid findings and having regard to the interest of justice and fair play, we allow the appeal, being Appeal No. 158 of 2005, and set aside the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission's order dated 11.8.2005 passed in Petition No. 56 of 2005 and direct that the amounts of arrear paid by the appellant in the year 2000-01, on account of employees cost, incurred in the respective years, be considered in the tariff fixation for re-imbursement, as admissible by the Regulations, in the forthcoming tariff period in a manner that tariff shock, if any, to the respondents is minimized.

On parity of reasoning we also allow the other appeals, being Appeal Nos. 161, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 206 of 2005 and 33 and 46 of 2006, set aside the impugned orders and issue the above directions in all these appeals."

2. We consider it appropriate to hear the concerned parties on the implementation of judgment of the Appellate Tribunal in the light of above directions. Accordingly, issue notice to all concerned for hearing in the matter on 14.11.2006.

Sd/- Sd/-

(A.H. JUNG) MEMBER (ASHOK BASU) CHAIRPERSON

New Delhi dated the 16th October, 2006